MME Form 9
Rev. Nov. 65

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Geological Survey

OFFICIAL DOCKET FILE Contract No. _____ Docket No. OME-6892

Application
- [x] Denied
- [ ] Withdrawn

Contract
- [ ] Terminated - Not Certified
- [ ] Terminated - Certified
- [ ] Cancelled
- [ ] Royalty Agreement

The records contained in this file are marked (x) and arranged in this order:

Folder No. 1:

Left Side

- [ ] Royalty Audits
- [ ] Certification of Discovery
- [ ] Closing Letter
- [ ] Cost Audits
- [ ] Termination Notice or Agreement
- [ ] Recision Notice
- [ ] Assignment of Contract
- [ ] Contract Amendments
- [ ] Contract with all exhibits and annexes
- [ ] Owner's Consent to Lien and Subordination Agreement
- [x] Application and Attachments

Right Side

- [ ] Project Summary (final)
- [ ] Work Completed Analysis (final)

All other material filed in chronological order including the following reports if checked:

- [ ] Field Team Semiannual Report for Certified Project
- [ ] Final Field Team Report (Tab)
- [ ] Operator's Final Report (Tab)
- [ ] Interim Field Team Reports
- [ ] Operator's monthly reports and all attachments
- [ ] On-site Exam. Report (Tab)
- [ ] Settlement Sheets
- [ ] Drill Logs

Additional Folders:

Left Side

Folders No. _____: Reports

- [ ] Analysis of Semiannual Inspection Reports
- [ ] Project Summary (Interim) by MME Engineers

Right Side

- [ ] Field Team Semiannual Report for Certified Project
- [ ] Field Team Interim Reports
- [ ] Operator's Monthly Reports with Transmittal, Narrative and Maps

Folders No. _____: Maps (Use pocket folder or envelope)

Folders No. _____: Settlement Sheets

Folders No. _____: Drill Logs

Folders No. _____: Royalty Material

72195-65

United States Department of the Interior

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Office of Minerals Exploration
345 Middlefield Road
Menlo Park, California 94025

OFFICIAL COPY
O. M. E.
RECEIVED
AUG 6 1973
INITIALS | CODE

August 2, 1973

Memorandum

To: Chief, Office of Minerals Exploration
Washington, D. C.

From: Field Officer
Region II

Subject: New Application (Silver-Copper-Gold)
Frank W. Lewis
Silent Friend, Fay, et al., Claims
White Pine County, Nevada

Enclosed is one copy of the subject application received in this office August 1, 1973; a duplicate copy is retained in our files.

The application is well prepared and appears to be complete except for bids for bulldozing and drilling. The cost estimate is realistic, but it presupposes Government participation of 75 percent which may not be allowable. According to the applicant, the ore that probably could be mined may average $42 or more in silver, $7 in gold, and $38 in copper (lead and zinc, $41), which suggests a participation rate of 62½ percent.

The work proposed is confined to the Silent Friend Claim, although the Fay Claim and Fay Mine are included in the project area. An earlier application (DMEA-2577) on the Fay Mine was withdrawn.

R M Smith
for H. K. Stager

Enclosures

F. W. LEWIS
120 GREENRIDGE DRIVE
RENO, NEVADA 89502
PHONE: (702) 323-0303

July 24, 1973

Harold K. Stager
U S Geological Survey
345 Middlefield Road
Menlo Park
California 94025

RE OME Applieation for a 75% loan for drilling and related work at Hamilton, Nevada.

Dear Mr. Stager:

You will recall I phoned you sometime back about the possibility of getting an OME loan in order to conduct exploration on ground which I own at Hamilton Nevada.

I have completed the applications which you forwarded to me in duplicate and have put them in the enclosed booklets in order to keep them in order.

Please note that there are two brown envlopes for each booklet. In the envelopes are a claim map, and the full set of maps prepared by Fred L. Humphrey, Ph. D. in reference to the exploration program which he has suggested for the Silent Friend Patented claim of this group. There is a text in the envelope along with cross sections depicting the geological targets which Dr. Humphrey has suggested.

Each of the items on the back of the OME form are answered by number in the booklet, with the Brown envleopes which contained information to large to be included in the Booklet.

I appreciate very much having you consider this application and hope it will lead us to a discovery of signifigant tonnages of mineral in the Hamilton area.

Sincerly,

F. W. Lewis

F. W. Lewis

RECEIVED
AUG - 1 1973
OFFICE OF MINERALS EXPLORATION
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
MENLO PARK, CALIF.

ME Form 40
(Revised Nov. 71)

Form approved
Budget Bureau No. 42-R1368

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

APPLICATION FOR FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE IN MINERALS EXPLORATION

Pursuant to Public Law 85—701 (72 Stat. 700; 30 U.S.C. 641)

NAME OF APPLICANT *(Full legal name and mailing address as they should appear on contract if one is executed.)*

Frank W. Lewis
120 Greenridge Dr.
Reno
Nevada 89502

APPLICANT DO NOT USE THIS BLOCK

DOCKET NUMBER: 6892

DATE RECEIVED: August 6, 1973

REGION: II

DIVISION CODE:

BUSINESS ORGANIZATION *(Check one)*

- INDIVIDUAL [X]
- CORPORATION [ ]
- PARTNERSHIP [ ]
- OTHER *(Specify)* [ ]

STATE IN WHICH FIRM IS ORGANIZED: Nev.

LIST CORPORATE OFFICERS OR PARTNERS HERE, IF APPLICABLE

NAME	ADDRESS	TITLE

MINERAL(S) FOR WHICH YOU WISH TO EXPLORE: Silver

ESTIMATED COST OF PROJECT: $20,000.00

PROPERTY NAME: Silent Friend - Fay

LOCATION COUNTY STATE: White Pine, Nev.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

Before filling out this application, please read the *OME Regulations for Obtaining Federal Assistance in Financing Explorations for Mineral Reserves* (30 CFR Chap. II). To assure prompt action, your application must provide all applicable material and information specified on the back of this application form. Avoid unnecessary correspondence and delays by submitting complete and accurate information. *Please submit two copies of this application and all accompanying papers* except as otherwise noted. Place your name and address on each sheet. Each item of information, maps, and reports required as a part of this application is described on the back of this form. Identify each attached statement by the item number to which it applies. If an item does not apply to your application, show the item number on your statement and after it write "not applicable." Maps or sketches should be used to supplement narrative descriptions of the property location and boundaries in item 2, existing mine workings and geology in item 3, and the proposed exploration work in item 5. When this information is not too complex, all of it may be shown on one map or sketch. All documents and other attachments submitted as a part of this application, except those in item 3(g) which you mark to be returned, become the property of the Government and will not be returned to the applicant. Send true copies, *not originals* of leases, contracts, and other documents which are an essential part of your business records. *File this application with the Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, Office of Minerals Exploration, Washington, D.C. 20242, or with the nearest OME Field Office*

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, whether as an individual, corporate officer, partner, or otherwise, both in his own behalf and acting for the applicant, certifies that the information set forth in this form and accompanying papers is correct and complete, to the best of his knowledge and belief, and that he would not ordinarily undertake the proposed exploration under current conditions and circumstances at his sole expense.

July 24, 1973
DATED

Frank W. Lewis
BY (Signature)

Owner
TITLE

A wilfully false statement or certification to any Department or Agency of the United States Government is a criminal offense. U.S. Code, Title 18, Sec. 1001.

INFORMATION REQUIRED WITH THIS APPLICATION

(submit on accompanying pages)

1. Financial Eligibility:

(a) Submit evidence of efforts made within 90 days preceding the filing of this application to obtain credit from your bank of account and at least one other banking institution or other private source of credit. Such evidence shall include (1) *true copies of your letters to credit sources* which show date of loan request, amount and terms requested, and proposed use of loan funds and (2) *true copies of replies from credit sources.* If the loan was offered under terms which you consider unreasonable, state why you consider them so.

(b) List names and addresses of affiliated, parent, or controlling companies or organizations and state extent and nature of their interest.

(c) State how you propose to furnish your share of the cost of the exploration work.

2. Applicant's Rights in Land:

(a) State your interest in the land and mineral rights, whether owner, lessee, purchaser under contract, or other. If you are not the owner, submit one true copy of the lease, contract, or other document (with address of owner) under which you control the property. Describe all liens, mortgages, or other encumbrances on the land and state book and page number and official place where recorded.

(b) State the legal description (section, township, and range; metes and bounds; patent number of claims) of the land upon which you wish to explore and all adjacent land which you own or control. Describe any part of the land or workings which should not be subject to Government royalty and liens. If the land consists of unpatented claims, state book and page number for each recorded location notice, including amended locations, and official place where recorded. State all the names by which you know the property.

(c) For all land or mineral rights encumbered or not owned, submit five copies of Lien and Subordination Agreements signed by owner on MME Form 52. If the agreements cannot be obtained, state reasons and whether you can furnish a performance bond.

3. Physical Description:

(a) Describe in detail and illustrate with maps or sketches all mining or exploration operations which you know have been or are being conducted upon the land. Include existing mine workings and all production facilities.

(b) State your interest, if any, in operations described in (a)

(c) State, if you know, the past and current production, supporting your statement with copies of settlement sheets, mine records, or published data if available.

(d) Describe known ore reserves, giving quantities and grades.

(e) Describe by narrative and maps or sketches the geologic features of the property, including ore minerals, geologic formations if known, and type of deposit (vein, bedded, etc.).

(f) If you have sampled the area you propose to explore, show where the samples were taken, describe sampling methods used, and provide copies of assay certificates. State your reasons for expecting to find ore.

(g) Send with your application at least *two* copies of all geologic or engineering reports, assay maps, or technologic information which you have, indicating whether you require their return.

4. Accessibility of Property:

(a) To aid the OME representative who may examine the property state name, address, and telephone number of person who will meet him; give directions for reaching the property; and describe accessibility of property and of any mine workings.

(b) Name the shipping and supply points and state the distances to the property.

5. Exploration Work:

(a) Describe fully the proposed exploration work giving individual footages and sizes of openings *for each item of work.* Use narrative, maps, plans, and sections as necessary. Show location of the proposed work as related to geologic features such as veins, ore-bearing beds, contacts of rock formations, etc. Show on maps also the relation of the proposed work to any existing mine workings and to *claim boundaries* or to the closest identifiable corner.

(b) If an access road must be built, show the proposed location on the property map and state the length, type and construction methods proposed.

(c) If an OME contract is executed, state how soon thereafter work would be started and finished. State your anticipated average daily or monthly rate of progress for each type of work.

6. Experience:

State your operating experience and background to conduct this exploration work and also that of the person who will supervise the work.

7. Estimate of Costs:

Furnish detailed estimates of the necessary costs for time and materials *for each item of the work proposed in 5(a)* under the headings listed below with a total for each heading and the estimated total cost of the work. Costs for any work to be performed by an independent contractor should be listed separately under category (a) below. Costs for any work that is not to be performed by an independent contractor should be listed under categories (b) through (g).

(a) Independent contracts. State the total cost of any proposed independent contract for all or any part of the work, and the number of units and the unit cost for each type of work, such as per foot of drilling, per foot of drifting, per hour of bulldozer operations, per cubic yard of material moved. Cost estimates should be supported by bids from three contractors if possible. (*Note*—If none of the work is to be contracted, write "none" after this item.)

(b) Personal services. The cost of supervision, engineering and geological services, outside consultants, and labor should be itemized by numbers and classes of employees; rates of wages, salaries or fees, and periods of employment. State whether these services are available.

(c) Operating materials and supplies. List items of material and supplies giving quantity and price of each. Include under this heading power, water, and fuel, and units of equipment and tools costing less than $50 each.

(d) Operating equipment. List items of equipment and tools costing $50 or more per unit. Give specifications and indicate how each item is to be acquired—i. e., rented, purchased or provided by the applicant. If rented or purchased, state the estimated rental or purchase price and mobilization charge. If furnished by the applicant, state condition and present fair market value.

(e) Initial rehabilitation and repairs. Describe the type and the cost of *initial* rehabilitation or repair of existing buildings, fixtures, installations (exclusive of mine workings), and movable operating equipment now owned by the applicant which will be used in the exploration work.

(f) New buildings, fixtures, installations. Describe each building, fixed improvement, and installation to be purchased, constructed, or installed for the exploration work, stating specifications and cost including labor, and materials.

(g) Miscellaneous. Describe the type and estimate the cost of repairs and maintenance of the operating equipment listed in 7(d). Do not repeat initial repairs listed in 7(e) Show also the costs of analytical work, accounting, workmen's compensation and employees' liability insurance, payroll taxes, and other required costs that do not fall within the previous categories. [*Note*—The Government will not contribute to costs incurred before the date of the contract, or to costs of or incident to: (1) acquiring, using, or possessing land and any existing improvements, facilities, buildings, installations, and appurtenances, or the depreciation and depletion thereof; (2) general overhead, corporate management, interest and taxes (other than payroll and sales taxes); (3) insurance (other than employees' liability insurance); and (4) damages to persons or property (other than authorized repair to or replacement of equipment or other property used in the work).]

Application to Accompany OME Loan Form

1. Financial Eligibility:

a) 1. Enclosed are two letters written to my Bank of account, and another Bank requesting a loan for the proposed work.

2. Enclosed is the response from the bank stating they will not Make the loan

b) there are no affiliated, parent, or controlling Companies

c) I will furnish my share of the money in cash from my Savings

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

2.
Applicants Right to Land

a) I own the lands in Fee.
There are no mortgages or liens.

b) Enclosed are copies of Title Insurance Policies for all patented claims in the group, Including the Konesberg and Madison.

Enclosed is a Prliminary report on Patented claims in this group including the C. O. D., C. T. Fay, Imperial, and Silent Friend.

Enclosed is a preliminary report from the First Commerical Title Company, order No. 1793. This Title summary indicates the Title to the Extension, Yakies, and Rock Spring, Unpatented claims prior to the time I purchased them. Follwing this report is a copy of afficavit of Publication clearing the title in name of James Menghini from whom I purchased the claim. Following that is a copy of the deed to Frank W. Lewis, wherein I obtained title to the 3 claims.

Unpatented claims:	Certificated of Locations filed:	
Silver Isle No. 1	Book 343	page 306
Silver Isle No. 2	343	307
Silver Isle No. 3	343	308
Silver Isle No. 4343	343	309

Recorded in White Pine County Court House.

A Claim map showing the locations of the claims, Sections, Township, etc., Is enclosed. It is noted that the the perimeter Silver Isle group are for the most part fractional claims that lap some patented ground that is not included in this applieaton. None of the propsoed work is close to those areas, and as a matter of the facts all of the work is on the Patented ground, of the claims herein.

The applieation notes that Lewis owns additonal claims in this District which are not a apart of this Application. A map colored orange shws that Lewis owns a group of claims Known as the Hidden Treasure Lease, which is leased and has been leased to other parties for years and they could not therefor be included, and they are in another Geologic target in any event. Approximately 1 mile southerely and one mile Westerly is another large group of claims owned by lewis that are not contiguous to the group of claims in this application.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

3. Physical Description:

a) Enclosed is the map by Fred Humphrey that shows the workings on the property
b) I am the owner of the property
c) I am enclsoing a set of notes that indicate the history of mining that I am aware of on the claims. There has been no recent work.
d) There are no ore reserves on the mine premises beyond old workings that show ore in small quantities
e) a Description of the Geology, ore, beddings, etc, is given in the accompanying report by Fred L. Humphrey.
f) The samples taken by Fred Humprhey are noted on his map and a descripton is also given in his report.
g) My reason for expecting to find ore is based on the reccomendation of Fred Humphrey in his accompanying report.
g) Enclosed are two copies of the Report by Fred L. Humphrey, Geologist, Ph. D.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

4. Accessibility of Property:

a) The applieant F. W. Lewis will meet the O Me Represntative at any time to inspect the property, in Reno, or In Ely. Phone 323 03 03. The property is accessable by Truck road.

b) Ely Nevada (or Eureka), is the closest town and shipping point. It is approximately 35 miles to Eureka, and 50 Miles to Ely.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

5. Exploration Work:

a) Enclosed is a report by Fred Humphrey that describes all of the work, when taken into consideration with the Budget which I have enclosed. The site construction will utilize all old roads where possible for access and making Drill sites. The proposed drilling will be by Core Drill to complete the holes as outlined by Dr. Humphrey. Should there be any excess funds after drilling the holes called for in the first Phase by Dr. Humphrey, that is the first 3 holes, the 4th hole in his report would be drilled, if he concurred.

b) Old roads will be utilized, only made accessable where necessary for the drilling rig, and water truck. It is beleived that Bulldozer will make all necessary improvments.

c) If the OME Loan is granted the work will be started immediatly as soon as the work can be commenced and the various people and contractors can be gotten to the site.

d) It is anticipated that the entire program will be completed within 6 Months. The Geologist will be called in to spot the drill sites. The Enginner (or supervisor) will then call for the Bulldozer Contractor to improve the road access, and build the Drill Sites. The Drilling contractor will then be called in to Commence Drilling. Upon completion of the drilling the core will be stored in Reno and logged by Dr. Humphrey and assayed as he directs.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

6. The Experience of Frank W. Lewis, is 20 years in managing and operating his own company in mining exploration and related fields. This work will be directly supervised by Sam Bida, Registered Mining Engineer in Nevada, Or Don Lewis (Brother of the Applicant), who is a registered Proffesional Engineer, working with the Firm as their resident Engineer in the office of F. W. Milliard and Son, Ely, Nevada, or other suitable person who supervise the work on the ground.

Xxx

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

7. Estimate of Costs:

a) All of the moneys to be paid for from the funds of the application and Applicants pro rata share will be paid out as follows, with any overage applied to drilling:

1. Geologist: Fred L. Humprhrey, Ph. D.
Spot drill sites, Analyzie and log core, On site inspection as necessary. $100.00 per day and expenses. $1,500.00

2. Supervisor: It is the intention of the applicant to hire Sam Bida, Registered Mining Engineer from Ely Nevada to supervise and take charge of the work not done by Fred Humphrey. In the event Mr. Bida is not available, Don Lewis of the Firm of F. W. Milliard and Sons, Engineers, of Ely Nevada will be utilized. $50 per day. 1,500.00

3. Bull Dozer work. Road and Drill site Construction at $20 to 30 per hour, depending on the size of the cat Used, with $50 move in charge from Ely. Applicant usually uses Nevin Munson and Son as Contractors for this work and He will do so on this job, provided they are avialable. They are Licensed Contractors and work out of Ely, Nevada. 2,000.00

4. Extension Tunnel, which is caved will also be opened up in conjunctione with this work while the Bulldozer is on the site. This will take approx. 1/2 day. (Just the portal is caved).

4. Assaying. Roughly 1,000 foot of drilling is contemplated in conjunction herewith. Based on experience I have had in this District, at least one half of the core will be split and assayed, for Gold, Silver, Copper, Lead, Zinc, and occasionally other minerals as a check. 1,000.00

5. Contingency. 1,000.00

6. Core Drilling. Costs of Drilling, Water truck, mud, etc, are estimated to be $12 per foot times 1,083 Feet of Drilling. If any savings in any of the above amounts are made it will be applied to additonal footage in drilling. 13,000.00

$20,000.00

OME TO SUPPLY 75% of the above funds, and Lewis to contribute $25% in cash.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

F. W. LEWIS
120 GREENRIDGE DRIVE
RENO, NEVADA 89502

PHONE: (702) 323-0303

July 20, 1973

First National Bank of Nevada - Main Branch, Loan Dept.
1 E 1st
Reno
Nevada

Dear Sirs:

I own a mining property in White Pine county Nevada, in the old Hamilton Mining District. This property is composed of 6 Patented claims and 8 unpatented claims.

I am outlining the proposed purpose of the loan in the attached report.

I would like to borrow $15,000.00, to which I would ad $5,000.00 of personal funds in order to complete the exploration work. A budget of the approximate expenditures is enclosed.

Please advise me if your company would loan me the above amount to condut this exploration work,

Sincerly,

F. W. Lewis

FIRST NATIONAL BANK
OF NEVADA

HARMON R. BARTON
ASSISTANT VICE PRESIDENT

July 27, 1973

F. W. Lewis
120 Greenridge Dr.
Reno, Nevada 89502

Dear Mr. Lewis:

This is in response to your recent request for a loan for the purpose of mineral exploration work.

I regret to inform you that we do not make loans for this purpose and, therefore, will be unable to grant your request.

Sincerely,

HRB:sm

F. W. LEWIS
120 GREENRIDGE DRIVE
RENO, NEVADA 89502

PHONE: (702) 323-0303

July 20, 1973

Security First National Bank
180 W. 1st
Reno
Nevada

Dear Sirs:

I own a mining property in white Pine County Nevada, in the old Hamilton Mining District. This property is composed of 6 patented claims and 8 Unpateted claims.

I am outlining the proposed purpose of the locan in the Attached report. I would like to borrow $15,000.00 to which I would ad $5,000.00 of personal funds in order to complete the exploration work. A budget of the approximate expenditures is enclosed.

Please advise me if you company would loan me the above amount to conduct this exploartion work,

Sincerly,

F. W. Lewis

SECURITY
NATIONAL BANK OF NEVADA
P.O. BOX [illegible] • [illegible] WEST FIRST STREET • RENO, NEVADA 89505 • AREA CODE [illegible]

July 23, 1973

Mr. F. W. Lewis
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Dear Mr. Lewis:

Thank you for your application for a loan in the amount of $15,000. We regret not be able to extend you this loan at this time.

Please feel free to contact this bank in the future should you require our services.

Sincerely,

Gary B. Stephens
Assistant Cashier

GBS/kt

Konesberg and Madison

CALIFORNIA LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION

STANDARD COVERAGE POLICY 1963

(Amended 1969)

29 005 03 00455

CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

BY this Policy of Title Insurance, CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, a corporation of Missouri, herein called the Company, does Hereby Insure those persons or parties named as INSURED in Schedule A hereof, hereinafter called the Insured, the heirs, devisees, personal representatives of said Insured, or if a corporation, any person or corporation deriving an interest or estate in said land by dissolution, merger or consolidation, together with any other person or corporation included in the term Insured as defined in this Policy, against loss or damage not exceeding the amount stated in Schedule A hereof, together with costs, attorneys' fees and expenses which the Company may become obligated to pay as provided in the Conditions and Stipulations hereof, which the Insured shall sustain by reason of:

1. Any defect in or lien or encumbrance on the title to the estate or interest covered hereby in the land described or referred to in Schedule A, existing at the date hereof, not shown or referred to in Schedule B or excluded from coverage in Schedule B or in the Conditions and Stipulations; or

2. Unmarketability of such title; or

3. Any defect in the execution of any mortgage or deed of trust, shown in Schedule B, securing an indebtedness the owner of which is named as an Insured in this Policy, but only insofar as such defect affects the lien or charge of such mortgage or deed of trust upon the estate or interest covered hereby; or

4. Priority, at the date hereof, over any such mortgage or deed of trust, of any encumbrance upon such estate or interest, except as shown in Schedule B, such mortgage or deed of trust being there shown in the order of its priority;

all subject, however, to the Conditions and Stipulations annexed hereto, which Conditions and Stipulations, together with Schedules A and B, are hereby made a part of this policy; all as of the time and date shown in Schedule A, being the effective date of this policy.

In Witness Whereof, CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY has caused this policy to be signed and sealed as of the date of policy shown in Schedule A, the policy to become valid when countersigned by an authorized signatory.

CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

Issued by:
FRONTIER TITLE COMPANY
800 Campton Street
P. O. Box 276
Ely, Nevada 89301
(702) 289-2928

Alvin W. Long
President

ATTEST:

Chester C. McCullough
Secretary

Copyright 1963 (Amended 1969) California Land Title Association

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

1. Definition of Terms

The following terms when used in this policy mean:

(a) "land": the land described, specifically or by reference, in Schedule A and improvements affixed thereto which by law constitute real property;

(b) "public records": those records which impart constructive notice of matters relating to said land;

(c) "knowledge": actual knowledge, not constructive knowledge or notice which may be imputed to the Insured by reason of any public records;

(d) "date": the effective date;

(e) "mortgage": mortgage, deed of trust, trust deed, or other security instrument; and

(f) "insured": the party or parties named as Insured, and if the owner of the indebtedness secured by a mortgage shown in Schedule B is named as Insured in Schedule A, the Insured shall include (1) each successor in interest in ownership of such indebtedness, (2) any such owner who acquires the estate or interest referred to in this policy by foreclosure, trustee's sale, or other legal manner in satisfaction of said indebtedness, and (3) any federal agency or instrumentality which is an insurer or guarantor under an insurance contract or guaranty insuring or guaranteeing said indebtedness, or any part thereof, whether named as an insured herein or not, subject otherwise to the provisions hereof.

2. Benefits after Acquisition of Title

If an insured owner of the indebtedness secured by a mortgage described in Schedule B acquires said estate or interest, or any part thereof, by foreclosure, trustee's sale, or other legal manner in satisfaction of said indebtedness, or any part thereof, or if a federal agency or instrumentality acquires said estate or interest, or any part thereof, as a consequence of an insurance contract or guaranty insuring or guaranteeing the indebtedness secured by a mortgage covered by this policy, or any part thereof, this policy shall continue in force in favor of such Insured, agency or instrumentality, subject to all of the conditions and stipulations hereof.

3. Exclusions from the Coverage of this Policy

This policy does not insure against loss or damage by reason of the following:

(a) Any law, ordinance or governmental regulation (including but not limited to building and zoning ordinances) restricting or regulating or prohibiting the occupancy, use or enjoyment of the land, or regulating the character, dimensions, or location of any improvement now or hereafter erected on said land, or prohibiting a separation in ownership or a reduction in the dimensions or area of any lot or parcel of land.

(b) Governmental rights of police power or eminent domain unless notice of the exercise of such rights appears in the public records at the date hereof.

(c) Title to any property beyond the lines of the land expressly described in Schedule A, or title to streets, roads, avenues, lanes, ways or waterways on which such land abuts, or the right to maintain therein vaults, tunnels, ramps or any other structure or improvement; or any rights or easements therein unless this policy specifically provides that such property, rights, or easements are insured, except that if the land abuts upon one or more physically open streets or highways this policy insures the ordinary rights of abutting owners for access to one of such streets or highways, unless otherwise excepted or excluded herein.

(d) Defects, liens, encumbrances, adverse claims against the title as insured or other matters (1) created, suffered, assumed or agreed to by the Insured claiming loss or damage; or (2) known to the Insured Claimant either at the date of this policy or at the date such Insured Claimant acquired an estate or interest insured by this policy and not shown by the public records, unless disclosure thereof in writing by the Insured shall have been made to the Company prior to the date of this policy; or (3) resulting in no loss to the Insured Claimant; or (4) attaching or created subsequent to the date hereof.

(e) Loss or damage which would not have been sustained if the Insured were a purchaser or encumbrancer for value without knowledge.

(f) Any "consumer credit protection", "truth in lending" or similar law.

4. Defense and Prosecution of Actions — Notice of Claim to be Given by the Insured

(a) The Company, at its own cost and without undue delay, shall provide (1) for the defense of the Insured in all litigation consisting of actions or proceedings commenced against the Insured, or defenses, restraining orders, or injunctions interposed against a foreclosure or sale of the mortgage and indebtedness covered by this policy or a sale of the estate or interest in said land; or (2) for such action as may be appropriate to establish the title of the estate or interest or the lien of the mortgage as insured, which litigation or action in any of such events is founded upon an alleged defect, lien or encumbrance insured against by this policy, and may pursue any litigation to final determination in the court of last resort.

(b) In case any such action or proceeding shall be begun, or defense interposed, or in case knowledge shall come to the Insured of any claim of title or interest which is adverse to the title of the estate or interest or lien of the mortgage as insured, or which might cause loss or damage for which the Company shall or may be liable by virtue of this policy, or if the Insured shall in good faith contract to sell the indebtedness secured by a mortgage covered by this policy, or, if an Insured in good faith leases or contracts to sell, lease or mortgage the same, or if the successful bidder at a foreclosure sale under a mortgage covered by this policy refuses to purchase and in any such event the title to said estate or interest is rejected as unmarketable, the Insured shall notify the Company thereof in writing.

If such notice shall not be given to the Company within ten days of the receipt of process or pleadings or if the Insured shall not, in writing, promptly notify the Company of any defect, lien or encumbrance insured against which shall come to the knowledge of the Insured, or if the Insured shall not, in writing, promptly notify the Company of any such rejection by reason of claimed unmarketability of title, then all liability of the Company in regard to the subject matter of such action, proceeding or matter shall cease and terminate; provided, however, that failure to notify shall in no case prejudice the claim of any Insured unless the Company shall be actually prejudiced by such failure and then only to the extent of such prejudice.

(c) The Company shall have the right at its own cost to institute and prosecute any action or proceeding or do any other act which in its opinion may be necessary or desirable to establish the title of the estate or interest or the lien of the mortgage as insured; and the Company may take any appropriate action under the terms of this policy whether or not it shall be liable thereunder and shall not thereby concede liability or waive any provision of this policy.

(d) In all cases where this policy permits or requires the Company to prosecute or provide for the defense of any action or proceeding, the Insured shall secure to it the right to so prosecute or provide defense in such action or proceeding, and all appeals therein, and permit it to use, at its option, the name of the Insured for such purpose. Whenever requested by the Company the Insured shall give the Company all reasonable aid in any such action or proceeding, in effecting settlement, securing evidence, obtaining witnesses, or prosecuting or defending such action or proceeding, and the Company shall reimburse the Insured for any expense so incurred.

5. Notice of Loss — Limitation of Action

In addition to the notices required under paragraph 4(b), a statement in writing of any loss or damage for which it is claimed the Company is liable under this policy shall be furnished to the Company within sixty days after such loss or damage shall have been determined and no right of action shall accrue to the Insured under this policy until thirty days after such statement shall have been furnished, and no recovery shall be had by the Insured under this policy unless action shall be commenced thereon within five years after expiration of said thirty-day period. Failure to furnish such statement of loss or damage, or to commence such action within the time hereinbefore specified, shall be a conclusive bar against maintenance by the Insured of any action under this policy.

6. Option to Pay, Settle or Compromise Claims

The Company shall have the option to pay or settle or compromise for or in the name of the Insured any claim insured against or to pay the full amount of this policy, or, in case loss is claimed under this policy by the owner of the indebtedness secured by a mortgage covered by this policy, the Company shall have the option to purchase said indebtedness; such purchase, payment or tender of payment of the full amount of this policy, together with all costs, attorneys' fees and expenses which the Company is obligated hereunder to pay, shall terminate all liability of the Company hereunder. In the event, after notice of claim has been

CONDITIONS AND STIPULATIONS (Continued on Reverse Side)

Copyright 1963 (Amended 1969) California Land Title Association

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

SCHEDULE A

Amount $ 1,800.00

Fee $ 46.00

Policy Date
October 5, 1972
At 1:46 P. M.

Policy No. 29-005-03-0045

Order No. 1793

INSURED

FRANK W. LEWIS

1. The estate or interest in the land described or referred to in this schedule covered by this policy is:

A FEE

2. Title to the Estate or interest covered by this policy at the date hereof is vested in:

FRANK W. LEWIS

3. The land referred to in this policy is situated in the County of White Pine, State of Nevada, and is described as follows:

All those certain patented lode mining claims situate in White Pine Mining District, County of White Pine, State of Nevada, more particularly described as follows:

Kongsberg Lode	U.S. Patent No. 1664	U.S. Survey No. 85
Madison Lode	U.S. Patent No. 309983	U.S. Survey No. 403

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

SCHEDULE B

Policy No. 29-005-03-00455

This policy does not insure against loss or damage by reason of the following:

PART I

1. Taxes or assessments which are not shown as existing liens by the records of any taxing authority that levies taxes or assessments on real property or by the public records.
2. Any facts, rights, interests, or claims which are not shown by the public records but which could be ascertained by an inspection of said land or by making inquiry of persons in possession thereof.
3. Easements, claims of easement or encumbrances which are not shown by the public records.
4. Discrepancies, conflicts in boundary lines, shortage in area, encroachments or any other facts which a correct survey would disclose, and which are not shown by the public records.
5. Unpatented mining claims; reservations or exceptions in patents or in Acts authorizing the issuance thereof; water rights, claims or title to water.

PART II

1. Taxes for the year 1972-1973, including any secured personal property taxes, a lien, but not yet due or payable.

Validating Signatory

NOTE: The following endorsements appearing after Schedule B are an integral part of this policy:

None

Form 3236—(R 11-70)

Schedule B of this Policy consists of 1 pages.

Chicago Title Insurance Company

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

given to the Company by the Insured, the Company offers to purchase said indebtedness, the owner of such indebtedness shall transfer and assign said indebtedness and the mortgage securing the same to the Company upon payment of the purchase price.

7. Payment of Loss

(a) The liability of the Company under this policy shall in no case exceed, in all, the actual loss of the Insured and costs and attorneys' fees which the Company may be obligated hereunder to pay.

(b) The Company will pay, in addition to any loss insured against by this policy, all costs imposed upon the Insured in litigation carried on by the Company for the Insured, and all costs and attorneys' fees in litigation carried on by the Insured with the written authorization of the Company.

(c) No claim for damages shall arise or be maintainable under this policy (1) if the Company, after having received notice of an alleged defect, lien, or encumbrance not excepted or excluded herein, removes such defect, lien or encumbrance within a reasonable time after receipt of such notice, or (2) for liability voluntarily assumed by the Insured in settling any claim or suit without written consent of the Company, or (3) in the event the title is rejected as unmarketable because of a defect, lien or encumbrance not excepted or excluded in this policy, until there has been a final determination by a court of competent jurisdiction sustaining such rejection.

(d) All payments under this policy, except payments made for costs, attorneys' fees and expenses, shall reduce the amount of the insurance pro tanto and no payment shall be made without producing this policy for endorsement of such payment unless the policy be lost or destroyed, in which case proof of such loss or destruction shall be furnished to the satisfaction of the Company; provided, however, if the owner of an indebtedness secured by a mortgage shown in Schedule B is an Insured herein, then such payments shall not reduce pro tanto the amount of the insurance afforded hereunder as to such Insured, except to the extent that such payments reduce the amount of the indebtedness secured by such mortgage. Payment in full by any person or voluntary satisfaction or release by the Insured of a mortgage covered by this policy shall terminate all liability of the Company to the insured owner of the indebtedness secured by such mortgage, except as provided in paragraph 2 hereof.

(e) When liability has been definitely fixed in accordance with the conditions of this policy the loss or damage shall be payable within thirty days thereafter.

8. Liability Noncumulative

It is expressly understood that the amount of this policy is reduced by any amount the Company may pay under any policy insuring the validity or priority of any mortgage shown or referred to in Schedule B hereof or any mortgage hereafter executed by the Insured which is a charge or lien on the estate or interest described or referred to in Schedule A, and the amount so paid shall be deemed a payment to the Insured under this policy. The provisions of this paragraph numbered 8 shall not apply to an Insured owner of an indebtedness secured by a mortgage shown in Schedule B unless such Insured acquires title to said estate or interest in satisfaction of said indebtedness or any part thereof.

9. Subrogation upon Payment or Settlement

Whenever the Company shall have settled a claim under this policy, all right of subrogation shall vest in the Company unaffected by any act of the Insured, and it shall be subrogated to and be entitled to all rights and remedies which the Insured would have had against any person or property in respect to such claim had this policy not been issued. If the payment does not cover the loss of the Insured, the Company shall be subrogated to such rights and remedies in the proportion which said payment bears to the amount of said loss. If loss should result from any act of the Insured, such act shall not void this policy, but the Company, in that event, shall be required to pay only that part of any losses insured against hereunder which shall exceed the amount, if any, lost to the Company by reason of the impairment of the right of subrogation. The Insured, if requested by the Company, shall transfer to the Company all rights and remedies against any person or property necessary in order to perfect such right of subrogation, and shall permit the Company to use the name of the Insured in any transaction or litigation involving such rights or remedies.

If the Insured is the owner of the indebtedness secured by a mortgage covered by this policy, such Insured may release or substitute the personal liability of any debtor or guarantor, or extend or otherwise modify the terms of payment, or release a portion of the estate or interest from the lien of the mortgage, or release any collateral security for the indebtedness, provided such act does not result in any loss of priority of the lien of the mortgage.

10. Policy Entire Contract

Any action or actions or rights of action that the Insured may have or may bring against the Company arising out of the status of the lien of the mortgage covered by this policy or the title of the estate or interest insured herein must be based on the provisions of this policy.

No provision or condition of this policy can be waived or changed except by writing endorsed hereon or attached hereto signed by the President, a Vice President, the Secretary, an Assistant Secretary or other validating officer of the Company.

11. Notices, Where Sent

All notices required to be given the Company and any statement in writing required to be furnished the Company shall be addressed to it at 111 W. Washington Street, Chicago, Illinois 60602 or at any branch office of the Company shown on the reverse side hereof.

12. Fee

The fee specified in Schedule A is the entire charge for title search, title examination and title insurance.

CALIFORNIA LAND TITLE ASSOCIATION

STANDARD COVERAGE POLICY 1963 (Amended 1969)

POLICY OF TITLE INSURANCE

CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

FRONTIER TITLE COMPANY
800 Campton Street
P. O. Box 276
Ely, Nevada 89301

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

PRELIMINARY REPORT

FRONTIER TITLE COMPANY

800 CAMPTON STREET · P. O. BOX 276 · ELY, NEVADA 89301 · (702) 289-2928

Frank W. Lewis
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Attention:
Your No.
Our No. T.C. 1533

In response to the above referenced application for a policy of title insurance, Chicago Title Insurance Company hereby reports that it is prepared to issue, or cause to be issued, as of the date hereof, a California Land Title Association Standard Coverage form Policy of Title Insurance describing the land and the estate or interest therein hereinafter set forth, insuring against loss which may be sustained by reason of any defect, lien or encumbrance not shown or referred to as an Exception below, or not excluded from coverage pursuant to the printed Schedules, Conditions and Stipulations of said policy form.

This report (and any supplements or amendments thereto) is issued solely for the purpose of facilitating the issuance of a policy of title insurance and no liability is assumed hereby. If it is desired that liability be assumed prior to the issuance of a policy of title insurance, a Binder or Commitment should be requested.

Dated: April 3, 1973 at 7:30 A.M.

Title Officer

The estate or interest in the land hereinafter described or referred to covered by this Report is: A Fee

Title to said estate or interest at the date hereof is vested in:

FRANK W. LEWIS

The land referred to in this Report is described as follows:

All those certain patented mining claims situate in White Pine Mining District, County of White Pine, State of Nevada, more particularly described as follows:

(DESCRIPTION CONTINUED)

Continued. . . .

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

PRELIMINARY REPORT

At the date hereof exceptions to coverage, in addition to the printed exceptions and exclusions contained in said policy form, would be as follows:

DESCRIPTION: (Continued)

U.S. Survey No. 47	California Lode
U.S. Survey No. 48	Irvine Lode (Also Known as Ervine)
U.S. Survey No. 53	C.C.D. Lode
U.S. Survey No. 57	C.T. Fay Lode
U.S. Survey No. 58A	New Defiance Lode
U.S. Survey No. 58B	New Defiance Millsite
U.S. Survey No. 59B	Compensation & Good Luck Millsite
U.S. Survey No. 60	St. John Del Rey Lode
U.S. Survey No. 71	Imperial Lode
U.S. Survey No. 76	Frustee Lode (2/3 interest)
U.S. Survey No. 4032	Silent Friend Lode
U.S. Survey No. 4398	Chief Lode
U.S. Survey No. 4398	Cuba Lode
U.S. Survey No. 4398	Manilla Lode
U.S. Survey No. 4398	Volcano Lode

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Continued. . . . .

CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY

PRELIMINARY REPORT

At the date hereof exceptions to coverage, in addition to the printed exceptions and exclusions contained in said policy form, would be as follows:

1. Taxes for the year 1972-1973, including any secured personal property taxes, a lien, but not yet due or payable.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

FRONTIER TITLE COMPANY

800 CAMPTON STREET • P. O. BOX 276 • ELY, NEVADA 89301 • (702) 289-2928

FEE: $75.00

ORDER NO. 1793

UNPATENTED MINING CLAIM REPORT

FRONTIER TITLE COMPANY does hereby issue this report to:

1st Commercial Title Inc.
1400 South Virginia Street
Reno, Nevada 89502

who, by accepting this report, agrees that the liability of the Company under this report shall not exceed the fee set forth herein and shall be limited to the actual loss, if less than said amount.

A search of the records of the County Recorder of White Pine County, Nevada, with respect to the hereinafter described unpatented mining claims, discloses the following instruments:

1. NOTICE OF LOCATION, by Andrew Menghini and R. S. Asher, dated January 28, 1934, recorded February 13, 1934 in Book 119, Page 43, File No. 52665. (Affects Rock Springs only)

2. NOTICE OF LOCATION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, Andrew Menghini and R. S. Asher, dated June 3, 1934, recorded June 5, 1934 in Book 119, Page 121, File No. 53026. (Affects Yakies only)

3. AMENDED CERTIFICATE OF LOCATION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, Andrew Menghini and R. S. Asher, dated June 3, 1934, recorded June 5, 1934 in Book 119, Page 121, File No. 53027. (Affects Rock Springs only)

4. NOTICE OF LOCATION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, Andrew Menghini and R. S. Asher, dated July 1, 1934, recorded July 9, 1934 in Book 119, Page 168. (Affects Extension only)

5. CERTIFICATE OF LOCATION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, Andrew Menghini and R. S. Asher, dated August 25, 1934, recorded August 28, 1934 in Book 119, Page 184, File No. 53560. (Affects Yakies only)

6. CERTIFICATE OF LOCATION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, Andrew Menghini and R. S. Asher, dated August 9, 1934, recorded June 27, 1935 in Book 119, Page 323, File No. 54623. (Affects Extension only)

7. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, Andrew Menghini and R. S. Asher, dated June 27, 1935, recorded June 28, 1935 as File No. 54661.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

8. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by R. S. Asher and Andrew Menghini, dated June 17, 1936, recorded June 20, 1936 as File No. 56248.

9. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Andrew Menghini, R. S. Asher and Paul J. Stirkegian, dated June 29, 1937, recorded June 29, 1937 as File No. 58115.

10. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated July 1, 1938, recorded July 1, 1938 as File No. 59723.

11. PROOF OF LABOR, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated September 7, 1939, recorded September 9, 1939 in Book 114, Page 507, File No. 61825.

12. PROOF OF LABOR, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated August 28, 1940, recorded August 30, 1940 in Book 132, Page 36, File No. 63762.

13. PROOF OF LABOR, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated August 15, 1941, recorded August 18, 1941 in Book 132, Page 154, File No. 65688.

14. AFFIDAVIT OF POSTING NOTICE, by Andrew Menghini, dated August 18, 1941, recorded August 18, 1941 as File No. 65697. (Affects Rock Springs only)

15. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated June 23, 1942, recorded June 25, 1942 as File No. 67712.

16. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated June 21, 1943, recorded June 22, 1943 as File No. 69621.

17. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated June 28, 1944, recorded July 17, 1944 as File No. 71869.

18. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated June 28, 1945, recorded June 28, 1945 as File No. 73594.

19. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated June 13, 1946, recorded June 13, 1946 as File No. 76099.

20. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated June 2, 1947, recorded June 4, 1947 as File No. 78855.

21. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Paul J. Stirkegian, dated June 25, 1948, recorded June 26, 1948 as File No. 81293.

22. ASSESSMENT EXEMPTION, by Andrew Menghini, dated July 29, 1949, recorded July 29, 1949 as File No. 83383.

23. PROOF OF LABOR, by Andrew Menghini, dated February 6, 1951, recorded February 6, 1951 in Book 159, Page 2, File No. 86182.

24. AGREEMENT, by Andrew Menghini and Irene Menghini, Husband and Wife, to sell and convey said claims to Fred E. Anderson, recorded November 21, 1951 in Book 157, Page 145, White Pine County.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

25. ASSIGNMENT of the Vendees interest under Agreement shown as Item 24 herein to Gold Zone Mining Corporation, recorded November 21, 1951 in Book 157, Page 148, White Pine County.

26. PROOF OF LABOR, by Irene Menghini, dated June 30, 1952, recorded June 30, 1952 in Book 159, Page 429.

27. DECREE OF DISTRIBUTION, issued out of the Estate of Andrew A. Menghini, Deceased, Distributing said claims to Irene Menghini, an undivided 3/4 and Irene Menghini as Guardian of the Estate of James Menghini, a minor, an undivided 1/4 interest, recorded July 7, 1952 in Book 170, Page 43, White Pine County.

28. PROOF OF LABOR, by Albert S. Simrak for Irene or Andrew Menghini, dated June 12, 1953, recorded June 29, 1953 in Book 171, Page 117, File No. 91550.

29. PROOF OF LABOR, by Irene Menghini, dated June 28, 1954, recorded June 28, 1954 in Book 171, Page 402, File No. 94482.

30. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, dated June 30, 1955, recorded June 30, 1955 in Book 186, Page 176, File No. 97950.

31. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, recorded July 2, 1956 in Book 200, Page 17, File No. 101157.

32. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, dated July 2, 1957, recorded July 3, 1957 in Book 200, Page 354, File No. 106592.

33. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, recorded June 23, 1958 in Book 220, Page 4, File No. 108966.

34. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, dated August 28, 1959, recorded August 28, 1959 in Book 220, Page 405, File No. 112537.

35. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, recorded August 31, 1960 in Book 228, Page 245, File No. 115321.

36. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, recorded August 30, 1961 in Book 236, Page 28, File No. 118445.

37. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, recorded August 29, 1962 in Book 236, Page 343, File No. 121445.

38. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, dated August 29, 1963, recorded August 29, 1963 in Book 253, Page 108, File No. 126387.

39. PROOF OF LABOR, by James Menghini for Irene Menghini, dated July 21, 1964, recorded July 21, 1964 in Book 253, Page 286.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

40. PROOF OF LABOR, by Daniel Meyer and John C. Osmond for Irene Menghini, dated August 24, 1965, recorded August 26, 1965 in Book 268, Page 243, File No. 133705.

41. PROOF OF LABOR, by Daniel Meyer and John C. Osmond for Irene Menghini, dated August 8, 1966, recorded August 12, 1966 in Book 268, Page 491, File No. 136952.

42. PROOF OF LABOR, by Daniel H. Meyer, dated August 2, 1967, recorded August 3, 1967 in Book 281, Page 342, File No. 140227.

43. PROOF OF LABOR, by Daniel H. Meyer, dated August 27, 1968, recorded August 28, 1968 in Book 293, Page 478, File No. 143839.

44. PROOF OF LABOR, by Robert Humsaker for Irene Menghini, dated August 3, 1968, recorded August 30, 1968 in Book 302, Page 34, File No. 143911.

45. PROOF OF LABOR, by Irene Menghini, dated September 2, 1969, recorded September 2, 1969 in Book 302, Page 437, File No. 149881.

46. PROOF OF LABOR, by Irene Menghini, dated August 25, 1970, recorded August 25, 1970 in Book 314, Page 228, File No. 161915.

47. PROOF OF LABOR, by Irene Menghini, recorded August 27, 1971 in Book 342, Page 265, File No. 170960.

48. PROOF OF LABOR, by Irene Menghini, dated August 21, 1972, recorded August 24, 1972 in Book 351, Page 202, File No. 174449.

The unpatented mining claims referred to in this Report are situate in White Pine Mining District, County of White Pine, State of Nevada, more particularly described as follows:

Name of Lode Claims	Certificate of Location	
	Book	Page
Rock Springs	119	121
Yakies	119	184
Extension	119	323

THIS REPORT IS SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS:

1. The taxes, tax sales, assessments have not been examined.

2. The covenants, conditions and restrictions, easements, rights of way, if any, not expressly set forth herein, have not been examined.

3. No representation is made as to the completeness, validity, legal effect, priority of the various documents and matters reported herein, reference being made to the public records for particulars.

4. This report is limited to those matters which appear of record in such a manner as to impart constructive notice.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

5. This report does not include matters affecting mining claims of the same name as the claims described herein which are located in any other mining district other than White Pine Mining District.

6. The liability under this report is limited to an amount not to exceed the fee set forth herein.

FRONTIER TITLE COMPANY

By
William A. Romeo

DATED: As of September 8, 1972
At 7:30 A. M.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

FRONTIER TITLE COMPANY

800 CAMPTON STREET • P. O. BOX 276 • ELY, NEVADA 89301 • (702) 289-2928

First Commercial Title Inc.
1400 South Virginia Street
Reno, Nevada 89502

October 5, 1972

Order No. 1793

SUPPLEMENTAL
UNPATENTED MINING CLAIM REPORT

The above numbered Unpatented Mining Claim Report is hereby modified and/or supplemented in order to reflect the following additional items:

1. Quitclaim Deed dated August 22, 1972, recorded August 25, 1972 in Book 350, Page 190, File No. 174479, Mining Deed Records, from Irene Menghini to James A. Menghini.

This supplement is made part of said report and is subject to all covenants and conditions therein.

FRONTIER TITLE COMPANY

By

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

NOTICE TO CO-OWNERS OF FAILURE TO CONTRIBUTE TO OR PERFORM ASSESSMENT WORK AND OF FORFEITURE OF INTERESTS IN UNPATENTED MINING CLAIMS

TO: PAUL J. SIRKEGIAN, HIS HEIRS, ADMINISTRATORS, AND TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,
AND
TO: R. S. ASHER, HIS HEIRS, ADMINISTRATORS, AND TO ALL WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

YOU AND EACH OF YOU are hereby notified that from 1962 to 1971, inclusive, as co-owners or successors in interest in the following-described unpatented lode mining claims, the undersigned, and his predecessors in interest, as a co-owner in said mining claims, did expend $100.00 per year, or more, on each of the said mining claims for labor and improvements. You and each of you, as co-owners or successors in interest, have failed to perform or contribute to the assessment work required to be done on said claims during the entire said period, and no excuse whatsoever is evidenced or apparent to justify such failure. Said mining claims are situate in the White Pine Mining District, White Pine County, Nevada, and are evidenced by and described in Notices and Certificates of Location, which appear of record in the office of the Recorder of White Pine County, Nevada, in Ely, Nevada, in the books and at the pages as set forth below:

Extension: Book 119, Page 168; Book 119, Page 323 Yackie: Book 119, Page 121; Book 119, Page 184 Rock Springs: Book 119, Page 43; Book 119, Pages 121-123

The aforementioned books and records are incorporated and made a part of this notice by this reference as if fully set forth herein.

In order that none of the said mining claims be considered as abandoned or forfeited, assessment work having a value of at least $100.00 is required to be performed on each said mining claim, annually. The undersigned, or his predecessors in interest, as co-owners, have expended $300.00 per year ($100.00 per claim), or more, to insure that such annual assessment work was performed, during the entire said period of nine years. As a result of your failure to perform or contribute to the aforementioned annual assessment work, the amount which is due and owing from you to the undersigned is $1,800.00, being two-thirds (2-3) of $2,700.00, the minimum amount which was expended on the said mining claims for assessment work by the undersigned and his predecessors in interest so that said mining claims could not be considered as abandoned or forfeited.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that if within ninety (90) days after this Notice, which has been caused to be published by the undersigned, you fail or refuse to contribute and tender your portion of the aforementioned expenditures made for assessment work, being $1,800.00, or $900.00 per the interests of PAUL J. SIRKEGIAN and R. S. ASHER each, to the undersigned, your interests in the aforesaid mining claims shall be forfeited to and become the property of the undersigned, pursuant to the provisions of 30 U.S.C. sec. 28.

DATED: Nov. 17, 1972

JAMES A. MENGHINI
5891 Middle Fork Drive
Sun Valley, Nevada

Publish Ely Daily Times. Nov. 30, Dec. 7, 14, 21, 28, 1972
January 4, 11, 18, 25, February 1, 8, 15, 22, & 27, 1973

No. _____

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Filed _____

_____ County Clerk

STATE OF NEVADA
County of White Pine } ss.

By _____ Deputy

Darlene Wood, being first duly sworn, deposes and says he/she is Bookkeeper of THE DAILY TIMES, a Daily newspaper published at Ely, in said county and state; that he knows of and has charge of all advertising in said paper; that the hereto annexed advertisement was published in said newspaper .....14..... consecutive weeks commencing on the .....30th..... day of .....November....., 1972, and ending on the .....27th..... day of .....January....., 1973

.....Darlene Wood.....

Subscribed and sworn to before me FEB 28 1973

.....James R. Prince.....
Notary Public

JAMES R. PRINCE
Notary Public — State of Nevada
White Pine County
My Commission Expires Feb. 2, 1974

176330

#176330

Recorded at request of F.W. Lewis

DATE: 4/13/73 TIME: 9:30AM
BOOK NO. 353 PAGE NO. 159 TO .....
Miscellaneous Record
BY: James J. Mahoney, RECORDER
WHITE PINE COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

DM
Fee: $3.00

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

QUITCLAIM DEED

In consideration of Ten ($10.00) Dollars, receipt of which is acknowledged, and other valuable consideration, JAMES A. MENGHINI and HAZEL A. MENGHINI, do hereby remise, release and forever quitclaim to FRANK W. LEWIS, and to his heirs and assigns forever the real property in the County of White Pine, State of Nevada, described as:

WHITE PINE MINING DISTRICT

UNPATENTED CLAIMS

Name of Lode Claims	Certificate of Location Book	Page
Rock Springs	119	121-123
Yakies	119	184
Extension	119	323

TOGETHER WITH ALL the dips, spurs and angles, and also all the metals, ores, gold and silver bearing quartz, rock and earth therein: and all the rights, privileges and franchises thereto incident, appendant, and appurtenant, or therewith usually had and enjoyed; and also all and singular the tenements, hereditaments, leases, cabins, dumps, and appurtenances thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining, and the rents, issues and profits hereof.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD UNTO said Frank W. Lewis, and to his heirs and assigns forever.

Dated: August 25, 1972.

James A. Menghini
James A. Menghini

Hazel A. Menghini
Hazel A. Menghini

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Grantee: Lewis, 120 Greenridge Drive, Reno, Nevada

To 1793

#25176-2

STATE OF NEVADA)
) ss:
COUNTY OF WASHOE)

On SEPTEMBER 5, 1972, personally appeared before me, a Notary Public, JAMES A. MENGHINI and HAZEL A. MENGHINI, who acknowledged that they executed the above instrument.

NORMA C. FINK
Notary Public — State of Nevada
Washoe County
My Commission Expires Aug. 26, 1974

NORMA C. FINK
Notary Public — State of Nevada
Washoe County
My Commission Expires Aug. 26, 1974

NOTARY PUBLIC

REAL PROPERTY TRANSFER TAX STAMP
$ .55
STATE OF NEVADA
BOOK 14 1973

Real Property Transfer Tax = $0.55

Escrow Deed No Affidavit

176164

#176164

Recorded at request of Frontier Title Co.

DATE: 3/14/73 TIME: 3:55 PM
BOOK NO. 350 PAGE NO. 249 TO 250
MINING DEED RECORD
BY: James J. Mahoney, RECORDER
WHITE PINE COUNTY, STATE OF NEVADA

Fee: $4.00 DM

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

IMPERIAL SILVER MINES - UNIFIED

F. W. Lewis
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Phone: (702) 323-0303

The Kongsberg Mine, Fay, Imperial, and C.O.D. patents are some of the oldest mines in Nevada, having been located prior to the General Mining Laws of 1872, in the 1860's, under local rules and customs of the White Pine Mining District.

In the early history of the White Pine rush the claims were worked with each one being developed separately. No significant work was done in the early days as the ore contained too much copper to be treated by the mills of the area. The properties have been held in several separate ownerships for over 100 years, and now are finally grouped into one holding so they can be prospected and worked as a unit. The re-organized properties contain six patented claims and eight unpatented claims and have been re-named the IMPERIAL SILVER MINES.

The following notes are a brief description of the ore showings on the claims:

FAY MINE. The Fay Mine was an obvious high grade ore body and one of the first mines located at Hamilton. The ore was,

however, impossible to work by the early day methods of extraction which were limited either to the Amalgam Pan or early stamp mills. The ore is high grade, but complex.

A sample of the ore assayed as follows:

Gold	.06	oz.
Silver	14.60	oz.
Copper	3.20	%
Lead	10.40	%
Zinc	1.8	%
Bismuth	.018	%
Vanadium Pentoxide	.72	%

This sample was not a select sample but would probably be typical of the ore that was mined and could be mined on the average from the bedded deposits filled by the east west mineralizing fissures, except it is lower in Silver and Copper than much of the ore mined. The gangue of the vein (bedding) is silicious and also runs approximately 10% iron and various other metals, some of which might also pay; such as Mercury and Molybdenum.

There is little question extensions of this ore bedded horizon can be mined by additional work.

An important aspect of this horizon is that it shows the replacement characteristics which accompany favorable horizons and structure when cut by the important mineral feeders.

A remarkable similarity exists between the thin quartzite beds at this horizon and the quartzite at the Eureka Quartzite horizon which horizon underlies the area and is several hundred feet thick.

It is reasonable to expect that an additional ore horizon for bedded ore will occur, in the Eureka Quartzite horizon, similar to the Fay type ore deposits which have already been mined. The replacement of the Fay type of quartzite beds is not an isolated occurrence as they also made similar ore bodies in other localities in the district, such as the productive Homestake Mine.

The Fay bedding replacement type ore body was a pre-mineral bedding plane of quartzite, also cut by pre-mineral fault pattern. The last phase of fault was the mineral fissure which introduced the ore solutions. As the early miners worked from the outcrop along the 20° dip of the bed they sank on the ore.

This pattern of occurrence should persist approximately 1,000 feet easterly to the east boundary fault, which would displace this occurrence on a down fault pattern approximately 500 to 1,000 feet. Even then one can expect it to persist on this lower level.

Following is an excerpt from the Notes of Survey No. 57, C.T. Fay Lode, July 31, 1872, by Thomas J. Read, U.S. Deputy Mineral Surveyor: "The Continental Silver Mining Company's claim upon the C.T. Fay Lode . . . . is found in a North and South fissure in the Limestone, dipping about 20° to the East and varys from 2 to 4 feet in width. The ore is carbonate

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

and galena and required working by the smelting process, and yields from $75.00 to $100.00 per ton in Silver with a high percentage of lead. About 250 tons of ore have been extracted from the mine, 200 tons of which are still in the dump at the mine."

Nevada Bureau of Mines Bulletin 57, "Geology of the White Pine Mining District, White Pine County, Nevada," 1960, P. 101, has the following concerning the Fay Mine: "The mine workings are at the horizon of the quartzite beds of the Lone Mountain dolomite.

"Couch and Carpenter (1943) list the production of the Fay Mine between 1870 and 1893 at 287 tons with a gross yield of $15,857. Rough calculations based on the approximate size of the stopes indicate that at least 1,200 tons of rock were mined.

"Since the lead smelters erected in this area in the 1870's were reportedly unsuccessful, it was apparently not until about 1890 that the base metal ores in the district were mined and treated profitably. The complex nature of the ore no doubt created a difficult metallurgical problem. Seemingly good ore was placed on the dump and most of it was later screened and shipped.

"Three samples taken from the mine for assay yielded the following:

	Copper Percent	Lead Percent	Zinc Percent	Silver Ounces/Ton
No. 1	8.0	5.2	9.4	20.20
No. 2	4.2	6.7	1.3	18.80
No. 3	25.2	Trace	8.1	0.80

"Sample No. 1 was taken from a small stock pile on the dump. Sample No. 2 is from a pillar about 100 feet from the face of the main drift. Sample No. 3 was taken from approximately 15 feet below the collar of the winze shown on the cross section. The ore is a copper ore with subordinate lead and zinc. Apparently the Silver is associated with the Lead.

"The beds dip approximately 25° E. The ore is cut by at least three west-dipping faults with 8 to 15 feet of displacement, but the ore control is primarily related to the south-dipping east-west fractures. The ore values diminish toward the face of the main drift, probably due to the lack of good mineralizing cross-fractures. The series of small north-dipping faults at the face cut off the remnants of the mineralization. The drag on the bedding indicates reverse movement along this fault zone.

"Similar mineralization might be expected elsewhere on the west slope of Treasure Hill where the quartzite beds intersect zones of pre-mineral faulting and shearing."

C.O.D. MINE: The C.O.D. Mine is located along the main fissure vein which is probably the main feeder vein for the

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Fay Mine. The C.O.D. vein is developed by two shafts which can no longer be entered without putting in new ladders. Little mining beyond prospecting was done.

An assay of some of the ore taken off the dump follows:

Gold	.01	oz.
Silver	33.00	oz.
Copper	20.40	%
Lead	29.1	%
Zinc	16.0	%
Bismuth	0	
Vanadium Pentoxide	.003	%

The ore gangue is silicious, with 6 to 8 percent of Iron and a significant amount of Molybdenum, Mercury, and Germanium present in the ores.

The following notes depict the Engineer's opinion who was in the mine when it was patented; Notes of Survey No. 53, C.O.D. Lode, May 14, 1872, by Thomas J. Read, U.S. Deputy Mineral Surveyor: "The Lode is found in an East and West fissure of the Limestone and extending downward nearly vertical through the horizontal strata of limestone. The average width of the vein matter is 5 feet and is well defined as far as explorations have extended.

"The ore is carbonate and galena. Samples have yielded as high as $500 to the ton. The average value may be stated as $45.00 per ton. It will require working by the dry crushing and roasting or by the smelting process. No ore from the mine has been worked."

IMPERIAL MINE: The Imperial Mine is upon the strongest vein system developed in the Hamilton mining area. This vein protrudes near the exact center of the uplift at the most mineralized center of the district. The vein makes very rich ore along an ore shoot several hundred feet long on an east west trend. The vein width was stoped out as much as 15 feet wide and has a tendency to pinch and swell. The early miners could not work the ore with much success despite the high silver grade due to the complexity of the ore which contains a high percentage of Copper, Lead, Zinc, and other metals.

Lessees worked the mine at a later time than the early period and the last recorded shipment made in 1911 ran 70.5 oz. Silver, 4.32% Copper, and 35.6% Lead.

The Imperial vein is a strong fissure vein with every appearance of continuing down dip in good ore. The lessees worked only the surface and no attempt was made to do any sort of sinking or development work.

The Imperial vein and the C.O.D. vein are probably one vein, being on different ore shoots.

The tenor of the ore from the veins is best summarized by the above shipping record. However, the following assays also give some idea of the ore values which may persist at depth on the Imperial ore shoot.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Some assays from the Imperial Claim follow:

	Gold Oz/Ton	Silver Oz/Ton	Copper %	Lead %	Zinc %	Bismuth %
No. 1	.01	25.70	7.65	23.7	13.1	
No. 2	.01	47.40	7.20	29.2	5.5	
No. 3	.01	52.80	7.05	33.0		
No. 4	.01	29.70	1.14	37.1	17.0	
No. 5	.03	97.60	10.75	29.1	2.7	.01

Sample No. 1 is gob in vein (vein continues, more ore here, on main tunnel level under ladder). Indication that this same vein would continue down on strike and dip on rake of ore.

Sample No. 2 - up raise. (Above wooden ore shoot, much yellow and green. Remnant of shipping grade. Some of this type material can be mined where remnant.)

Sample No. 3 - (1 foot flat ore, seems to be continuing, East end of Imperial. Up raise from lowest tunnel in ore chamber.) Brown limonite, a little green calcite, not much quartz.

Sample No. 4 (same place as No. 3 above only in ceiling. Vug of ore, brown, crushed up, calcitic, showed cerrusite.)

Sample No. 5 - third tunnel up. There is about one foot of this ore continuing on up. It is close to the surface and also appears to go down. West of shaft, short prospect drift; no road.

CONCLUSION: There is no significant tonnage developed on the properties. However, as no modern exploration work has been done, the properties can be described as a better than average prospect with unusual ore values.

With the unitization of the properties in one holding they offer an excellent opportunity to work on a showing that has never been explored below the levels driven over 100 years ago.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

IMPERIAL MINES

FAY - SILENT FRIEND AREA

White Pine Mining District
Hamilton, Nevada

by

Fred L. Humphrey
Geology Department
University of Nevada, Reno

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ORE MINERAL EXPLORATION
in the
SILENT FRIEND - FAY AREA
WHITE PINE MINING DISTRICT, NEVADA

SUMMARY

The dolomite rocks of the Silent Friend claim, the Fay claim and portions of adjoining claims, contain strong mineralization along numerous east-west fractures. The oxidized mineralized material runs high in silver, copper, lead and zinc. In addition to the mineralized "feeder" fractures there are several horizons of bedded ore fed by the "feeder" fractures. One of the most important horizons for bedded ore is that of sandy dolomite at the horizon of thin quartzite beds in the dolomite. At the Fay mine and at the Rocco-Homestake mine 1½ miles west, old stopes at the above mentioned "quartzite horizon" are as much as 5 to 7 feet high. The accompanying cross sections show proposed diamond drill holes to explore for bedding mineralization in the dolomite rocks of the Silent Friend claim.

INTRODUCTION

The Silent Friend - Fay area is about 8000 feet S. 15° W. from the Hamilton Townsite in the White Pine Mining District, Nevada. A graded road from Hamilton to Shermantown passes about 1200 feet west of the claims, but at an elevation about 300 feet lower. However, an old road, approximately level, leads into the Silent Friend claim branching from the Shermantown road about 4000 feet north of the Silent Friend claim. This old road can very easily be dozed out for truck access onto the Silent Friend claim.

More than sufficient water for drilling purposes is available from a spring and storage tank about two miles northwest of Shermantown. The haulage distance to the proposed drill sites is about three miles.

GEOLOGY

The rocks of the Silent Friend claim and adjacent area are dolomite comprising portions of Members 3, 4 and 5 of the Lone Mountain Dolomite formation. (See Bulletin 57, Nevada Bureau of Mines publication, 1960, by Fred L. Humphrey.)

Practically all ore mined in the district, other than the rich silver chloride ore of Treasure Hill, has

occurred in three contiguous formations, two of which are dolomite; the Lone Mountain Dolomite, the underlying Hanson Creek Dolomite, and the Eureka Quartzite underlying the Hanson Creek Dolomite.

There are two fault blocks of the Lone Mountain Dolomite exposed in the Treasure Hill area east of the Belmont Fault. One block containing the Silent Friend - Fay deposits, on the west slope of Treasure Hill, is exposed for a length of about three miles, in a north-south direction, gradually being faulted out to the north. The basal member of the formation is not exposed.

The second block, about one mile square, comprises the Rocco Canyon Fault block. It is east of the large Belmont Fault and about one mile west of the first mentioned block. Both blocks of rock are strongly fractured and faulted.

The Hanson Creek Dolomite and the Eureka Quartzite should underlie the Lone Mountain Dolomite in these two fault blocks.

DESCRIPTION OF MEMBERS OF THE LONE MOUNTAIN DOLOMITE

Member 1 (bottom) consists of about 450 feet of light gray, coarse-grained dolomite. Member 2, 300 to 400 feet thick, consists of a lower dark fine-grained dolomite which weathers to a faint reddish tinge, overlaid by 200 feet of mostly light gray, coarse-grained dolomite.

These are regarded as one member because there are beds of the dark, fine-grained dolomite in the light gray, coarse-grained dolomite. Member 3, which averages about 300 feet thick, is a very fine-grained, white porcelaneous dolomite. There is an unusual and significant series of thin quartzite beds and sandy dolomite beds in the upper part of this member. (This is a horizon that is particularly amenable to ore-mineral replacement.) Member 4 consists of approximately 300 feet of coarse-grained sugary, alternating medium and light gray beds, with a few dark gray beds. Member 5 is dominantly a dark to medium gray, mottled dolomite between 500 and 700 feet thick. It is gradational with Member 4 over a stratigraphic interval of more than 100 feet with alternating beds of coarse-grained, light gray dolomite and mottled, dark gray dolomite. The mottled appearance of portions of this member is commonly distinct on weathered surfaces. Small medium to light gray lenses are in a matrix of dark gray fine to medium-grained dolomite.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

The basal portion of the overlying Nevada Limestone consists of thin bedded limestone with buff and reddish partings quite distinct from the overlying massive limestone. In the general area of the Silent Friend claim this thin bedded limestone is dolomitized and sometimes mineralized as in the Madison Adit No. 1.

ORE MINERALIZATION

One of the most favorable horizons for bedded ore in the Lone Mountain Dolomite is the quartzite - sandy dolomite horizon, about 40 feet thick, at the top of Member 3; but also there are a number of dolomite beds in Members 4 and 5 that are particularly amenable to replacement. These are distinctly seen cropping out in the southern half of the Silent Friend claim, and some are shown on the sections of proposed drill holes.

While certain favorable porous beds of dolomite are particularly amenable to ore mineral replacement fed by solutions following fractures, there are also important fissure or vein type deposits in the Lone Mountain Dolomite. Two important examples in the Silent Friend - Fay area are in the Imperial claim and the Onetha claim.

This type of deposit varies from the bedding replacement in that the replaced material was first ground up along a strong fissure or fault producing wide tabular bodies of broken and pulverized dolomite. This material would be very permeable and so could be easily "flooded" and replaced by mineral bearing solutions. These fissure or vein deposits are easterly bearing with steep dip and are obviously the same as other mineralized east-west fissures, except that they accomodated a greater amount of brecciation.

RESULTS OF RANDOM SAMPLES

Random samples of mineralized rock in place and from piles on dumps of various prospects and mine workings in the Silent Friend - Fay area were taken for the purpose of determining the type of mineralization (ore metals present) and the percentage range that might be expected. They were not taken for the purpose of attempting to indicate a developed ore tonnage.

Sample locations:

1. Bottom Fay Winze No. 1 below Station A.
2. In pillar north of Sample 1. Mineralization varies 1 inch to 1 foot thick.
3. Ten feet north of Sample 2. On top of quartzite bed.

The cross sections show known bedding mineralization and also the approximate depth to the quartzite horizon.

My preliminary recommendation is to drill proposed holes H1, H4, and H7A. These holes should be drilled to the depth of the quartzite horizon. The approximate position of the quartzite horizon is shown on the cross sections at a probable minimum dip of 25 degrees and a probable maximum dip of 35 degrees. In addition there is at least one other known horizon of potential bedding mineralization on each section (except H8) above the quartzite horizon; and there could be several because the bedding mineralization shown in each section is not the same stratigraphic horizon.

Hole H1 will have a depth ranging between 250 feet and 320 feet.

Hole H4 will have a depth ranging between 300 feet and 380 feet.

Hole H7A will have a depth ranging between 230 feet and 300 feet.

With any reasonable encouragement from one or more of the above three holes then hole H5 should be drilled. This hole would have a depth ranging between 230 feet and 300 feet.

Following the drilling of the above four holes, again with any reasonable encouragement, holes H7, H2, and H6 should be drilled followed in turn by holes H3 and H8. (Hole H7 might be moved 50 feet easterly depending on information from H7A.)

Most known mineralized fractures ("feeder fractures") are easterly bearing, having a steep dip approaching vertical. In order to explore by drilling for such mineralized fractures or "veins" wide enough to make ore bodies as in the Imperial claim, it will be necessary to have a drill rig that is capable of drilling angled holes. The previously proposed drilling program may give some encouraging data relative to the mineralized fractures or "veins", in which case an additional drilling program of angled holes may be contemplated.

A recommended project, separate from the drilling programs, is continued mapping and sampling in and around the Madison Adit No. 1. The two samples No. 13 and No. 15 represent bedding mineralization 8 inches thick and one foot thick respectively from the Madison Adit. They are among the richer samples collected for this report. Mapping and sampling should also be done in and around the old workings at the northeast corner of the Madison claim to tie in with the Madison Adit No. 1.

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

At the grade of samples No. 13 and No. 15 the ore "layer" would not have to be much thicker to make it potentially economic.


Section H1, H2, H3
Silent Friend Claim
Proposed Drill Holes
Line of Section N. 72° W.
1" = 50'
H1
H2
H3
Strong Min. Vertical
Fractures - Line of Sect.
25°
Bedding Mineralized
H1A-A1t.
Quartzite Horizon 25° Dip
35° Dip
N5
Road
8200
8100
8000
7900
F.L.H.
1973
F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Section H4
Silent Friend Claim
Proposed Drill Holes
← Line of Section N. 77° W.

1" = 50'



F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Section H5 H6
Silent Friend Claim
Proposed Drill Holes

1" = 50'

← Line of Section N 70° W



F. L. H.
1973

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502



F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502


Section H8
Silent Friend Claim
Proposed Drill Holes
1"=50'
Line of Section S.80° W.
H8
N-3
Road
30°
8100
Alt. H8A
Steep dip. Mineral Fractures
Strike approx. parallel section
8000
Quartzite Horizon 25° Dip
35° Dip
7900
7800
F. L. H.
1973
F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

CTIONS FOR USE

Block.

ressee

Supply Room for Reissue

NAME (OR TITLE) OF ADDRESSEE, AGENCY, ORGANIZATIONAL UNIT, ADDRESS, AND ROOM		NAME (OR TITLE) OF ADDRESSEE, AGENCY, ORGANIZATIONAL UNIT, ADDRESS, AND ROOM	
	STOP		STOP
	STOP		STOP
	STOP		STOP
	STOP		STOP
	STOP		STOP
	STOP		STOP
	STOP		STOP
	STOP		STOP
	STOP		STOP

Use other side first

☆ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1971-484-405/4

Standard Form No. 65
November 1961
General Services Administration
FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6

U.S. Government Messenger Envelope

NAME (OR TITLE) OF ADDRESSEE, AGENCY, ORGANIZATIONAL UNIT, ADDRESS, AND ROOM		NAME (OR TITLE) OF ADDRESSEE, AGENCY, ORGANIZATIONAL UNIT, ADDRESS, AND ROOM	
[redacted] Room 6236 [redacted]	STOP		STOP
MIP Rm. 1040 GSA only	STOP		STOP
[redacted] ~~Rm 6210 GSA only~~	STOP		STOP
SMC - [redacted], VA.	STOP		STOP
SPECIAL MAPPING C[illegible] 1943 NEWTON SQUARE, E. BLDG. E-1 RESTON, VIRGINIA 22090	STOP		STOP
~~John Wood~~	STOP		STOP
~~Charles Klatz~~	STOP		STOP
MAP Distribution Center 800 South Eads Street Arlington, Va	STOP (USGS)		STOP
~~1230 - USA~~	STOP		STOP
Harold Kirkemo 1717 H St. N.W	STOP		STOP

Use other side

Use STOP only when messenger service between Government buildings in Washington, D.C., is required or wherever a STOP system is used. Your mail room has STOP information.

65-107

MME Form 7
(2/63)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

Date	Surname	Code

October 24, 1973

Memorandum

To: The Files

From: Chief, Office of Minerals Exploration

Subject: OME-6892 (Silver-Copper-Gold)
Frank W. Lewis
Silent Friend - Fay property
White Pine County, Nevada

Justification for Denial of Application

The Applicant proposed drilling four vertical diamond drill holes for silver-copper-gold ore bodies at depths of 250 to 400 feet from the surface on a mining claim in the White Pine district, Nevada. The estimated total cost of the project was $20,000.

Four target areas were described by the applicant to be mineralized portions of certain members of the Lone Mountain Formation at or near their intersections with steep mineralized(?) fractures. Typical material in these ore bodies assayed 29 ounces silver per ton, 8 percent copper, 12 percent lead, and 14 percent zinc. The OME field examiner noted that the outcrop of one of these fractures was barren, and the extension of another which was mineralized could not be determined. Single drill holes to locate projections of such targets would be more in the nature of prospecting than exploring, and therefore would not qualify for OME assistance. This problem was discussed with the applicant who, on September 29, 1973, requested that the Field Officer "hold up" the application until next spring pending completion of further work to "do the job (application) properly."

The Field Officer recommends that the application be denied without prejudice to the property. I concur in this recommendation.

Harold Kirkemo

Harold Kirkemo

DRF
MR Rdg.
OME Rdg.
Mr. Kirkemo
Region II

HKirkemo/gpw

FILE COPY

October 24, 1973

Mr. Frank W. Lewis
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Re: OME-6892 (Silver-Copper-Gold)
Frank W. Lewis
Silent Friend - Fay property
White Pine County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Lewis:

Careful study of your application for financial assistance in exploring the Silent Friend - Fay property and of other information available to us indicates that the probability of disclosing significant silver-copper-gold ore reserves is not sufficiently promising to justify Government participation in the proposed exploration project. Accordingly, we regret to inform you that your application is denied.

This denial is issued without prejudice to the property. If subsequent work reveals evidence of more favorable exploration targets, we would be pleased to consider a revised application based on such evidence together with new cost data, bank letters, and other information necessary to up-date the original application.

Thank you for your interest in the mineral exploration program.

Sincerely yours,

Harold Kirkemo

Harold Kirkemo, Chief
Office of Minerals Exploration

cc:
DRF
MR Rdg.
OME Rdg.
OME Files
Mr. Kirkemo
Region II

HKirkemo/gpw

United States Department of the Interior

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
Office of Minerals Exploration
345 Middlefield Road
Menlo Park, California 94025

October 5, 1973

Memorandum

To: Chief, Office of Minerals Exploration
Washington, D. C.

From: Field Officer
Region II

Subject: OME-6892 (Silver-Copper-Gold)
Frank W. Lewis
Silent Friend-Fay Property
White Pine County, Nevada

Enclosed is an Application Report on the subject property recommending that the application be denied, as the proposed work is considered to be prospecting rather than exploration.

In view of the request from Mr. Frank W. Lewis dated September 29, 1973, to hold the application until he has time to revise it next spring, it is suggested that the application be denied without prejudice, and that Mr. Lewis be informed he can reactivate his application by submitting a revised proposal and new bank letters.

R. M. Smith
for H. K. Stager

Enclosures

UNITED STATES

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

ROGERS C.B. MORTON, SECRETARY

GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

OFFICE OF MINERALS EXPLORATION

REPORT OF EXAMINATION

REGION II

APPLICATION REPORT

OME-6892 (Silver-Copper-Gold)
Frank W. Lewis
Silent Friend-Fay Property
White Pine County, Nevada

By

R. M. Smith
Geologist
OME, Region II

October 5, 1973

INTRODUCTION

Frank W. Lewis, 120 Greenridge Drive, Reno, Nevada 89502, applied to the Office of Minerals Exploration, Docket OME-6892, for financial assistance in a $20,000 project to explore by drilling for silver-copper-gold ores at the Silent Friend-Fay Property, White Pine mining district, White Pine County, Nevada. A field examination was requested by OME and was made August 29, 1973, by R. M. Smith accompanied by the applicant and his brother, Don Lewis, 614 High Street, Ely, Nevada.

The Silent Friend No. 1 adit was mapped and other prospects on the Silent Friend Claim were inspected and checked against the map in the application. The Fay Mine had been examined in 1952 by R. M. Smith and others, Docket DMEA-2577. No samples were taken because the grade of the ore showings is well documented by intermittent sampling over the past 50 years.

The district is described by Fred L. Humphrey, 1960, Geology of the White Pine mining district, White Pine County, Nevada, Nevada Bureau of Mines Bulletin 57, 119 pages.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Although each of the four proposed drill holes is in a favorable locality, there is no basis for inferring which part of each locality may be mineralized. Without such a basis the probability of hitting a small ore body with one hole is very small, and the proposed work is considered to be prospecting rather than exploration. I recommend, therefore, that the application be denied.

In view of the applicant's request of September 29, 1973, to hold the application until he has time to revise it next spring, I suggest that it be denied without prejudice, and that he be informed that it can be reopened any time by submitting a revised proposal and new bank letters.

LOCATION, ACCESS, FACILITIES

The Silent Friend-Fay property is in secs. 19 and 30, T. 16 N., R. 58 E., MDBM, White Pine mining district, White Pine County, Nevada, on the west slopes of Treasure Hill (east slope of Shermantown Canyon), altitude 8,000-9,000, about a mile southwest of Hamilton townsite, and 50 miles by road west of Ely. The last half mile requires a 4-wheel drive vehicle. The property is usually accessible from June through November but, rarely, snow may block the roads between those dates.

Most services and supplies are available in Ely. Drilling contractors and assayers are located in Reno and Salt Lake City. Water must be trucked about a mile to the drill sites.

PROPERTY

Ownership

The applicant is the owner of six patented and seven unpatented lode mining claims recorded in the office of the White Pine County Recorder, Ely, Nevada, as follows:

Patented claim	Patent Survey No.
Silent Friend	4032
C. T. Fay	57
Kongsberg	85
Madison	4032
C.O.D.	53
Imperial	71

Unpatented claim	Book	Page
Rock Springs	119	121-123
Yakies	119	184
Extension	119	323-325
Silver Isle No. 1	343	306
" " No. 2	343	307
" " No. 3	343	308
" " No. 4	343	309

Financial Eligibility

The applicant has $10,000 cash on deposit with a Savings and Loan Company which is available to pay his share of the costs.

Development and Production

The largest workings on the property is the Fay Mine; production is not known, but the ore body was stoped 150 feet along the strike, 200 feet down the dip, and a few inches to 5 feet wide. Judged by the size of the stopes, at least 1,200 tons was mined.

Of three adits on the Silent Friend Claim, the No. 1 adit is the longest--a 40-foot crosscut, 75-foot drift east, 50-foot winze, and two 10-foot stopes may have yielded a ton or more of ore. Two other adits and small stopes may have produced a few tons. Numerous prospect pits probably produced only a few hundred pounds in all.

GEOLOGY

Geologic Setting

In the White Pine district, Paleozoic sedimentary formations, which total about 18,000 feet thick, are mainly limestone or dolomite, but include also the Eureka quartzite (250-400 feet) and Pilot shale (150-200 feet). Granitic rocks are exposed only on the west edge of the district at Monte Christo

(4 miles west). The sedimentary rocks are gently folded and are cut by at least five sets of faults with measured displacements of as much as 15,000 feet; bedding faults (thrusts?) may have displacements of a few miles. North-trending normal and reverse faults, north-trending bedding-plane faults, and east-trending normal faults and fractures are premineral; east- and southeast-trending normal faults and north-trending Basin and Range faults are post-mineral. There is very little postmineral movement on the premineral faults.

On the Silent Friend-Fay property only Lone Mountain Dolomite (Silurian) crops out. It dips 25°-40° eastward and is about 2,000 feet thick. Humphrey (1960, p. 26) divided it into five members; a series of thin quartzite beds near the top of the middle member is locally so persistent it serves as a marker bed. Surface showings of ore are above the quartzite in Member 4 of the dolomite; they project downward to cut the quartzite which is the target of the proposed exploration.

Ore Deposits

In the White Pine district the largest ore bodies were found along the top of Treasure Hill (a mile east of the Silent Friend-Fay property) along a major low-angle bedding fault in brecciated Guilmette Limestone just under the Pilot shale, which is eroded from most of the hill. Smaller ore bodies were found along parts of many other bedding faults below the major one, not only on Treasure Hill but throughout the district. At least two of these, the Fay and Rocco-Homestake (1-1/4 miles west of the Fay, DMA-866), were along the quartzite marker bed. Other smaller ore bodies formed along east-striking cross fractures, the most persistent of which were traced continuously for about 800 feet along the strike in the Onetha Mine (three-fourths of a

mile south) and intermittently for 1,000 feet on the Imperial Claim (one-fourth of a mile south).

On the Silent Friend-Fay property most of the ore that was mined was from an east-dipping bedding fault (Fay Mine) along the quartzite marker bed in an area where it is intersected by steep east-trending cross fractures. Three of the cross fractures also contained ore and were stoped above the quartzite. The ore appears to rake along the shattered intersection--it does not continue down the dip of the cross fractures to the Enterprise adit directly below. Other cross fractures on the property can be traced on the surface for 100 feet or less.

In the Silent Friend adit No. 1, the rake of the ore on the cross fracture could not be observed directly, but if the winze followed it, then it, too, raked down the intersection of the bedding with the cross fracture. The ore shoot was 9 inches thick and no wider than 10 feet along the strike. Elsewhere on the Silent Friend Claim the east-west fractures are mineralized 1- to 12-inches wide for 3 to 20 feet along the strike.

In the Fay Mine the ore bodies contain malachite, unidentified silver, lead, and zinc minerals, a little silver-bearing(?) black calcite, and a little residual galena in a gangue of iron oxide, white calcite, brecciated dolomite and quartzite, and a little manganese oxide. A character sample of ore assayed 0.02 ounce gold and 81 ounces silver per ton, 21 percent copper, 9 percent lead, and 10 percent zinc. Ores on the Silent Friend prospects are similar but lower grade: a sample of typical ore (Sample 9, No. 1 adit) assayed 29 ounces silver per ton, 8 percent copper, 12 percent lead, and 14 percent zinc.

Ore Reserves

A few tons of measured and indicated ore remain in pillars and around the edges of the Fay stopes, and a few pounds are exposed in the Silent Friend prospects. A few thousand tons of ore averaging about $100 per ton in silver, copper, lead, zinc, and gold is possible along the downward continuation of the Fay deposit, but its location cannot be inferred because its rake is unknown. There are no inferred reserves on the Silent Friend Claim.

The basic problem, as yet unsolved, in finding concealed ore bodies in the White Pine district is that neither the source of the mineralizing solutions nor the channels they followed is known. Continuations of ore, therefore, cannot be reliably inferred. The obvious alternative, "Get on the bloody ore and stay on it," is not possible because the ore bodies are apparently isolated--their continuations too small to follow, or displaced by faults too far to find.

Five different structural controls or sets of "feeder fractures" have been suggested, and each was the basis at one time or another for prospecting.

1. Steep barren calcite stringers and small veins

   These were perhaps the first guides to be used, and they led to several significant ore bodies along the nearly flat bedding on Treasure Hill and Chloride Flat. Hundreds of them, however, pinched out or were abandoned.

2. Steep east-trending faults

   Two of these were mistaken for the walls of the Eberhardt bonanza. Their projected position 1,500 feet below the ore body was the target of the 6,000-foot Eberhardt Tunnel. The ore body is now known to be horizontal, and the faults to be postmineral (Smith, 1970, Econ. Geol., v. 65, p. 538).

3. North-trending reverse faults

Two of these, one on each side of Treasure Hill, were considered by Humphrey to be the feeders of the "main ore channel." No ore has been found directly on them, but they were the targets of unsuccessful crosscuts in the early days.

4. Bedding-plane thrust faults

Breccias along a major thrust contain the major ore bodies on Treasure Hill. Numerous small, isolated ore bodies, some of them cut by steep calcite stringers, occur along bedding fractures below the major thrust. Drifts along portions of the major thrust near the main ore channel were driven without success in the early days.

5. Steep east-trending cross fractures

Many of these contain a little ore above a bedding-plane ore body, but the two largest of them (Onetha and Imperial) were mined for 1,000 feet without evident relationship to a major bedding deposit.

The applicant and also Fred Humphrey consider these ore-bearing cross fractures to be feeders to the bedding-plane ore bodies. Which were feeders and which were fed, however, is not actually known.

EXPLORATION

The applicant proposed to drill 1,083 feet in four vertical core drill holes on the Silent Friend Claim, one in each of four localities where east-dipping ore-bearing beds on the surface overlie the east-dipping quartzite marker bed. One hole (H-1) would also cut the quartzite near a steep east-striking ore-bearing fracture presumed to be a "feeder."

On the surface 100 feet west of H-1, there is no ore where the "feeder" cuts the quartzite, so part of the intersection is known to be barren. Other parts might be mineralized, but since the rake of the small mineralized showings is not known, no projection can be made. An ore body might be found by prospecting with several holes in each favorable locality as suggested by Humphrey, but the chance with one hole is about the same as finding a plum in a charity pudding by one jab with a hatpin.

ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

The purpose of the proposed project is to explore by diamond drilling from the surface for concealed silver-copper-gold ore bodies along an east-dipping quartzite stratum near its intersections with steep east-striking mineralized cross fractures at depths of 250 to 400 feet. Parts of about a mile of existing road will have to be repaired, and a few hundred yards of new road is required for access to drill sites. The land has no potential use except for mining: the proposed work will have a small beneficial impact.



N



OME-6892

No Location
497
1148

Figure A. INDEX MAP OF WHITE PINE COUNTY, NEVADA

0 25 50 75 Miles

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

OME-6892
(Silver-Copper-Gold)



Figure 1. Claim map

Silent Friend - Fay property

White Pine County, Nevada

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

OME-6892
(Silver-Copper-Gold)



Figure 2. Geologic map of Silent Friend Adit No. 1

Silent Friend - Fay property

White Pine County, Nevada

F. W. LEWIS
120 GREENRIDGE DRIVE
RENO, NEVADA 89502

PHONE: (702) 323-0303

Sept 29, 1973

RECEIVED
OCT - 3 1973
OFFICE OF MINERALS EXPLORATION
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
MENLO PARK, CALIF.

Roscoe Smith
U S Geological Survey
Menlo Park
California

OME-6892

Dear Roscoe:

In answer to your Phone call, I note on my map that samples Numbered 8, 9, and 10 are noted in Humphreys report by number and by description as to where they were taken in the key which precedes the descrition by assay and number.

Reffering to the Silent Friend Tunnel

8. First Fracture underground.... bears East and West.
9. Second Fracture ..... Bears East and West.
10. Bedding Vein near portal.

The assay numbers are given on the Blue print as to their location and all three of these numbers are noted in the report with the assays.

Number ten went 19 oz. Ag, 10% Cu, 12% Pb, 14% Zn.

I don't know now if this is the information you wanted or not.

Sample Number 9-8% Cu, 29 Oz Ag, 12% Pb, 14% Zn. As I recall you and I also ran an assay of this, which I would by the way enjoy recieveing copies of if that is within 'OK' and your regulations.

I have gotten jammed up on time on another project that I am working on so am delayed in getting onto the work that needs be done of the Silent Friend project in order to answer all the questions which we need to go over to amend our program, get all the bids, etc.

Therefor I would very much appreciate it if you would hold up my application until next spring when we can work it over in a proper fashion and have time enough to do the job properly and get propedy onto the site to make up good estimates, close to the time they are willing to do the work. You may consider this a formal request to hold up my application, pending further work.

I'll keep in touch,

Good Luck,

Friend,

Frank W. Lewis

FILE COPY

August 14, 1973

Mr. Frank W. Lewis
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502

Re: OME-6892 (Silver-Copper-Gold)
Frank W. Lewis
Silent Friend - Fay property
White Pine County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Lewis:

Your application, dated July 24, 1973, for financial assistance to explore the subject property has been assigned Docket No. 6892. Please refer to this number in all future correspondence relating to the application.

We enclose for your information a copy of Exhibit A, entitled "Notice to Prospective Federally Assisted Construction Contracts" which describes certain recent additional regulations and requirements. This should be filed with your copy of the application.

If additional assay information indicates that the principal ore values are about equally divided between silver-gold, which are eligible for 75 percent Government participation, and copper, which is eligible for 50 percent assistance, the proposal would be eligible for 62½ percent assistance. In the event of a contract with 62½ percent assistance offered, you would have to have sufficient funds available to pay 37½ percent of the total costs.

In order to estimate costs more realistically, bids should be submitted for the contractual services such as bulldozing, drilling, and water hauling (Note Item 7(a) in the application form). Were the estimated daily charges for geologist and supervisor submitted by the parties concerned?

We have referred the application to Mr. H. K. Stager, Field Officer, Region II, Office of Minerals Exploration, U.S. Geological Survey, 345 Middlefield Road, Menlo Park, California 94025. Mr. Stager will contact you as needed.

Our request for additional information necessary to complete your application should not be construed as a commitment by the Government that a contract will be offered.

Sincerely yours,

Harold Kirkemo

Harold Kirkemo, Chief
Office of Minerals Exploration

Enclosure

cc: HWF
MR Rdg.
OME Rdg./OME Files
Mr. Kirkemo/Mr. Stager, Region II

Kirkemo/gpw

FILE COPY

August 14, 1973

Memorandum

To: Field Officer, Region II

From: Chief, Office of Minerals Exploration

Subject: OME-6892 (Silver-Copper-Gold)
Frank W. Lewis
Silent Friend - Fay property
White Pine County, Nevada

The subject application is referred to you for review, field examination, if necessary, and recommendation.

Harold Kirkemo

Harold Kirkemo

Enclosure (Copy of letter to applicant)

cc:
DRF
MR Rdg.
OME Rdg.
OME Files
Mr. Kirkemo

HKirkemo/gpw

IMPERIAL MINES GROUP

FAY – SILENT FRIEND PROJECT

WHITE PINE MINING DISTRICT, HAMILTON, NEVADA

GEOLOGY BY FRED L HUMPHRY



F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89509


to Hamilton
SUR. No. 85 HANGSBERG
SUR. No. 4032 SILVERTREE
SUR. No. 4032 MADISON
THIS AREA NOT MAPPED
STRONG MINERALIZED BEDDING
ALTERNATE
H-7
H-5
H-6
H-4
H-2
H-3
H-9
MINERALIZED AREA NOT MAPPED
MINERALIZED BEDDING
ZONE BLEACHED
LONE MOUNTAIN DOLOMITE MEMBER 5
MADISON ADIT
THIS AREA NOT MAPPED
STRONG JOINT PATTERNS
PORTAL EXTENSION ADIT
S.W. ¼ SEC. 19
N.W. ¼ SEC. 30



IMPERIAL SILVER MINES OF F. W. LEWIS, RENO, NEVADA

White Pine Mining District, Nevada

0 100 200

F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502


BEST CHANCE MINES
SILVER CHANCE PROSPECT
SILVER CHANCE 2
SILVER CHANCE 1
CALIFORNIA PLACER
SILVER CHANCE 6
SILVER CHANCE 5
SILVER CHANCE 4
SILVER CHANCE 3
F. W. LEWIS
120 Greenridge Drive
Reno, Nevada 89502
BELMONT MILL
TRUSTEE US 76
NEW DEFIANCE US 58A
MOUNTAINS
PINE
WHITE
TOWNSITE PLACER
HIDDEN TREA.
CHARTER OAK US 97A
HAMILTON TOWNSITE
(50 Various Lots)
QUARTZ MILL PLACER
DOLOMITE 1
DOLOMITE 2
DOLOMITE 3
DOLOMITE 4
DOLOMITE 5
DOLOMITE 6
DOLOMITE 7
DOLOMITE 8
DOLOMITE 9
DOLOMITE 10
BEST CHANCE 1
BEST CHANCE 2
BEST CHANCE 3
BEST CHANCE 4
BEST CHANCE 5
BEST CHANCE 6
BEST CHANCE 7
BEST CHANCE 8
BEST CHANCE 9
BEST CHANCE 10
BEST CHANCE 11
BEST CHANCE 12
BEST CHANCE 13
BEST CHANCE 14
BEST CHANCE 15
BEST CHANCE 16
BEST CHANCE 17
BEST CHANCE 18
BEST CHANCE 19
BEST CHANCE 20
ROCCO MINE
ZADOW MINE
FAY MINES
SWEET WATER MINE
HIDDEN TREASURE MINE
STAFFORD MINE
TREASURE HILL
PROPERTY IN THIS APPLICATION
PROPERTY PREVIOUSLY LEASED OUT TO NEW PRODUCTS CORPORATION IN JANUARY 1972 NOT INCLUDED IN THIS APPLICATION
PROPERTY OWNED BY LEWIS BUT NOT INCLUDED IN THIS APPLICATION
California Mine
SPRING STATE WATER APPLICATION
SLAG NO. 1 PLACER
SLAG NO. 2 PLACER
SLAG NO. 3 PLACER
SLAG NO. 4 PLACER
SLAG NO. 5 PLACER
SLAG NO. 6 PLACER
SLAG PLACER
BLACK CALCITE MILLSITES NO'S 1-18
T. 16 N., R. 57 E.
T. 16 N., R. 58 E.
EBERHARDT
SILVER SLOT PROSPECT
WHITE PINE MINING DISTRICT
HAMILTON, NEVADA.
0 500 2000
NOTICE: This map does not show overlaps or conflicts of claims of others.