UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

OFFICIAL DOCKET FILE

Terminated (or cancelled) without certification. DMEA No. 1288

This is the official contract file containing all official records of the project. Duplicate material has been removed. The records contained in the files are checked and are arranged in this manner:

Left Side

Certificate of Audit (Final).

Interim Audit(s).

✓Report of Review.

Termination Notice or Agreement.

Recision Notice.

✓Assignment of Contract.

✓Contract Amendments (latest on top).

✓Contract with all exhibits and annexes.

Owner's Consent to Lien.

Subordination Agreement.

Leases and assignments of leases.

✓Application and attachments.

✓Schedule of Collections.

✓Operator's monthly reports and all attachments (latest on top).

Right Side

Project summary.

✓Work completed analysis.

✓All other material is filed in chronological order with correspondence including the following reports as checked:

✓Final Field Team Report. (Tab)

Operator's Final Report.

✓Interim Reports.

On-site Exam Report(s). (Tab)

Settlement Sheets.

If additional folders are required because of volume of records, show folder numbers and contents below:

Maps in folder number_____

Operator's Monthly Reports in folder number _____

a a.
Reviewer's Initials

7973

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION AND AUDIT DIVISION

REPORT OF REVIEW

I have reviewed the Monthly Reports of:

R. J. Rysh and Steven Corwin

Assignees of J. A. Madison

Rawhide, Nevada

pertaining to Exploration Project Contract No. Idm-E46, Docket No. DMA-1288X, including Amendments Nos. 1, 2 and 3, for the months of July, 1951 to May, 1952, covering a project for the exploration of tungsten.

My review included an examination of the Monthly Reports (Forms MF-104) and supporting documents attached thereto, comparison of the costs claimed with the contract and pertinent schedules, consultation with the Executive Officer of the DMEA Field Team, and a determination of the reasonableness and propriety of the costs.

This review was made in lieu of a final audit of the Operator's accounts and records for the following reasons:

a. the Monthly Reports for the months of February, March, April and May, 1952, were supported by documentary proof of the costs incurred under the contract;
b. a major portion ($6,707.70) of the costs cover wages and salaries, at or less than the rates allowable under the contract, supported by copies of the payrolls or distribution statements; and
c. the administrative cost to the Government of an audit would be excessive in relation to the estimated amount of possible disallowances thereunder.

The review disclosed the following facts in regard to the amount paid or to be paid the contractor:

Total cost as shown on MF-104		$12,401.62
Less: Salvage proceeds on property		81.00
Net cost		12,320.62
Exceptions:		
By DMEA Finance Officer	$ -0-	
Herewith (costs in excess of contract)	320.62	320.62
Total Accepted Cost		$12,000.00

The contract calls for a 75 percent participation of exploration expenses by the United States Government. Therefore, payment to the contractor by the Government in the amount of Nine thousand and no/100 Dollars,

** $9,000.00 **

is considered valid and proper.

July 27, 1953

E. D. Talbert

E. D. Talbert, Auditor

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS: That J. A. Madison, of Fallon, Nevada, the party of the first part, subject to the written consent of the Government of the United States of America, for and in consideration of the sum of ten ($10.00) dollars, lawful money of the United States, to him in hand paid by Steven Corwin and Robert J. Rysh, copartners, of Rawhide, Nevada, the parties of the second part, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, does by these presents, sell, transfer, assign and setover unto the said parties of the second part, all of the right, title and interest of the said party of the first part in and to that certain exploration project contract with the United States of America, Department of the Interior, Defense Minerals Administration, Docket No. DMA 1288-X, Commodity Tungsten, I-DMA-E46, dated June 30, 1951, all in relation to the Scheelite Extension Mine, in Regent Mining District, Mineral County, Nevada, in which said contract the party of the first part is named the "Operator." To have and to hold the same unto the said parties of the second part; but subject, nevertheless, to all of the terms, conditions and regulations contained in said exploration project contract which the said parties of the second part have agreed to and do assume all of the right, title and interest therein of the said party of the first part, as appears more fully by the written assumption and agreement to that effect by the said parties of the second part on file with A. C. Johnson, Chief, Mining Division, Bureau of Mines, P. O. Box 1551, Reno, Nevada. And the party of the first part does hereby fully authorize and empower the parties of the second part, upon their due fulfillment and performance of the aforesaid terms, conditions and regulations, to demand and receive of, and to pay and discharge to, the United States of America, all money due and to grow due under said contract,

LAW OFFICE
GEORGE J. KENNY
FALLON, NEVADA

and to have, possess and enjoy all of the rights, privileges and franchises conferred on the party of the first part, as the "Operator" thereunder, to all intents and purposes as the said party of the first part could and should have, possess and enjoy if these presents were not executed. And the party of the first part does hereby certify that he sold the entire property known as the said Scheelite Extension Mine, its appurtenances and deposits and all of the equipment connected therewith, and that the said parties of the second part are now and have been since ~~October 23,~~ November 1, J. M. 1951, the owners~~hip~~ of all the real and personal property last hereinbefore mentioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the party of the first part has hereunto set his hand the day and year hereof, to wit: the 10th day of November, 1951.

J. A. Madison

STATE OF NEVADA,)
(ss
County of Churchill.)

On this 10th day of November, 1951, personally appeared before me, the undersigned notary public in and for the said county of Churchill, J. A. Madison, known to me to be the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, who acknowledged to me that he executed the same freely and voluntarily and for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal at my office in the said county of Churchill the day and year in this certificate first above written.

G. J. Kenny
Notary Public

(Seal)

My commission expires May 10, 1955.

We, the aforementioned parties of the second part, hereby accept the assignment of the above-referred to Exploration Project Contract from the party of the first part, and we hereby agree to carry out and be bound by the terms and conditions of the assignment and contract.

Dated: _____

Robert J. Rysh
Robert J. Rysh

Steven Corwin
Steven Corwin

Consent is hereby given to the foregoing assignment and transfer of the above-mentioned Exploration Project Contract.

-2-

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

By C. W. Mitteudorf
Acting Administrator, Defense
Minerals Exploration Administration

LAW OFFICE
GEORGE J. KENNY
FALLON, NEVADA

AMENDMENT NO. 3

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Exploration Project Contract Idm-E46

Docket DMA-1288X Assignees: Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin

Contract Idm-E46 hereby is amended to provide for cutting a station on the 200-foot level of the shaft and for sinking the shaft an additional 18 feet.

This amendment is made with the expressed agreement that the costs of the additional work to which the Government will contribute, will not exceed $616.25.

This amendment confirms an oral arrangement previously made.

This amendment shall not be construed to increase the estimated total cost of the project, the aggregate total amount which the Government may be obligated to pay, or the percentage of the costs which the Government may be obligated to pay, under the provisions of Article 13 of the contract form.

Dated August 4, 1952.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

By _____
Executive Officer - DMEA

_____
Robert J. Rysh

_____
Steven Corwin

AMENDMENT NO. 3

Docket No. DMA-1288X Mineral Tungsten

Exploration Project Contract Idm-E 46

Date of Contract June 30, 1951

Date of Amendment August 4, 1952

Operator Scheelite Extension Mine (Corwin & Rysh, Assignees)

JUSTIFICATION

Although the work covered by Amendment No. 3 was authorized orally to the Operators in connection with this contract, for record purposes an amendment has been drawn up as per the attached copy.

H. C. Miller, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

AMENDMENT NO. 2

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Exploration Project Contract Idm-E46

Docket DMA-1288X Assignees: Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin

"Amendment No. 1 to Defense Minerals Exploration Administration Exploration Project Contract Idm-E46, dated January 10, 1952, is hereby amended to provide for a 31-day extension (to June 1, 1952) in which to bring the project to completion.

"This amendment shall not be construed to increase the estimated total cost of the project, the aggregate total amount which the Government may be obligated to pay, or the percentage of the costs which the Government may be obligated to pay, under the provisions of Article 13 of the contract form.

"Dated April 14, 1952.

"UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"By _____
Executive Officer - DMEA

" _____
Robert J. Rysh

" _____ "
Steven Corwin

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
APR 22 1952

Docket Copy

AMENDMENT NO. 2

Docket No. DMA-1288X Mineral Tungsten

Exploration Project Contract Idm-E 46

Date of Contract June 30, 1951

Date of Amendment April 14, 1952

Operator Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin, Assignees
(Scheelite Extension Mine)

JUSTIFICATION

Encountering very hard rock in sinking delayed the sinking progress and this amendment was drawn to extend the completion date of the contract one month to permit completion of the work under the contract.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
Denver
JUL 14 1952

H. C. Miller, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

SD

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN 28 1952

AMENDMENT NO. 1

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Exploration Project Contract I-DMA-E46

Assignees - Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin

"Section 3 of Defense Minerals Administration Exploration Project Contract I-DMA-E46, dated June 30, 1951, between the United States of America and J. A. Madison, and assigned and transferred on November 10, 1951 to Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin, is hereby amended by striking out the typed-in phrase 'five (5) months' and substituting therefor the phrase 'ten (10) months'.

"This amendment shall not be construed to increase the estimated total cost of the project, the aggregate total amount which the Government may be obligated to pay, or the percentage of the costs which the Government may be obligated to pay, under the provisions of Article 13 of the contract form.

"Dated January 10, 1952

"UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

"By W. Allen
Executive Officer - DMEA

" Robert J. Rysh
Robert J. Rysh

" Steven Corwin "
Steven Corwin

AMENDMENT NO. 1

Docket No. DMA-1288X Mineral Tungsten

Exploration Project Contract Idm-E 46

Date of Contract June 30, 1951

Date of Amendment January 10, 1952

Operator J. A. Madison, Scheelite Extension Mine

JUSTIFICATION

This contract, which was originally in the name of J. A. Madison, was assigned to Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin in December 1951. During the negotiations leading to completion of the assignment, no work was done during November and December 1951. When the assignees took over, they requested an extension of the time to complete the work started by Mr. Madison under the contract. Amendment No. 1 was drawn to extend the completion date to April 30, 1952.

JUL 14 1952

H. C. Miller, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

MF-200
(April 1951)

Index
No. Card
Card
Reviewed

Docket No. DMA 1288
Commodity Tungsten
I-DMA-E46

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

RECEIVED
AUG 7 1951
G. A. O.

EXPLORATION PROJECT CONTRACT [1]

AUG 8

It is agreed this 30th day of June, 1951, between the United States of America, acting through the Department of the Interior, Defense Minerals Administration, hereinafter called the "Government," and [2] J. A. Madison
Scheelite Extension Mine
Rawhide, Nevada

hereinafter called the "Operator," as follows:

1. *Authority for contract.* This agreement is entered into under the authority of the Defense Production Act of 1950, pursuant to Mineral Order 5, entitled "Regulations Governing Government Aid in Defense Exploration Projects."

2. *Operator's property rights.* The exploration project shall be conducted on that certain property situated in the State of Nevada, County of Mineral described as follows: [3] Consisting of Scheelite Extension a located lode claim recorded in Mineral County and located in SE¼, Section 1, T13N., R33E.

with respect to which the Operator represents and undertakes: [4]

(*a*) That he is the sole owner, in possession and entitled to possession, and that the property is subject only to the following claims, liens, or encumbrances as to each of which the subordination agreement of the holder is attached:

None

(*b*) ~~That he is a lessee, in possession and entitled to possession, and the subordination agreement of the lessor is attached~~.

3. *Exploration project.* The Operator, within thirty (30) days from the date of this contract shall commence work on a project of exploration for [5] tungsten ore; shall prosecute the work efficiently, expertly, in a workmanlike manner, in accordance with good mining standards, with suitable and adequate equipment, materials, and labor, all properly designed to bring the project to completion within a period of [6] five (5) months from the date of this contract. The exploration project and the work to be performed are more fully described on Exhibit "A" attached hereto, which, with any maps or drawings thereto attached, is made a part of this contract.

4. *Performance of the work.* The work shall be performed by the Operator, under his sole direction and control: *Provided,* That with the consent of the Government and its written approval of the contract or contracts, all or any part of the work may be performed by the Operator through contract or contracts with independent contractors. The Government shall have the right to enter and observe and inspect the work at all reasonable times, and the Operator shall provide the Government with all available means for doing so. The Government may consult with and advise the Operator on all phases of the work.

5. *Fixtures and improvements.* The Operator shall devote the land and all existing improvements, facilities, buildings, installations, and appurtenances to the purposes of the exploration project without any allowance for the use, rental value, depreciation, depletion, or other cost of acquiring, owning, or holding possession thereof. Attached hereto, marked "Exhibit 'B'," is a detailed list of additional facilities, buildings, and fixtures, to be purchased, installed, or erected by the Operator, with the estimated cost of each, to which the Government will contribute its agreed pro-rata share of the cost. The difference between the cost of such additional facilities, buildings, or fixtures, and the salvage value thereof at the conclusion of the work, shall be charged as a cost of the project to which the Government has contributed its pro-rata share.

6. *Operating equipment.* Attached hereto, marked "Exhibit 'C'," is a detailed list of operating equipment divided into categories of items to be rented, purchased, or furnished by the Operator, with the allowable rental, purchase price, or rental value, as the case may be, annexed to each. As to equipment purchased for the project, the Government will contribute its agreed pro-rata share of the cost thereof, and the difference between the cost and the salvage value at the conclusion of the work shall be charged as a cost of the project to which the Government has contributed its pro-rata share.

[1] If sufficient space is not provided in any blank, use an extra sheet of paper and refer to it in the blank.
[2] State name, address, and nature of organization if any.
[3] Give legal description or enough to identify the property.
[4] Strike out the provision not applicable.
[5] Name of mineral.
[6] Not in excess of 2 years.

7. *Title to and disposition of property.* All facilities, buildings, fixtures, equipment or other items costing more than $50 each, paid for or purchased with funds contributed jointly by the Operator and the Government, shall belong to the Operator and the Government jointly, in proportion to their respective contributions, and upon the termination of the contract, if they have any salvage value, shall be disposed of for their joint account unless the Government, in writing, waives its interest in any such items. The Government may require the dismantling, severance from land, and removal of any such items in order to realize its interest in the salvage value thereof, and the cost of any such removal and of the disposal of the items shall be for the joint account of the parties in proportion to their respective interests.

8. *Labor and supervision.* Attached hereto, marked "Exhibit 'D'," is an itemized schedule of labor, by numbers and classes (miners, muckers, millmen, etc.), and of supervisors, by numbers and positions, with the maximum wages or salaries that may be paid to each as an allowable cost of the project.

9. *Rehabilitation and repairs.* Attached hereto, marked "Exhibit 'E'," is a detailed schedule of existing facilities, buildings, installations, and fixtures, with a statement of the cost of any necessary rehabilitation or repairs to put into useful and operable condition, which cost shall be allowed as a cost of the project.

10. *Allowable costs of the project.* The allowable costs of the project in which the Government will participate are limited to the necessary, reasonable, direct costs of performing the exploration work, including the costs of materials, supplies, engineering, power, water, and utilities, including items of equipment costing less than $50 each; and the costs referred to in Articles 5, 6, 8, and 9, and the exhibits annexed to and referred to in the contract. Although the Government may pay part of the cost of new or additional facilities, structures, buildings, and equipment, only the depreciation on such items, computed as indicated in Articles 5 and 6, will be charged as a cost of the project. No items of general overhead, corporate management, interest, or any other indirect costs not expressly allowed by these regulations, or work performed or costs incurred before the date of this contract, shall be allowed as costs of the project in which the Government will participate.

11. *Participation by the Government.* At the end of the first full calendar month after the Operator has commenced work under the project, and at the end of each succeeding calendar month, the Operator shall submit to the Government a voucher for payment with a detailed statement of work done and allowable costs (as defined in Article 10 of this agreement) incurred, in a form and with supporting data acceptable to the Government. After approving the voucher, the Government shall pay to the Operator 75 percent of the sum of such costs incurred; *Provided,* That the aggregate total of all sums paid by the Government under this agreement shall not exceed $9,000.00, which is 75 percent of the agreed estimated total cost of this project, $12,000.00.

12. *Accounts and audits.* The Operator shall keep suitable records and accounts of operations, which the Government may inspect and audit at any time. The Government may at any time require an audit of the Operator's records and accounts by a certified public accountant, the cost thereof to be treated as a cost of the project. The Operator shall keep and preserve said records and accounts for at least 3 years after the completion of the project or the termination of this contract.

13. *Progress reports.* The Operator shall provide the Government with monthly reports of progress in form and content satisfactory to the Government, and upon the completion of the project or termination of the contract shall provide the Government with a comprehensive geologic and engineering report, including an estimate of ore reserves, to the best of his ability. Four copies of all reports shall be furnished to the Government.

14. *Repayment by Operator.* If, upon the completion of the exploration project or termination of the contract, the Government considers that a discovery or development has resulted from the work from which commercial production of ore may be made, the Government, within 6 months thereafter shall so certify to the Operator, particularly describing and delimiting its estimate of the discovery or the development. Thereafter, if and as ore is produced as a result of such discovery or development, the Operator and his successor in interest shall be and become obligated to pay to the Government a percentage royalty on the net smelter returns or other net proceeds realized from such ore, concentrates, or metal produced within ten (10) years from the date of this contract, until the total amount contributed by the Government, without interest, is fully repaid or said 10 years have elapsed, whichever occurs first, as follows:

Of net smelter returns or other net proceeds not in excess of eight dollars ($8.00) per ton of ore: One and one-half (1½) percent.

Of net smelter returns or other net proceeds in excess of eight dollars ($8.00) per ton of ore: One and one-half (1½) percent, plus one-half (½) percent additional for each full fifty cents ($0.50) in excess of eight dollars ($8.00) per ton of ore, but not in excess of a maximum of five (5) percent.

This obligation to repay from net returns or proceeds shall be and remain a claim and lien upon the property which is the subject of the exploration project and upon any production resulting from such discovery or development, in favor of the Government, until fully paid, or until said 10-year period has elapsed; and this claim and lien and the Government's right to repayment shall survive any termination of the contract, whether by completion of the exploration project or otherwise. This article is not to be construed as imposing any obligation on the Operator or his successor in interest to produce ore from any such discovery or development.

15. *No assignment of contract.* Without the written consent of the Government, the Operator shall not assign or otherwise transfer or hypothecate this contract or any rights thereunder.

16. *Termination and completion.* The Government may, at any time, by written notice to the Operator, terminate this contract: (*a*) If the Operator fails to provide his share of the money necessary to prosecute operations pursuant to the terms of the contract; (*b*) if the Operator, in the opinion of the Government, fails to prosecute operations pursuant to the terms of the contract; or (*c*) if in the opinion of the Government, operations up to the time of the notice have not indicated the probability of making any worthwhile discovery and in the opinion of the Government further operations are not justified. Upon the completion of the project or any termination of the contract the Operator shall render to the Government a full and final accounting of his operations under the contract and his expenditures of money; shall dispose of any unused materials, supplies, and equipment for the joint account of the Operator and the Government in the proportion of their respective interests; and shall pay to the Government its pro-rata share of any money remaining.

Executed in ~~triplicate~~ sextuplet the day and year first above written.

J. A. Madison
(Operator)

By _____

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

By _____

Administrator, Defense Minerals Administration

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—64066-1

EXHIBIT A

The exploration project consists of building a headframe, retimbering 110 feet of existing shaft and sinking the shaft an additional 100 feet. The purpose of the project is to explore in depth a favorable tungsten ore area and the project is delineated on maps attached.

Estimated costs of the project are as follows:

Sink shaft 100 feet @ $96.00 per foot	$9,600.00
Build headframe and retimber shaft	1,600.00
Rehabilitation and repair buildings	800.00
Total	$12,000.00

The above cost of the project includes all labor, supplies, rentals, supervision and purchases necessary for performance of exploration shown.

The project is located and delineated on maps attached hereto.

EXHIBIT B - Fixtures and Improvements

Prior to work of retimbering the existing shaft and sinking the additional 100 feet, a suitable headframe for hoisting is required. The Government will participate in the cost of this facility which is shown in Exhibit A as part of the shaft retimbering cost.

EXHIBIT C - Operating Equipment

Purchase of a refrigerator for camp use is required and cost of this facility is allowable to a maximum of $400.

The following equipment is estimated to be necessary for sinking the shaft and rental is allowable on the basis of 60-month depreciation of replacement cost. The rental is allowable for each month the equipment is used to a maximum of $664.00 for the 4 months estimated time to complete the project.

Item	Rental per Month
Compressor	$110
Hoist	17
Truck	33
Drill	6
Total	$166

EXHIBIT D - Labor and Supervision

Shaftmen (2)	$14.00 per day
Laborer	$12.00 per day
Utility Man	$225.00 per month
Superintendent	$350.00 per month

The above superintendent to be the applicant who will work as a laborer on the project and also supervise the work. His wages are allowable as a cost at the above salary for 4 months.

EXHIBIT E - Rehabilitation and Repair

Rehabilitation of the camp facilities are necessary and will be allowable to a maximum of $568.00.

Exhibit A



DIAGRAMMATIC SECTION THROUGH THE SCHEELITE EXTENSION (EED-A-HOW) SHAFT
RAWHIDE, MINERAL COUNTY, NEVADA, SHOWING EXPLORATION PROPOSED
BY J. A. MADISON (DMA 1288-X) June 1951

MF-103
(Revised April 1952)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Form Approved.
Budget Bureau No. 42-R1035.2.

APPLICATION FOR AID IN AN EXPLORATION PROJECT, PURSUANT TO DMEA ORDER 1, UNDER THE DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT OF 1950, AS AMENDED

Not to be filled in by applicant

Docket No. DMEA 1288 AMENDED
Metal or Mineral
Date Received 7-11-52
Estimated Cost
Participation (Government %)

INSTRUCTIONS

1. *Name of applicant.*—(*a*) State here your full legal name, in the form in which you will wish to contract, and your mailing address: Corwin and Rysh Mining Co. Rawhide via Fallon, Nevada

Steven Corwin and Robert J. Rysh
Partners

(*b*) If other than an individual, add to your name above whether a corporation, partnership, etc., and the name of the State in which incorporated or otherwise organized.

(*c*) If a corporation, add to above statement, titles, names and addresses of officers.

(*d*) If a partnership, add to the above statement the names and addresses of all partners.

2. *General.*—Read DMEA Order 1, "Government Aid in Defense Exploration Projects," before completing this application. Submit this application and all accompanying papers in quadruplicate (four copies), with your name and address on each sheet of the application and on all accompanying papers. Where sufficient space is not provided on the form for all required information, state it on an accompanying paper, with a reference in each case to the instruction to which it refers by number. Comply with all applicable instructions; or, if not applicable, so state. File the application with Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C., or with the nearest field executive officer thereof.

3. *Applicant's property rights.*—(*a*) State the legal description of the land upon which you wish to explore, including all land which you possess or control that may be benefited by the exploration, and excluding any land or interest in land which is not to be included in the exploration project contract One unpatented claim, 1500 feet in length and 600 feet in width. This month, July of 1952, we shall add another claim, same measurement adjoining our present claim to the southeast.

(*b*) State any mine name by which the property is known. Scheelite Extension Mine

(*c*) State your interest in the land, whether owner, lessee, purchaser under contract, or otherwise Owners

(*d*) If you are not the owner, submit with this application a copy of the lease, contract, or other document under which you control the property. —

(*e*) If you own the land, describe any liens or encumbrances on it None

(*f*) If the land consists of unpatented claims, add to the description above, the book and page numbers for each recorded location notice. Book 14 Page 273 Mineral County, Nevada

4. *Physical description.*—(*a*) Describe in detail any mining or exploration operations which have been or now are being conducted upon the land, including existing mine workings and production facilities. State your interest, if any, in such operations. Also describe accessibility of mine workings for examination purposes.

(*b*) State past and current production, and ore reserves, if any, giving quantities and grades.

(*c*) Describe the geologic features of the property, including mineralization, type of deposit (vein, bedded, etc.), and your reasons for wishing to explore. Illustrate with maps or sketches. Send with your application (but not necessarily as a part of it) any geologic or engineering report, assay maps, or other technologic information you may have, indicating on each whether you require its return to you.

(*d*) State the facts with respect to the accessibility of the project: Access roads, distances to shipping, supply and residence points.

(*e*) State the availability of manpower, materials, supplies, equipment, water, and power.

Department of the Interior
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JUL 11 1952
16—66551-1

5. *The exploration project.*—(*a*) State the mineral or minerals for which you wish to explore Tungsten

(*b*) Describe fully the proposed work, including a map or sketch of the property showing a plan (and cross sections if needed) of any present mine workings, and the location of the proposed exploration work as related to such features as contacts, veins, ore-bearing beds, etc.

(*c*) The work will start within going on now days and be completed within 3 months from the date of an exploration project contract.

(*d*) State the operating experience and background of the applicant with relation to the ability to carry out such exploration project, and also that of the person or persons who will supervise the operations.

6. *Estimate of costs.*—Furnish a detailed estimate of the costs of the proposed work (you will have to use a separate sheet), under the following headings. Add the totals under all headings to give the estimated total cost of the project:

(*a*) *Independent contracts.*—(Note.—If the applicant does not intend to let any of the work to contractors, write "none" after this item. To the extent that the work is to be contracted, do not repeat the cost of the contract-work in subsequent items.) State the cost of any proposed independent contracts for the performance of all or any part of the work, expressed in terms of units of work (such as per foot of drilling, per foot of drifting, per hour of bulldozer operations, per cubic yard of material moved, etc.).

(*b*) *Labor, supervision, consultants.*—Include an itemized schedule of numbers, classes and rates of wages, salaries or fees for necessary labor, supervision and engineering and geological consultants.

(*c*) *Operating materials and supplies.*—Furnish an itemized list, including items of equipment costing less than $50 each, and power, water and fuel.

(*d*) *Operating equipment.*—Furnish an itemized list of any operating equipment to be rented, purchased, or which is owned and will be furnished by the Operator, with the estimated rental, purchase price, or suggested use-allowance based on present value, as the case may be.

(*e*) *Rehabilitation and repairs.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary initial rehabilitation or repairs of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and movable operating equipment, now owned by the Operator and which will be devoted to the exploration project.

(*f*) *New buildings, improvements, installations.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary buildings, fixed improvements, or installations to be purchased, installed or constructed for the benefit of the exploration project.

(*g*) *Miscellaneous.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of repairs to and maintenance of operating equipment (not including initial rehabilitation or repairs of the Operator's equipment), analytical work, accounting, workmen's compensation and employers' liability insurance, and payroll taxes.

(*h*) *Contingencies.*—Give an estimate of any necessary allowances for contingencies not included in the costs stated above.

NOTE.—No items of general overhead, corporate management, interest, taxes (other than payroll and sales taxes), or any other indirect costs, or work performed or costs incurred before the date of the contract, should be included in the estimate of costs.

7. (*a*) Are you prepared to furnish your share of the cost of the proposed project in accordance with the regulations on Government participation (Sec. 7, DMEA No. 1)?

(*b*) How do you propose to furnish your share of the costs?

[X] Money [X] Use of equipment owned by you [X] Other

Explain in detail on acompanying paper.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, whether as an individual, corporate officer, partner, or otherwise, both in his own behalf and acting for the applicant, certifies that the information set forth in this form and accompanying papers is correct and complete, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Dated 7 July, 1952

Corwin and Rysh Mining Co.
(Applicant)

By Robert J. Rysh
Robert J. Rysh
Sec.

Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), Section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—66551-1

Amed 1288 AMENDED (1)

4. a) A shaft, depth 220' recently completed.
A drift, adit 20' north of shaft collar, length 120', in which asmall lense of ore was uncovered.
A 42' level drift, length of 128' out of which ore was produced during the last war.
A 24' drift on the 200' level in which some colors were found along a lime-granite contact.

b) World War LL production is estimated at 400 tons. Our production from the top drift so far is 210 tons.

c) Agovernment geologists report has been made of the area. Diamond drilling at the Nevada Scheelite, Inc. Mine. Our property adjoins this large producer. The pamphlet describes the geology better than I can. The geology is identical.

d) A new 20 mile gravel road was completed this past March to the Nevada Scheelite Mine. This road runs thru our property. Camp is just off the road. This road connects to U.S, Highway 50 near Frenchmans Station.

e) All available at Fallon, Nevada, however we obtain ourwater at the Nevada Scheelite Mine.

5. b) Sketches enclosed. We propose the work to be done in two steps:
Step 1 - 200 feet of drifting and crosscutting.
Step 11 - 1000 feet of diamond drilling, dependent upon results of Step 1. holes to be located upon completion of Step 1.

d) Robert J. Rysh has mined, leased and prospected in Nevada , California and Colorado for the past 16 years. Having worked in various mines, leased and owned properties. Having an intense interest in mining, I have studied all books available on geology and mining eng ineering The study continues. Much is gained from older and more experienced prospector friends.

6. a) We contacted Boyle Bros., diamond drill contractors of Salt Lake City who state that they will take a contract of no less than 1000 feet at $5 a foot.

Contract drilling @$5 /ft.	$5000.00
Supervision 3 months @$500.00	1500.00
Top man 3 months @ 400.00	1200.00
	7700.00

b) Drifting- 200 feet 3 months 6 day week
Labor, 2 miners @ 14.00 plus overtime - $182.00
Top man 1 @ 14.00 plus overtime - 91.00 13 weeks
273.00/ wk $3549.00

c) Supplies / shift-

10 gals. diessel oil	-	$1.70
1 qut. oil	-	25
4 gals. gas	-	1.20
Drill steel	-	4.00
Bits	-	5.00
Carbide	-	.30
Powder, caps, fuse	-	14.00

26.45 x 6 - 158.70/wk - $2063.10

d) Equipment -

Hoist--------	$400.00
Compressor---	4000.00
Rock drill---	500.00
" "	200.00
2 Mine cars -	100.00
Truck -------	1800.00
Blower, air---	250.00
Tools--------	250.00
60 /	$ 7500.00
	125.00 x 3 - $375.00

Equipment to be purchased

Timber-------	$100.00	
Rail --------	125.00	
2" pipe -----	100.00	
1" pipe------	60.00	
	385.00	$385.00

No e) or f)

g) Repairs --

Compressor--------	$1.00	
Drills----------	1.00	
	2.00 x 6 - $12.00 x 13 wks -	$156.00

Taxes

O. A. B., Comp., etc. 10% x 3549 -----	354.90
Accounting $100.00 / month --------	300.00
	810.90

h) Contingencies $100.00 / month ---------- 300.00

b)	3549.00
c)	2063.00
d)	375.00
	385.00
g)	810.90
h)	300.00
200 /	$7483.00
	37.41 / ft.

7 a) Yes

b) as indicated in squares

Money, equipment, time + labor



Plan of workings



Vertical Projection

Figure — Mine workings, Scheelite Extension Mine

dmea/1288 AMENDED

Form MF-103
(April 1951)

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1035.
Approval expires 6-30-51.

APPLICATION FOR AID FOR AN EXPLORATION PROJECT PURSUANT TO MINERAL ORDER 5, UNDER DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT OF 1950

DO NOT FILL IN THIS BLOCK

Docket No. DMA 1285X

Date received 5-29-51

Participation

J. A. Madison
, Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada

Name and address of applicant

Date 19 May 1951

FILL IN THIS BLOCK

Date of application 19 May 1951

Estimated cost $12,000.00

Mineral or metal Tungsten

Percentage of Government participation 75%

Location of mine Regent Mining District
Rawhide, Mineral County, Nevada

Date of filing MF-100 19 May 1951

DMA Docket Number, if available 1285X

INSTRUCTIONS

Read Mineral Order 5, Regulations Governing Government Aid in Defense Projects, before completing this application. Submit four signed copies of the application to Defense Minerals Administration, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C., or to the nearest field executive officer thereof, with your name and address on each sheet of the application and all accompanying papers. If any question is inapplicable, or if you cannot answer it, so state on the form. Where the space provided for answer is insufficient, answer on a separate sheet, annex it to the application form, and refer to it in space for answer. If the application is approved, you will be presented with an exploration project contract on Form MF-200, for your signature.

THE APPLICANT

*1. Is applicant an individual, partnership, or corporation? Individual.
*2. If a partnership, state names and addresses of partners. Inapplicable.
*3. If a corporation, state names and addresses of officers, directors, and five largest stockholders. Inapplicable.
*4. Describe the mining and general business experience of (*a*) the applicant, and (*b*) the person or persons who will manage the project. See schedule "A" MF-100 item 6.
*5. Are you the owner or the lessee of the property? Owner.
6. If owner, state what claims, liens, or encumbrances, if any, are against the property. None.
7. If lessee, attach a copy of the lease and state if it is in good standing. Inapplicable.

NOTE.—It will be necessary to procure and attach to the exploration project contract agreements of claimants, lienors, encumbrances and lessors subordinating their interests in the property to the interest of the Government under the contract.

8. Furnish current financial statement, showing assets and liabilities, and a profit and loss statement. Schedule "1" MF-103 item 8
9. How much money is applicant prepared to invest in proposed project? Is it sufficient to pay your part of the cost of the project as detailed in question 23 of this application form, in accordance with the regulations on Government participation (Section 9 of Mineral Order 5)? See schedule "1" MF-103, item 9.

*If you have already answered these questions on MF-100, you are not required to answer the questions for this application.

16—64067-1

THE PROPERTY

10. (*a*) Give a description of the real property that will be in any way involved in the exploration project, including any existing mine or operating property. See schedule "1" LF-103, item 10(a).
    (*b*) Give the legal description of the exact parcel, plot, or area upon which the exploration is to be conducted. Same as above.

    NOTE.—If both areas are the same, so state. The only obligation to repay the Government is from the net earnings from any commercial discovery made in the area specified in (*b*) above in which the exploration is to be conducted, and the expenditure of funds which may be charged as costs of the project must be limited to that area or to work necessary to perform the exploration in that area.

*11. State the present and former names of the property, if any. Scheelite Extension formerly known as ED-A-HOW.

*12. State, in detail, the location of the property with reference to towns, railroads, roads, shipping points, including distances and directions and kinds and conditions of roads. See schedule "A" LF-100, item 13.

*13. State source and quantity of water available for operations and its sufficiency at all seasons. Schedule "A" LF-100, item 14. State amount of power to be used, rate per hour or other cost, and source. Schedule "A" LF-100, item 15.

*14. Describe any existing useable facilities, equipment, buildings, or structure now on the property that will be devoted to the exploration work. Schedule "A" LF-100, item 17.

15. State in detail how the ore could be shipped and how and where milled. Schedule "1" LF-103, item 15.

*16. History: See schedule "A" LF-100, items 7 (a) & (b).
    (*a*) Give a statement, as complete as possible, of previous exploration, development, operation, and production of property, with reasons for any past suspensions of operations.
    (*b*) State briefly the known history and production of adjoining and neighboring properties.
    (*c*) Furnish any available (private) reports that may apply to this application, including results of mine examinations, recommended exploration and development, and metallurgical investigations. Have none.

*17. Production: Not applicable.
    (*a*) If mine is in production, furnish the following information:

	Tons per day	*Grade or analysis*	*Cost per ton*	*Net value per ton*
(1) Mining				
(2) Milling				
(3) Shipped or sold				

*18. Ore or mineral reserves: (If property is or has been operating.) See schedule "A" LF-100, item 12(a).
    (*a*) Describe the ore or mineral deposits briefly.
    (*b*) Submit available maps and assay data. Have none.
    (*c*) Give estimated tonnage and grade of each class of ore reserves. Inapplicable.

19. State any conditions or circumstances regarding the property not sufficiently brought out by the foregoing questions. See schedule "A" LF-100, item 18.

THE EXPLORATION

20. For what mineral or minerals and for what types of deposits and ores will the exploration be conducted? Tungsten (scheelite)

21. Describe briefly, but concisely, the proposed work and the estimated time required to complete it. Schedule "1" LF-103 item 21.

    NOTE.—The Government will not participate in a project that will require more than 2 years to complete.

22. Furnish statement of the geological basis of the project with particular emphasis on factors leading the operator to expect the finding of commercial ore bodies. This statement should be accompanied by supporting maps such as geological maps, maps of the proposed openings and assay maps, sample lists, and other pertinent data. Schedule "A" LF-100, item 18.

THE REQUIREMENTS AND COSTS

23. Tabulate in the form of an itemized statement with a sum for each item the estimated requirements and costs of the project so that the total will give the estimated cost of the project in which the Government will participate, as follows: See page 3 of schedule "1" LF-103, item 23.
    (*a*) Labor, by numbers and classes (miners, muckers, millmen, etc.), with wages of each.
    (*b*) Supervisors, by numbers and positions, with salaries of each.
    (*c*) Cost of necessary repairs to existing facilities, structures, and buildings.
    (*d*) Cost of necessary installation or construction of additional facilities, structures, and buildings.
    (*e*) Cost of items of equipment, not now owned by the applicant which must be purchased for operations at a cost of $50 or more each.
    (*f*) Cost of rental for equipment which the operator proposes to rent.
    (*g*) Rental value of items of equipment which the operator now owns and which will be devoted to the work.
    (*h*) Cost of materials and supplies, including items of equipment costing less than $50 each.
    (*i*) Cost of power, water, utilities, and any other items not provided for above.

24. Furnish a time schedule of the project, stated in terms of months after project is approved, showing progress expected to be accomplished and the money expected to be spent. See page 2 schedule "1" LF-103, item 24.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this form and accompanying papers is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Not applicable
(Name of company)

By J. A. Madison
(Signature of authorized official)

19 May 1951
(Date)

Owner
(Title)

Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), Section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

*Same as footnote on page 1.

16—64067-1 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

J. A. Madison
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada
19 May 1951

8. Financial Statement

I own this mining claim, the equipment and facilities listed in Schedule "A" MF-100, item 17, and $3000.00 cash. I have no bills or indebtedness outstanding other than small current bills (convenience accounts) which, when payable upon receipt of monthly statement at the end of May, 1951, will total less than $75.00.

I have spent to reactivate development of this property, since 15 April 1951. for such items as powder, fuse, caps, gasoline, oils and grease, compressor battery, bits. misc. small parts etc., approximately $250.00. I can show no "profit" other than the negligible tonnage of unsold ore on the dump referred to in Schedule "A" MF-100, item 7(a).

9. Money Applicant is Prepared to Invest

Whatever amount (up to $3000.00) that in conjunction with what the DMA allows me on my operating equipment, that it takes to qualify for this loan.

10(a) The Property

The lode claim, known as the Scheelite Extension, is situated in the Regent Mining District, about 5 miles southeast of Rawhide townsite, Mineral County, Nevada. More particularly, this claim adjoins the Nevada Scheelite Mine property, and ties on their patented claim, known as Tun. 4-4773A, on said claim south side line. The claim, being mineral bearing ground, extends from discovery monument 200 ft. in a southerly direction and 1300 ft in a northerly direction. The notice of location is on record in the office of the Mineral County Recorder, Book 13 of Mining Locations, page 142, in Hawthorne, Mineral County, Nevada.

15. Shipping and Milling of Product

The following methods of marketing ore, when developed and produced, are listed in the order of their desireability:

1. The owners of the Nevada Scheelite Mine are contemplating increasing their milling facilities, with tentative plans for treating custom ore, This would involve

J. A. Madison
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada
19 May 1951

15. Shipping and Milling of Product cont'd.

a truck haul of less than one-half ($\frac{1}{2}$) mile.

2. Establishment of Government ore purchase depots within a $12.00 to $15.00 haul (combined truck and rail), would give me an outlet, under which the reasonable expectations of this project could be profitably carried on.

3. A small mill is under reconstruction about 7 miles from here, at which arrangements could be made to treat the ore. A low grade concentrate would be produced, which in turn could be trucked to Bishop Concentrate and Cleaning Co., Bishop, California, or to some similar concern.

NOTE: If the work I propose to do opens up the geologically indicated ore bodies, the property would merit, and pay for the construction of a mill to treat the ore. Space for this can be secured and ample water developed for this within five miles of this claim.

21. Proposed Work

If this application for a loan is favorably acted upon, I propose to construct a suitable head frame, repair and retimber the existing 110 feet of incline shaft, and to sink a minimum of one hundred feet continuation of said shaft. This can be accomplished in 90 to 120 days from the time the money is made available.

23. ITEM #23 ON PAGE 3.

24. Time Schedule

Days to rehabilitate camp and place materials and supplies on property	14
Days to construct head frame and repair and retimber present shaft	36
Days to sink additional 100 feet of shaft	50 to 80

Estimated total time 90 to 120 days or 3 to 4 months.

J. A. Madison
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada
19 May 1951

23. Requirements and Costs

(a)	2 shaft men @ $14.00 per day (plus board) - wages for 120 days	$3360.00*
	1 combination cook, bookkeeper, and stand-by hoist operator for four months @ $225.00 per month	900.00
	1 laborer 14 days @ $12.00 per day (plus board) to assist self repairing quarters	168.00*
(b)	Self as working manager @ $350.00 per mo. for 4 months	1400.00
(c)	Materials to rehabilitate quarters for men	200.00
	1 refrigerator (bottled gas) and materials to rehabilitate kitchen	600.00
(d)	none needed	
(e)	Second-hand air and water pipe (300 feet of each)	150.00
	Second-hand blower and ventilating pipe	120.00
(f)	none	
(g)	Whatever is usual and equitable under the practical application of "Regulations Governing Government Aid in Defense Exploration Projects." This would, I should think, be worked out in conjunction with, and as a part of item 9, MF-103, with reference to Section 9(b) of MO-5 of Apr. 6, 1951--the so-called sliding scale participation feature of appropriate section, Pub. Law 774.	
(h)	Timber for head frame and timbering shaft	1600.00
	Powder, fuse, caps, and carbide	550.00
	Groceries and fuel (boarding house) 4 people for 4 months	900.00
	Misc. cash for small items such as bolts, screws, nails, spikes, cement for equipment blocks, blacksmith coal, wedges, etc., etc., and possible repairs and replacement parts for equipment, assays..	1000.00
(i)	Gasoline and oil for compressor, hoist and pickup to haul water and supplies	650.00
	Nevada Industrial Insurance (Workmen's Compensation Act) Approx.	125.00
	Total	$11,723.00

*NOTE: Although I propose to operate this project on a six day work week schedule, in these estimates the cost of labor to be employed on a per day basis has been computed on a straight-time, 7 day week basis. This was done to cover social security, and the time and one-half of each sixth consecutive work day. ~~Also, the possibility (not anticipated) of an additional overtime day, should the need arise~~

Form MF-100
(March 1951)

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

Form approved.
Budget Bureau No. 42-R1026.

GENERAL TECHNICAL DATA FOR USE UNDER THE DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT OF 1950

NOT TO BE FILLED IN BY APPLICANT

Docket No. Dma-1266-X ~~1699-X~~

Date received 6/15/51

J. A. Madison
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada

Name and address of applicant

Date 19 May 1951

INSTRUCTIONS

This form is to be filed with Defense Minerals Administration, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C. It should be accompanied by appropriate application form when a specific type of Government assistance is requested, in the form of (1) loan, (2) purchase contract, (3) Government guarantee of a private loan, (4) ~~Necessity Certificate pursuant to the provisions of Sec. 124-A (Amortization Deduction) of Internal Revenue Code~~ priorities or allocation of mining equipment, and maintenance, repair and operating supplies, and (5) other forms of Government assistance that might arise under the Act. Submit four (4) signed copies of the form and accompanying papers. Name and address should be stamped or typed on each sheet of this form and all accompanying papers. *When a question is inapplicable it should be so stated on the form.* Additional sheets may be attached in answering any questions or in supplying additional information. (IF YOU CANNOT ANSWER A QUESTION, SO STATE.) If a question is answered elsewhere indicate where answered. It is not necessary to answer it again.

5 GENERAL TECHNICAL DATA

Supply the following information on separate sheets, arranged, numbered, and lettered as indicated:

1. Materials produced:
   (a) What are the chief mine, mill, or smelter products? Mine product: Tungsten (scheelite) ore
   (b) What are the byproducts, if any? none

Name(s) and type(s) of mine(s), mill(s), smelter(s), refinery(ies), pit(s), quarry (ies), drilling operation(s). Include old names of property, if any. Show extent of workings, including the following: Lode prospect. Scheelite Extension formerly known as ED-A-HOW

   (a) Linear feet of shafts. 110 ft.
   (b) Linear feet of drifts and crosscuts. 90 ft. drift on 50 ft. level--75 ft. drift on 85 ft. level.
   (c) Linear feet of tunnels or adits. 25 ft.
   (d) Linear feet of other mine openings (explain briefly). Stope from 50 ft. level to surface.

Indicate whether mine is flooded or not. Describe any pumping problems. Give size or productive capacity. Mine dry. Productive capacity unknown

3. For each operation listed above supply the following:
   (a) Distance and direction from nearest town and shipping point. 37 mi. to Thorne, Nev. 51 mi. to Luning, Nev.
   (b) Mining district. Regent
   (c) Township, Section, Range. Township and section unknown. Sand Springs Range.
   (d) County, State. Mineral County, Nevada.
4. (a) State whether or not property is now in operation, and if in operation, by whom operated. Exploratory work now being done by owner.
   (b) Are you operating this property as:
   - [x] Owner.
   - [ ] Lessee.
   - [ ] Contractor.
5. Number of years in production not in production. See #7 below.
   If not in production or operation, estimated date when production will begin Probably 90 to 120 days after loan is made available.
6. Experience of operators:
   Describe the mining and general business experience of (a) the applicant, and (b) the person or persons who manage the project. See attached schedule "A" MF-100, item 6.
7. History:
   (a) Give a statement, as complete as possible, of previous exploration, development, operation, and production of property, with reasons for suspension of operation. See schedule "A" MF-100, item 7(a).
   (b) State briefly the known history and production of adjoining and neighboring properties Schedule "A" MF-100, item 7(b)
   (c) Furnish any available (private) reports that may apply to this application, including results of mine examinations, recommended exploration and development, and metallurgical investigations. Have none.

8. Names and addresses of Officers, Directors, or Partners, and in addition thereto, the five largest stockholders if applicant is a corporation. Inapplicable

Name and Address (a)	Official Title (If officer is also director indicate by "D") (b)	Total of Annual Salary, Commissions, Bonuses, Etc., Received From Applicant and Affiliates During Last Fiscal Year (c)	Estimated Net Worth Outside of Interest in Applicant (d)	Number of Shares Held in Applicant Corporation: Common (e)	Number of Shares Held in Applicant Corporation: Preferred (f)	Life Insurance Carried for Benefit of Applicant: Amount (g)	Life Insurance Carried for Benefit of Applicant: Net Cash Surrender Value After Loans (h)
	Total		x x x x x			x x x x x	x x x x x

If more lines are needed continue on separate sheet.

9. Capital Stock Issues: Inapplicable

For Corporate Applicants

Item	Authorized (a)	Outstanding (b)	Par Value (c)	Number of Shares Outstanding (d)	Dividend Rate Last Paid* (e)
Common stock	$	$	$		
Preferred stock	$	$	$		

*Indicate period covered.

10. Production: Inapplicable

Item	Kind of Product (a)	1948 Total (b)	1949 Total (c)	1950 Total (d)	Present Average Monthly (e)
1. Quantity of product mined or quarried (Short, long, metric tons; barrels; pounds; etc.)					
2. Quantity of product processed (specify unit of measure and type of process)					
3. Quantity and grade of product sold or shipped (specify units of measure)					

11. Do you contemplate a change in the present average monthly rate of production? If so, state estimated maximum monthly production and basis of change. Inapplicable

12. Ore or Mineral Reserves: See Attached Schedule "A" MF-100, item 12(a)

(*a*) Describe the ore or mineral deposits briefly. Accompany the application by any available report on the geology and ore reserves.

(*b*) If deposit is other than placer:

(1) Submit assay plans and/or sections showing location and size of proved (measured) and probable (indicated) ore or mineral reserve. Have none

(2) State the tonnage (indicate type of ton) and grade of each class of ore reserve, as above, and show how computed. Tabulated total ore reserve as follows: not applicable. See 12(a) above

TOTAL ORE OR MINERAL RESERVES

Ore or Mineral Reserve	Estimated Tons (a)	Metal or Mineral Content Per Ton (Grade) (b)	Gross Value Per Ton (c)	Recoverable Unit Value Per Ton (d)	Estimated Cost of Production Per Ton (e)
Measured (proved)					
Indicated (probable)					

(*c*) If placer: Inapplicable

(1) Give estimated total yardage and average marketable mineral content of each deposit.

(2) Submit map showing location of placer deposit and surrounding area, with all test holes or pits. Submit logs of each hole and test pit with depth and average value of each.

(3) Describe gravel, stating whether fine, medium or coarse; loose, tight, cemented, or frozen, and whether it contains stumps or boulders more than 1 foot in diameter; if so, how large, and in what proportion.

(4) Describe bedrock, giving type (granite, sandstone, shale, etc.) and state whether it is hard or soft, smooth, uneven or rough.

(5) Describe overburden, stating whether loose, tight, or cemented; fine or coarse textured; furnish estimate of average thickness and total amount.

(6) Tabulate the reserves using the form outlined above for ore or mineral reserves.

13. Access Roads: See schedule "A" MF-100, item 13.

Give road distances to shipping, supply and residence points, stating kind and condition of roads.

14. Water Supply: See schedule "A" MF-100, item 14.

State source and quantity of water available for operations and whether sufficient for all seasons of year.

15. Power: See schedule "A" MF-100, item 15.

State amount of power used, rate per hour, and source thereof.

16. Labor: Inapplicable.

State number and classes (miners, muckers, millmen, etc.) of men employed during a recent representative payroll period.

17. Equipment and Facilities: See schedule "A" MF-100, item 17.

Describe present equipment on the property, including buildings. (State condition.) List major pieces of equipment now owned or controlled and in serviceable condition available for this operation.

18. Are there any particular conditions or circumstances affecting your operations that are not described above? If so, explain.

See schedule "A" MF-100, item 18.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this form and accompanying papers is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Not applicable
(Name of company)

By J. A. Mackinnon
(Signature of authorized official)

19 May 1951
(Date)

Owner.
(Title)

Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), Section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—63792-1

J. A. Madison
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada
19 May 1951

6. Experience of Operators

The applicant, J. A. Madison, who has for the past thirty years been engaged in prospecting, and operating owned and leased mining properties in the Battle Mountain, Round Mountain, Fairview, Regent, Goodsprings, and other, mining districts of Nevada, will manage the project.

7.(a) History

Under a lease on this claim, then known as the ED-A-HOW, I commenced work in April 1943. At that time the only work on the ground was a six(6) foot prospect hole. Assisted by Mrs. Madison, I established camp, built a road, installed equipment, and sunk a fifty foot shaft. Ore saved during this development amounted to an estimated 90 tons. This was treated at a small mill, then operating about 7 miles from here and resulted in 54.6779 units WO_3 being recovered.

With a "Qualified Producer" status under the Domestic Tungsten Program, I continued operating with one miner (besides myself), and shipped a total of 330.8 tons (short tons-dry weight) having an average WO_3 content of 1.4475%, to Metals Reserve Co., U.S.Vanadium Corp., Agent, Salt Lake City, Utah, between 15 Nov. 1943 and 20 Apr. 1944. Early in March of 1944 I had received notice of termination of Domestic Tungsten Program, under which I elected to accept Method 2, and so discontinued the mining operation for lack of a market for crude ore.

I learned, in Sept.1947, that the original locator (from whom I had leased in 1943-44) had abandoned claim to the ground. I located the property under the name "Scheelite Extension", which is on record in the office of the Mineral County Recorder, Book 13 of Mining Locations, page 142, Hawthorne, Mineral County, Nevada. Proof of labor is on record in the same office, for the years 1948, 1949, and 1950, in Book 7 of Proof of Labor page 413, and Book 8, pages 117 and 181, respectively.

In November, 1949, I leased the claim to Charles Milan, who mined and milled the

(continued next page)

J. A. Madison
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada
19 May 1951
7(a) History (cont'd)

oreleft in sight when I terminated my operation in 1944. Tonnage and value per ton is unknown. At $23.50 per unit of WO_3 he produced $1061.67 worth of low grade concentrates.

On April 15, 1951, I started moving my equipment back to this claim, and started the exploratory work on the surface referred to on page #1 of MF-100 section 2(c). In the course of doing this exploratation, I have produced 5 tons or better, of ore, now on plat, which will average 1½% WO_3. Also approximately 50 tons which will average ½% WO_3, of any commercial value only at such time as milling facilities are available in the immediate area.

My estimation of values per ton are based on close and frequent check on material with pan and Ultra Violet light. In 1943-44, I had many assays run, checking and study-the pulp with pan and light at all times, developing a reasonable facility thereby, that serves adequately for rough prospecting work on this particular ore. All shipments I made to Metals Reserve Co., were settled for on assays thatran slightly in excess of what I had anticipated. As soon as I have funds to go ahead with this project I shall, of course, resume the practice of frequent assays.

My copies of settlement sheets on the above outlined total production are hereto attached. Regardless of action on this application PLEASE, RETURN THESE to me!

7.(b) Adjoining Property

My claim, the Scheelite Extension, adjoins the Nevada Scheelite Mine on the northeast. The Nevada Scheelite Company has been operating a 50 ton mill continuously since 1937. Within the past few weeks this property was sold to the Kenametals Corporation, for a reported $500.000.00. I do not know what the production of this property has been, but it could hardly have operated for so long without having produced a minimum of three or four million dollars.

J. A. Madison
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada
19 May 1951

12.(a) Ore and Mineral Reserves

The ore occurred in lenses in the lime and granite contact. There are no known or measureable ore bodies in sight. The bottom of the shaft shows two and one-half feet of ore which assayed 1% WO_3. This opened up from a four inch streak with the last round put in there. Work on the shaft was abandoned, to ship the ore already broken in the upper workings of the mine, when notice of termination of Domestic Tungsten Program was received.

13. Access Roads

The following towns are all rail points. From the Scheelite Extension it is:

a. 51 miles south to Luning, Nevada--31 miles good dirt road and 20 miles on Hiway23.

b. 47 miles southwest to Thorne, Nevada--all good dirt road.

c. 55 miles northwest to Fallon, Nevada--30 mi. fair dirt road and 25 mi. on Hiway 95.

d. 37 miles to Schurz, Nevada-- all fair dirt road.

NOTE: There is a strong possibility that the increased activity and production of the Nevada Scheelite Mine, under the new owners, may result in a black top road being built to it.

14. Water Supply

Water for camp and mining purposes is at present being hauled 1/4 mile from the Nev. Scheelite Mine. My offer to pay for it was rejected. The amount of water needed to carry on the projected work is unlikely to exceed 200 gallons per day and this can be conveniently hauled and will be supplied at negligible cost, if any.

15. Power

Gasoline hoist uses approximately 3 gallons of gasoline per hour.

Gasoline compressor uses approximately 3 gallons of gasoline per hour.

17. Equipment and Facilities

Buildings on property are:

One 2 room cabin--needs repairs

J. A. Madison
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada
19 May 1951

17. Equipment and Facilities cont'd.

One 1 room cabin--needs repairs.

One 27 foot Whitley House Trailer for own occupancy.

One out-door toilet.

Major pieces of equipment in good operating condition are:

One 3/4 ton GMC pickup for hauling water and supplies.

One Portable Leroy hoist with 500 ft. 5/8" cable.

One portable Smith Head compressor.

One 3 by 6 auxilliary air receiver.

One 37 lb. Cochise jackhammer with air and water hoses.

One complete blacksmith outfit--blower,anvils, forge etc.--for tool sharpening.

One $75.00 Mineralight (Ultra Violet.)

Four 4 by 12 and eight 4 by 6 turn sheets.

Six 55 gallon water drums.

Approx. 3000 ft of miscellaneous mine timbers.

About $500.00 worth misc. pipe fittings & connections, picks, shovels, and assorted hand tools.
Four sets jackhammer drill steel.

200 ft. of track (8 lb. rails.)

100 ft. 1½" pipe.

18. Particular Conditions

This property is strictly a prospect. And an attractive prospect chiefly because it adjoins the Nevada Scheelite Mine, a known producer. The collar of my shaft is approximately 1800 feet N.E. of the Nevada Scheelite main shaft, but 200 ft. higher in elevation. The workings (in ore) of the Nevada Scheelite on their 200 and/or 300 foot levels have extended between 700 and 800 feet in the direction of my claim. The last diamond drill test hole put in by the Bureau of Mines in 1944, is about 450 ft. from my shaft. The ore in the Nevada Scheelite Mine has occurred in lenses that ranged in size from a

J. A. Madison
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada
19 May 1951

18. Particular Conditions cont'd.

mere seam to a deposit now being worked between the 200 and 300 foot levels which is 3 to 7 sets wide and 48 sets long, breaking 1½% WO_3.

My claim appears to be on the same contact, tho no positive statement can be made as to this, on account of the vast amount of debris and overburden overlaying the area.

Everything revealed by the very limited amount of prospecting this claim has had indicates that the only reasonable procedure is to continue the shaft on down, with a view to hitting a continuation of the known orebodies southwest of it.

The work done in 1943-44 was a one-man, hard-scrabbling operation, undertaken rather late in the Domestic Tungsten Program set-up. I am applying for this loan for the purpose of hiring labor and buying timber to continue the shaft on down, at least, another 100 feet.

Exhibit A

Summary of proposed expenditures:

Rehabilitation and Repair of Buildings	$800.00
Headframe and rehabilitation of shaft	1,800.00
Sink shaft 100 feet	9,600.00
Total cost of project	$12,000.00

Exhibit B

Not Applicable

EXHIBIT D

LABOR AND SUPERVISION

2 shift men	$14 plus board per day 120 days	$ 3,360
1 combination cook, bookkeeper, and stand-by hoistman	$225 per month 4 months	900
1 laborer	$12 plus board per day 14 days	168
1 manager (working) (will be applicant)	$350 per month 4 months	$ 1,400

EXHIBIT E

REHABILITATION AND REPAIRS

Rehabilitate bunk house	
Materials	$200
Labor	168
Rehabilitate kitchen	
Materials and 1 refrigerator	600
Total	$ 968

Standard Form No. 1044—Revised
Form approved by Comptroller General U. S.
June 25, 1936

SCHEDULE OF COLLECTIONS

Schedule No. SF-DMEA-3

Sheet No. 1 of 1

Department of the Interior
(Department or Establishment)

Bureau of Mines
(Bureau or Office)

Received by A. H. Hoiland, Regional Disbursing Office (Name) (Title) at San Francisco, Calif. (Station)

Period July 1953 (Month or quarter ended)

D. O. Symbol No. 412

Date Received	Receipt Number	Name of Remitter	Detail Description of Purpose for Which Collections Were Received	Amount	Fund to be Credited (Symbol and title in full)
7/20/53 Dated 7/16/53		Corwin and Rysh Mining Co. by Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin, Rawhide, Nevada	Sale of Refrigerator purchased under DMEA Contract E-46	$ 60.75	14X4401 Revolving Fund Defense Production Act, Interior
			Total,	$60.75	

PLEASE RETURN THIS COPY TO:
U. S. BUREAU OF MINES
1712 FLOOD BUILDING
870 MARKET STREET
SAN FRANCISCO 2, CALIFORNIA

JUL 28 1953
Division of Disbursement
Treasury Department
San Francisco
Recd.

Received _____ subject to collection.

Forwarded July 24, 1953

(Disbursing clerk or accountable officer)

By _____

By Floyd H. Hoiland (Name)

Title Disbursing Officer

Certificate of Deposit No. I 99 dated JUL 28 1953

SF-DMEA-028

MF-104
(August 1951)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.2.
Approval Expires 6-30-52.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

Contract No. 14
Idm-E

Name of Operator Robert J. Ryah and Steven Corrin
Docket No. DMA 1200 X
Total Contract Amount $ 12,000
Government Participation: 75 % Amount, $ 9,000
Distribution of Expenditures for Month of May 1952

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
LOBEN 24

JUN 23 1952

STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MINERAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Operators are to submit monthly reports to the designated field representative of the Defense Minerals Administration. Reports are to be submitted in quadruplicate prior to the 15th day of the month following the reporting period.

Narrative.—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered is to be furnished.

Maps.—Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

Cost Accounts.—All applicable parts of this form are to be filled in. This report will form the basis for payments of the Government's participating share of expenses. Audits of accounts may be made from time to time.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Schollite Extension Inc
(Name of Company)

By Robert J. Ryah
(Signature of Authorized Official)

4 June 1952
(Date)

Partner
(Title)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

16—64068-3

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT

List as Shown on Exhibits Attached to Contract

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only		
				Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
1. Fixtures and Improvements:						
(a) Facilities						
(b) Buildings						
(c) Fixtures						
2. Operating Equipment:						
(a) Purchased[1]		891.03	892.03			
(b) Rented[2]						
(c) Furnished by operator		604.00	604.00			
3. Rehabilitation and Repairs:						
(a) Facilities						
(b) Buildings		363.05	363.05			
(c) Installations						

16—64068-3

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT—Continued

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(d) Fixtures						
	737.00	5,959.70	6,707.70			
4. Labor [3]						
5. Supervision						
6. Supplies		729.70	729.70			
(a) Timber	40.40	357.10	397.50			
(b) Explosives	29.04	254.01	283.05			
(c) Repair Parts	42.44	1165.72	1208.16			
(d) Other	65.60	216.76	282.36			
7. Power		204.75	204.75			
8. Transportation						
9. Insurance						
(a) Fire		22.27	22.27	not fire insurance, State Unempl. Oct 1950		
(b) Public Liability	23.39	553.68	577.07	includes Soc. Sec. & State Unempl. for		
(c) Workmen's Compensation				some months, Mrs. Rodes Sess.		
10. Contract Work		47.32	47.32	Principally office supplies & local supplies		
11. Other (specify)		23.00	23.00	Soc. Sec. Oct 1951 & Jan 1952		
	1,037.07	11,363.75	12,401.62			
Total						
Deductions						
Adjusted totals	11,363.75					
Totals previously reported	12,401.62					
Totals to date						

[1] Enter amount paid during month toward total purchase price.
[2] Payments for rental of equipment or prorated depreciation on equipment owned by contractor.
[3] Submit copy of the Social Security form filed under the Old Age Insurance system showing complete wage and salary data or furnish copy of payroll sheet giving same data.

NOTE.—Indicate that portion of supervisory, office, and engineering salaries that is chargeable to this project.

Recording of Proof of Labor and figure total over actual figure. Carried for convenience.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by VVP
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Amount approved for payment $ 462.17
Remarks
Final

16—64068-3

Paul L. Powell
Authorized Certifying Officer

JUN 13 1952

EXPLORATION UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT FOR MONTH OF May 1952

(Supply Data Below Applicable Only to Your Operations)

Item	Costs					Progress [4]				
	Wages [1] and salaries	Equipment [2]	Supplies	Other [3]	Total	Unit	Units this month	Units previously reported	Units to date	Units authorized by contract (Exhibit A)
Drifting										
Crosscutting										
Raising										
Shafts	757.00		111.88	148.99	1017.87	Ft	18	100	100+18	
Winzes										
Drill holes										
Overburden										
Rehabilitation and preparation										
Geology and geophysics										
Trenching										
Sampling and assaying										
Roads and trails										
Test pits										
Other (specify)										
Totals	757.00		111.88	148.99	1017.87	Ft	18	100	100+18	

[1] Prorate supervisory, engineering, and office salaries in proportion to man-shifts of direct labor.
[2] Prorate charges for equipment that is not exclusively for one type of job (such as compressors) against authorized units of work in each category.
[3] Where entries in the "Other" column (above) are more than 25 percent of the total expenditures, a breakdown of this item should be made.
[4] Specify whether feet, cubic feet, acres, miles, etc.

16—64068-3 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

May 1952

Monthly Progress Report- Scheelite Extension Mine - Idm-E46

This months report is our final report on contract No. Idm- E 46. We completed timbering the 200 foot level station and placed two sets of timber in the 18 foot skip pocket. Extended skids, pipe ladders and ventilator tubing.

We have a definate contact of lime and granite that shows some "colors". We believe that some drifting and crosscutting would expose an orebody.

We wish at this time to express our thanks to you Mr. Johnson and to your staff who have at all times most helpful in assisting us with our problems. It has been a pleasure working with you on this project and hope that our association may continue.

Robert J. Rysh

Robert J. Rysh, Partner

SF - DMCA - 25

MF-104
(August 1951)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.2.
Approval Expires 6-30-52.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

Contract No. Idm-E 46

Name of Operator Robert J. Ryah and Steven Corrin
Docket No. DMA 1200 X
Total Contract Amount $ 12,000
Government Participation: 75 % Amount, 9,000
Distribution of Expenditures for Month of April 1952

STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MINERAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Operators are to submit monthly reports to the designated field representative of the Defense Minerals Administration. Reports are to be submitted in quadruplicate prior to the 15th day of the month following the reporting period.

Narrative.—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered is to be furnished.

Maps.—Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

Cost Accounts.—All applicable parts of this form are to be filled in. This report will form the basis for payments of the Government's participating share of expenses. Audits of accounts may be made from time to time.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Schollto Extension Mine
(Name of Company)

By Robert J. Ryah
(Signature of Authorized Official)

1 May 1952
(Date)

Partner
(Title)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

16—64068-3

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT

List as Shown on Exhibits Attached to Contract

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only		
				Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
1. Fixtures and Improvements:						
(*a*) Facilities						
(*b*) Buildings						
(*c*) Fixtures						
2. Operating Equipment:						
(*a*) Purchased[1]		071.23	071.23			
(*b*) Rented[2]						
(*c*) Furnished by operator		664.00	664.00			
3. Rehabilitation and Repairs:						
(*a*) Facilities						
(*b*) Buildings		363.05	363.05			
(*c*) Installations						

April 1952

Monthly Progress Report - Scheelite Extension Mine - Idm-E 46

Three sets of timber were placed this month and two lengths of ladder, two lengths of air and water pipe and two sets of skids.

Reached the two hundred foot level and completed cutting a station.

Hornfel and silicified limestone were encountered in cutting the station necessitating the increased use of more bits for the harder rock.

The amount of colors showing andthe amount of alteration of the granite and limestone encourages me to believe that a body of ore is not to far away.

Robert J Rysh

-Robert J. Rysh Partner

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT—Continued

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(d) Fixtures						
4. Labor[3]	953.00	4997.00	5950.70			
5. Supervision						
6. Supplies						
(a) Timber	8.40	721.30	729.70			
(b) Explosives	85.40	271.70	357.10			
(c) Repair Parts	11.60	243.21	254.81			
(d) Other	22.00	1143.72	1165.72			
7. Power	31.58	185.18	216.76			
8. Transportation		204.75	204.75			
9. Insurance						
(a) Fire		20.27	20.27			
(b) Public Liability	136.63	357.25	493.88			
(c) Workmen's Compensation						
10. Contract Work		47.32	47.32			
11. Other (specify)		23.66	23.66			
Total	1248.61	10,135.14	11,383.75			
Deletions						
Adjusted totals	10,135.14					
Totals previously reported	11,383.75					
Totals to date						

[1] Enter amount paid during month toward total purchase price.
[2] Payments for rental of equipment or prorated depreciation on equipment owned by contractor.
[3] Submit copy of the Social Security form filed under the Old Age Insurance system showing complete wage and salary data or furnish copy of payroll sheet giving same data.

NOTE.—Indicate that portion of supervisory, office, and engineering salaries that is chargeable to this project.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by fh
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Amount approved for payment $ 936.46
Remarks

16—64068-3

* Includes $3 tax & State Unemployment Ins. For Feb. & Mar. 1952

** According & Proof of Labor

MAY 6 1952

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

EXPLORATION UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT FOR MONTH OF April 1952

(Supply Data Below Applicable Only to Your Operations)

Item	Costs					Progress [4]				
	Wages [1] and salaries	Equipment [2]	Supplies	Other [3]	Total	Unit	Units this month	Units previously reported	Units to date	Units authorized by contract (Exhibit A)
Drifting										
Crosscutting										
Raising										
Shafts	953.00		158.98	136.63	1248.61	Ft.	25	75	100	
Winzes										
Drill holes										
Overburden										
Rehabilitation and preparation										
Geology and geophysics										
Trenching										
Sampling and assaying										
Roads and trails										
Test pits										
Other (specify)										
Totals	953.00		158.98	136.63	1248.61	Ft.	25	75	100	

[1] Prorate supervisory, engineering, and office salaries in proportion to man-shifts of direct labor.
[2] Prorate charges for equipment that is not exclusively for one type of job (such as compressors) against authorized units of work in each category.
[3] Where entries in the "Other" column (above) are more than 25 percent of the total expenditures, a breakdown of this item should be made.
[4] Specify whether feet, cubic feet, acres, miles, etc.

16—64068-3 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

MF-104
(August 1951)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.2.
Approval Expires 6-30-52.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

Contract No. Idm-E 46

Name of Operator Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin
Docket No. DMA 1288X
Total Contract Amount $ 12,000.00
Government Participation: 9,000.00 % Amount, $ 75%
Distribution of Expenditures for Month of March 1952

STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MINERAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Operators are to submit monthly reports to the designated field representative of the Defense Minerals Administration. Reports are to be submitted in quadruplicate prior to the 15th day of the month following the reporting period.

Narrative.—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered is to be furnished.

Maps.—Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

Cost Accounts.—All applicable parts of this form are to be filled in. This report will form the basis for payments of the Government's participating share of expenses. Audits of accounts may be made from time to time.

ACCOUNTS APR 7 1952

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Scheelite Extension Mine
(Name of Company)

By Robert J. Rysh
Robert J Rysh
(Signature of Authorized Official)

April 3, 1952
(Date)

Partner
(Title)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

16—64068-3

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT

List as Shown on Exhibits Attached to Contract

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only		
				Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
1. Fixtures and Improvements:						
(*a*) Facilities						
(*b*) Buildings						
(*c*) Fixtures						
2. Operating Equipment:						
(*a*) Purchased[1]		02.03	02.23			
(*b*) Rented[2]						
(*c*) Furnished by operator		02.00	02.00			
3. Rehabilitation and Repairs:						
(*a*) Facilities						
(*b*) Buildings		303.05	303.05			
(*c*) Installations						

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT—Continued

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(d) Fixtures						
4. Labor [3]	923.00	4,074.20	4,997.00			
5. Supervision						
6. Supplies						
(a) Timber		721.30	721.30			
(b) Explosives	85.40	186.30	271.70			
(c) Repair Parts	2.14	241.07	243.21			
(d) Other	119.41	1,024.31	1,143.72			
7. Power	30.44	154.74	185.18			
8. Transportation		204.75	204.75			
9. Insurance						
(a) Fire						
(b) Public Liability		30.27	30.27			
(c) Workmen's Compensation	99.95	257.40	357.35			
10. Contract Work						
11. Other (specify)		47.32	47.32			
		23.00	23.00			
Total	1,258.14	9,877.00	10,135.14			
Deletions						
Adjusted totals						
Totals previously reported	9,876.00					
Totals to date	20,135.14					

[1] Enter amount paid during month toward total purchase price.
[2] Payments for rental of equipment or prorated depreciation on equipment owned by contractor.
[3] Submit copy of the Social Security form filed under the Old Age Insurance system showing complete wage and salary data or furnish copy of payroll sheet giving same data.

NOTE.—Indicate that portion of supervisory, office, and engineering salaries that is chargeable to this project.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by *Ph*
Entered by
Approved by *A. C. Johnson*
Amount approved for payment 969.86
Remarks

16—64068-3

Recorded Dept of Labor
APR 8 1952

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

EXPLORATION UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT FOR MONTH OF March 1952

(Supply Data Below Applicable Only to Your Operations)

Item	Costs					Progress [4]				
	Wages [1] and salaries	Equipment [2]	Supplies	Other [3]	Total	Unit	Units this month	Units previously reported	Units to date	Units authorized by contract (Exhibit A)
Drifting										
Crosscutting										
Raising										
Shafts	953.00		206.95	121.19	1281.14	Ft.	34	41	75	100
Winzes										
Drill holes										
Overburden										
Rehabilitation and preparation										
Geology and geophysics										
Trenching										
Sampling and assaying										
Roads and trails										
Test pits										
Other (specify)										
Totals	953.00	~~206.95~~	206.95	121.19	1281.14	Ft.	34	41	75	100

[1] Prorate supervisory, engineering, and office salaries in proportion to man-shifts of direct labor.
[2] Prorate charges for equipment that is not exclusively for one type of job (such as compressors) against authorized units of work in each category.
[3] Where entries in the "Other" column (above) are more than 25 percent of the total expenditures, a breakdown of this item should be made.
[4] Specify whether feet, cubic feet, acres, miles, etc.

16—64068-3 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

March 1952

Monthly Progress Report- Scheelite Extension Mine- Idn-E46

In March we placed six sets of timber, making our depth to bottom of timber - 175 feet.

Also installed three sets of skids, three sets of water and air line and two length of ladder.

From 141 feet down to our present depth, we have encountered limestone, granite and many alterations of these two primary formations. Some small areas lamp very good, also some garnet that does not lamp so very well.

Work progressed at a satisfactory rate for three hands.

We expect to finnish, that is, reach the 200 foot level the latter part of the third week of April.

Robert J. Rysh

Robert J. Rysh, Partner

MF-104
(August 1951)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.2.
Approval Expires 6-30-52.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

Contract No. 46
Idm-E

Name of Operator Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin
Docket No. DMA 1265X
Total Contract Amount $ 12,000.00
Government Participation: 9,000.00 % Amount $ 75
Distribution of Expenditures for Month of February, 1952

[illegible]
Defense [illegible]
[illegible]

MAR 1[illegible] 1952

STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MINERAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Operators are to submit monthly reports to the designated field representative of the Defense Minerals Administration. Reports are to be submitted in quadruplicate prior to the 15th day of the month following the reporting period.

Narrative.—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered is to be furnished.

Maps.—Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

Cost Accounts.—All applicable parts of this form are to be filled in. This report will form the basis for payments of the Government's participating share of expenses. Audits of accounts may be made from time to time.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Scheelite Extension Mine
(Name of Company)

By Robert J. Rysh
Robert J. Rysh (Signature of Authorized Official)

March 3, 1952
(Date)

Partner
(Title)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

16—64068-3

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT

List as Shown on Exhibits Attached to Contract

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only		
				Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
1. Fixtures and Improvements:						
(a) Facilities						
(b) Buildings						
(c) Fixtures						
2. Operating Equipment:						
(a) Purchased[1]		891.23	891.23			
(b) Rented[2]						
(c) Furnished by operator		664.00	664.00			
3. Rehabilitation and Repairs:						
(a) Facilities						
(b) Buildings		363.85	363.85			
(c) Installations						

FEBRUARY 1952

MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT - SCHEELITE EXTENSION MINE - Idm-846

For the past month of February, we have put in five sets of timber, bringing our depth to bottom of timber to 141 feet.

At this depth we have encountered a hard, coarsely crystalline, blue-black limestone which appears to run northerly-southerly.

At the contact there are some colors.

One length of ladder, two lengths of air and water line and two sets of skids were also installed.

Our part time helpers from the Nevada Scheelite mine quit early this month to go on contract at the Nevada Scheelite mine. However, on the 21st we were able to hire a full-time man.

Robert J. Ryah

Robert J. Ryah, Partner

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT—Continued

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(d) Fixtures						
4. Labor[3]	904.80	3,140.10	4,044.70			
5. Supervision						
6. Supplies						
(a) Timber	8.00	713.30	721.30			
(b) Explosives	80.00	126.30	186.30			
(c) Repair Parts	3.68	237.39	241.07			
(d) Other	166.22	858.09	1,024.31			
7. Power	56.38	98.36	154.74			
8. Transportation		204.75	204.75			
9. Insurance						
(a) Fire		20.27	20.27			
(b) Public Liability	86.50	179.50	266.50			
(c) Workmen's Compensation						
10. Contract Work		47.32*	47.32			
11. Other (specify)		25.66	25.66			
Total	1286.48	7,568.52	8,854.00			
Deletions						
Adjusted totals	7568.52					
Totals previously reported	8854.00					
Totals to date						

[1] Enter amount paid during month toward total purchase price.
[2] Payments for rental of equipment or prorated depreciation on equipment owned by contractor.
[3] Submit copy of the Social Security form filed under the Old Age Insurance system showing complete wage and salary data or furnish copy of payroll sheet giving same data.

NOTE.—Indicate that portion of supervisory, office, and engineering salaries that is chargeable to this project.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by PB
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Amount approved for payment 964.11
Remarks

*Recording & Proof of labor

MAR 6 1952

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

16—64068-3

EXPLORATION UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT FOR MONTH OF [illegible]

(Supply Data Below Applicable Only to Your Operations)

Item	Costs					Progress [4]				
	Wages [1] and salaries	Equipment [2]	Supplies	Other [3]	Total	Unit	Units this month	Units previously reported	Units to date	Units authorized by contract (Exhibit A)
Drifting										
Crosscutting										
Raising										
Shafts	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Winzes										
Drill holes										
Overburden										
Rehabilitation and preparation										
Geology and geophysics										
Trenching										
Sampling and assaying										
Roads and trails										
Test pits										
Other (specify)										
Totals	[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]

[1] Prorate supervisory, engineering, and office salaries in proportion to man-shifts of direct labor.
[2] Prorate charges for equipment that is not exclusively for one type of job (such as compressors) against authorized units of work in each category.
[3] Where entries in the "Other" column (above) are more than 25 percent of the total expenditures, a breakdown of this item should be made.
[4] Specify whether feet, cubic feet, acres, miles, etc.

16—64068-3 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

MF-104
(August 1951)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.2.
Approval Expires 6-30-52.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

Contract No. 16
Idm-E

Name of Operator Robert J. Rysh and Steven Cossin
Docket No. DMA 16
Total Contract Amount $ 12,000.00
Government Participation: 75% Amount $ 9,000.00
Distribution of Expenditures for Month of January, 1952

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
MAR 3 - 1952

STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MINERAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Operators are to submit monthly reports to the designated field representative of the Defense Minerals Administration. Reports are to be submitted in quadruplicate prior to the 15th day of the month following the reporting period.

Narrative.—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered is to be furnished.

Maps.—Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

Cost Accounts.—All applicable parts of this form are to be filled in. This report will form the basis for payments of the Government's participating share of expenses. Audits of accounts may be made from time to time.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Colorado Uranium Inc
(Name of Company)

By Robert J. Rysh
(Signature of Authorized Official)

February 6, 1952
(Date)

President
(Title)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

ACCOUNTS FEB 11 1952

16—64068-3

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT

List as Shown on Exhibits Attached to Contract

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only		
				Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
1. Fixtures and Improvements:						
(a) Facilities						
(b) Buildings						
(c) Fixtures						
2. Operating Equipment:						
(a) Purchased[1]		[illegible]	[illegible]			
(b) Rented[2]						
(c) Furnished by operator	119.50	524.50	644.00	8 Jan		
3. Rehabilitation and Repairs:						
(a) Facilities						
(b) Buildings		303.05	303.05			
(c) Installations						

16—64068-3

February 7, 1952

Mr. A. C. Johnson, Chief
Mining Division, Region III
U. S. Bureau of Mines
P. O. Box 1551
Reno, Nevada

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Enclosed are four sets of MF-104 for the month of January.

During this month, the shaft was sunk 18 feet from the 100-foot level. Three sets of timber have been put in the shaft, and three lengths of water and air pipe, two sets of skids and two lengths of ladders.

The progress during the month wasnt as rapid as we had hoped, as we have had considerable difficulty of freezing of water in our barrels and freezing of water lines. Also, we have had some difficulty in obtaining a new jackhammer to replace the old one we now have on the job.

Very truly yours,

Robert J. Rysh

Robert J. Rysh
Partner

A. C. Johnson, Chief, Mining Div., Reg. III Feb. 8, 1952

George H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer, Reg. III

MP-104, Scheelite Extension Mine, Rawhide, Mineral Co., Nev.
Contract Idm-246

The Scheelite Extension Mine, Rawhide, Mineral County, Nev., was visited by A. C. Johnson and George H. Holmes, Jr. on Feb. 7, 1952. This property was purchased on Nov. 1, 1951 from J. A. Madison by Robert J. Rysh and Steven Gerwin. The latter assumed the DMEA exploration loan of $12,000 and are continuing the project under contract Idm-246.

No Forms MP-104 were submitted for the months of November or December, 1951, as work was continued on company account. During this period, however, the shaft was retimbered to 78 feet and sinking operations started on extension of the shaft to the 200-level. Present depth of the shaft is 118 feet with timbering completed to 115 feet.

Winter conditions have slowed operations to some extent but good progress is being made and work is proceeding in a workmanlike manner. Forms MP-104 for Jan. 1952 are recommended for approval.

George H. Holmes, Jr.

George H. Holmes, Jr.

MONTH[illegible] S[illegible]MARY COST STATEMENT—C[illegible]nu[illegible]

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(d) Fixtures						
4. Labor[3] ****	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
5. Supervision						
6. Supplies						
(a) Timber	[illegible]		[illegible]			
(b) Explosives	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
(c) Repair Parts	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
(d) Other		[illegible]	[illegible]			
7. Power	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
8. Transportation		[illegible]	[illegible]			
9. Insurance						
(a) Fire						
(b) Public Liability			[illegible]			
(c) Workmen's Compensation	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
10. Contract Work						
11. Other (specify)	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Total	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Deletions						
Adjusted totals						
Totals previously reported	[illegible]					
Totals to date	[illegible]					

[1] Enter amount paid during month toward total purchase price.
[2] Payments for rental of equipment or prorated depreciation on equipment owned by contractor.
[3] Submit copy of the Social Security form filed under the Old Age Insurance system showing complete wage and salary data or furnish copy of payroll sheet giving same data.

NOTE.—Indicate that portion of supervisory, office, and engineering salaries that is chargeable to this project.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by [illegible]
Entered by [illegible]
Approved by [illegible]
Amount approved for payment $1,501.67
Remarks

[illegible] operation and labor reported under [illegible] contract.
[illegible] under [illegible] contract.
[illegible] and proof of labor.
****2 Shaftmen - 52 shifts @ $14.00 - $728.
1 Util. Man - 60 hrs. @ 1.65 - 99.
$827.

FEB 20 1952

16—64068-3

[illegible]
Authorized Certifying Officer

EXPLORATION UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT FOR MONTH OF [illegible]

(Supply Data Below Applicable Only to Your Operations)

Item	Costs					Progress [4]				
	Wages [1] and salaries	Equipment [2]	Supplies	Other [3]	Total	Unit	Units this month	Units previously reported	Units to date	Units authorized by contract (Exhibit A)
Drifting										
Crosscutting										
Raising										
Shafts	[illegible]	10.50	900.03	125.69	2,002.[illegible]	ft.	10	—	10	100
Winzes										
Drill holes										
Overburden										
Rehabilitation and preparation										
Geology and geophysics										
Trenching										
Sampling and assaying										
Roads and trails										
Test pits										
Other (specify)										
Totals	[illegible]	10.50	900.03	125.69	2,002.[illegible]	ft.	10	—	10	100

[1] Prorate supervisory, engineering, and office salaries in proportion to man-shifts of direct labor.
[2] Prorate charges for equipment that is not exclusively for one type of job (such as compressors) against authorized units of work in each category.
[3] Where entries in the "Other" column (above) are more than 25 percent of the total expenditures, a breakdown of this item should be made.
[4] Specify whether feet, cubic feet, acres, miles, etc.

[illegible] 90.97
[illegible] 12.38
[illegible] 12.10
125.69

16-81083-3 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

SF-Dma-10

MF-104
(April 1951)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.
Approval expires 6-30-51.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MINERAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Operators are to submit monthly reports to the designated field representative of the Defense Minerals Administration. Reports are to be submitted in quadruplicate prior to the 15th day of the month following the reporting period.

Narrative.—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered is to be furnished.

Maps.—Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

Cost Accounts.—All applicable parts of this form are to be filled in. This report will form the basis for payments of the Government's participating share of expenses. Audits of accounts will be made from time to time.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

J. A. Madison
(Scheelite Extension Mine)
(Name of Company)

By J. A. Madison
(Signature of Authorized Official)

November 7, 1951
(Date)

Owner
(Title)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

NOV 16 1951

16—64068-1

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation percent: 75%

Distribution of Expenditures for Month of October, 1951

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals to Date
Wages and salaries	468.80	1844.30	2313.10			
Equipment purchase [1] *		893.98	891.23			
Equipment rental [2]	166.00	348.50	514.50			
Buildings Rehabilitation	72.16	291.69	363.85			
Explosives	—	79.30	79.30			
Repair parts	3.36	119.67	123.03			
Other supplies	25.92	832.17	858.09			
Power	16.45	56.54	72.99			
Transportation	77.68	127.07	204.75			
Withholding taxes **	11.26	—	11.26			
Compensation insurance	13.07	75.86	88.93			
Other insurance ***	20.27	—	20.27			
Contract work	—	—	—			
Other (specify) Recording Proof of Labor-Office	1.48	23.52	25.00			
Total	878.70	4692.60	5566.30			
Totals previously reported	4692.60	4692.60	4692.60			
Totals to date	5566.30	4692.60	5566.30			

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by PL
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Amount approved for payment $ 655.27
Remarks

655.27 corrected by Talbot's letter of Aug 6, 1952 from San Francisco JL 8/11/52

DEC 3 1951

[1] Enter total purchase price in month purchase is made.
[2] Payments for rental of equipment or prorated depreciation on equipment owned by contractor.

16—64068-1

Roy E. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

* Refund on V belt returned.
** Employer's FICA (Soc. Sec.) 1½% of payroll.
*** Nevada State Employment Security Dept. 2.7% of payroll.

DISTRIBUTION OF WAGES AND SALARIES FOR MONTH OF October, 1951

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation percent: 75%

Type	Number	Man-Shifts	Rate	Total
Miners	2	9	$1.65 per hr	$ 118.80
Muckers				
Trammers				
Timbermen				
Pumpmen				
Hoistmen				
Surface				
Samplers				
Assayers				
Shift bosses				
Mechanics				
Electricians				
Blacksmiths				
Carpenters				
Drill runners				
Drill helpers				
Teamsters				
Manager-Laborer-Shaftman	1	31	350.00 per mo	350.00
Superintendent				
Engineers				
Geologists				
Bookkeeper				
Foreman				
Clerks				
Stenographers				
Other				
Total	3	40		468.80

Note.—Show only that portion of supervisory, office, and engineering salaries that is chargeable to this project.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by Ph
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Remarks

DEC 9 1951

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

16—64068-1

NOV 16 1951

EXPLORATION UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT FOR MONTH OF October, 1951

Name of operator: J.A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation, percent: 75%

Item	Costs					Progress				
	Wages [1] and salaries	Equipment [2]	Supplies	Other [3]	Total	Unit	Units this month	Units previously reported	Units to date	Units authorized by contract
Drifting										
Crosscutting										
Raising										
Shafts	355.90	130.25	123.41	44.60	654.16					
Winzes										
Drill holes										
Overburden										
Rehabilitation and preparation	112.90		72.16		185.06					
Geology and geophysics										
Trenching										
Sampling and assaying										
Roads and trails										
Test pits										
Other (specify) Rental truck for hauling - office		33.00		1.48	34.48					
Totals	468.80	163.25	195.57	46.08	873.70					

[1] Prorate supervisory, engineering, and office salaries in proportion to man-shifts of direct labor.
[2] Prorate charges for equipment that is not exclusively for one type of job (such as compressors) against authorized units of work in each category.
[3] Where entries in the "Other" column (above) are more than 25 percent of the total expenditures, a breakdown of this item should be made.

16—64068-1 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

DEC 3 1951

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by: fh
Entered by:
Approved by: A. C. Johnson
Remarks:

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

C O P Y

NARRATIVE REPORT month of OCTOBER, 1951

Name of Operator	J.A. Madison
Project No. DMA	I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount	$12,000.00
Gov't participation, percent	75%

Advances in Workings

October's forty man-shifts were devoted to the following work:

1. Installed station (bearing) set and one regular set below the station.

2. Ten days spent installing temlock insulating material and otherwise preparing quarters for winter occupation. Own salary prorated to this @ $11.29 per day.

3. Drilling and blasting lower shaft to clear and enlarge. Hoisting muck.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ J. A. Madison
J. A. Madison

JAM:am

SF-Dma-11

MF-104
(April 1951)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.
Approval expires 6-30-51.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

E46

STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MINERAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Operators are to submit monthly reports to the designated field representative of the Defense Minerals Administration. Reports are to be submitted in quadruplicate prior to the 15th day of the month following the reporting period.

Narrative.—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered is to be furnished.

Maps.—Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

Cost Accounts.—All applicable parts of this form are to be filled in. This report will form the basis for payments of the Government's participating share of expenses. Audits of accounts will be made from time to time.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

J. A. Madison
(Scheelite Extension Mine)
(Name of Company)

By J. A. Madison
(Signature of Authorized Official)

October 12, 1951
(Date)

Owner
(Title)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

16—64068-1

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation percent: 75%

Distribution of Expenditures for Month of September, 1951

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals to Date
Wages and salaries	522.80	1321.50	1844.30			
Equipment purchase [1]	239.31	654.67	893.98			
Equipment rental [2]	166.00	182.50	348.50			
Buildings	—	291.69	291.69			
Explosives	66.85	12.45	79.30			
Repair parts	78.67	41.00	119.67			
Other supplies	75.22	756.95	832.17			
Power	19.79	36.75	56.54			
Transportation	55.84	71.23	127.07			
Withholding taxes						
Compensation insurance	19.00	56.86	75.86			
Other insurance						
Contract work						
Other (specify) Office and First Aid Supplies	—	23.52	23.52			
Total	1243.48	3449.12	4692.60			
Totals previously reported	3449.12	3449.12	3449.12			
Totals to date	4692.60	3449.12	4692.60			

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by fh
Entered by
Approved by R. C. Johnson
Amount approved for payment 932.61
Remarks

NOV 2 1951

[1] Enter total purchase price in month purchase is made.
[2] Payments for rental of equipment or prorated depreciation on equipment owned by contractor.

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

16—64068-1

DISTRIBUTION OF WAGES AND SALARIES FOR MONTH OF September, 1951

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation percent: 75%

Type	Number	Man-Shifts	Rate	Total
Miners	1	4 32	$ 1 65 per hr	$ 52.80
Muckers				
Trammers				
Timbermen	1	10 00	1 50 per hr	120.00
Pumpmen				
Hoistmen				
Surface				
Samplers				
Assayers				
Shift bosses				
Mechanics				
Electricians				
Blacksmiths				
Carpenters				
Drill runners				
Drill helpers				
Teamsters				
Manager - Laborer - Shaftman	1	30	350 00 per mo	350.00
Superintendent				
Engineers				
Geologists				
Bookkeeper				
Foreman				
Clerks				
Stenographers				
Other				
Total	3	44		522.80

NOTE.—Show only that portion of supervisory, office, and engineering salaries that is chargeable to this project.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by Ph
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Remarks

NOV 2 1951

Lloyd L. [illegible]
Authorized Certifying Officer

16—64068-1

EXPLORATION UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT FOR MONTH OF September, 1951

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation, percent: 75%

Item	Costs					Progress				
	Wages [1] and salaries	Equipment [2]	Supplies	Other [3]	Total	Unit	Units this month	Units previously reported	Units to date	Units authorized by contract
Drifting										
Crosscutting										
Raising										
Shafts	522.80	372.31	296.37	19.00	1210.48					
Winzes										
Drill holes										
Overburden										
Rehabilitation and preparation										
Geology and geophysics										
Trenching										
Sampling and assaying										
Roads and trails										
Test pits										
Other (specify) Rental Truck for Hauling		33.00			33.00					
Totals	522.80	405.31	296.37	19.00	1243.48					

[1] Prorate supervisory, engineering, and office salaries in proportion to man-shifts of direct labor.
[2] Prorate charges for equipment that is not exclusively for one type of job (such as compressors) against authorized units of work in each category.
[3] Where entries in the "Other" column (above) are more than 25 percent of the total expenditures, a breakdown of this item should be made.

16—64068-1 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by: fh
Entered by:
Approved by: A. C. Johnson
Remarks:

NOV 2 1951

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

SF-Dma-14

MF-10
(April 1951)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.
Approval expires 6-30-51.

9/20/51

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

E 46

STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MINERAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Operators are to submit monthly reports to the designated field representative of the Defense Minerals Administration. Reports are to be submitted in quadruplicate prior to the 15th day of the month following the reporting period.

Narrative.—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered is to be furnished.

Maps.—Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

Cost Accounts.—All applicable parts of this form are to be filled in. This report will form the basis for payments of the Government's participating share of expenses. Audits of accounts will be made from time to time.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

J. A. Madison
(Name of Company)

By J. A. Madison
(Signature of Authorized Official)

September 12, 1951
(Date)

Owner
(Title)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

16—64068-1

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation percent: 75%

Distribution of Expenditures for Month of August 1951

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals to Date
Wages and salaries	968.00	353.50	1,321.50			
Equipment purchase [1]	* 354.67	300.00	654.67			
Equipment rental [2]	166.00	16.50	182.50			
Buildings	10.54	281.15	291.69			
Explosives	12.45		12.45			
Repair parts	41.00		41.00			
Other supplies	748.95	8.00	756.95			
Power	36.75		36.75			
Transportation	57.95	13.28	71.23			
Withholding taxes						
Compensation insurance	56.86		56.86			
Other insurance						
Contract work						
Other (specify) Office First Aid	23.52		23.52			
Total	2,476.69	972.43	3,449.12			
Totals previously reported	972.43	972.43	972.43			
Totals to date	3,449.12	972.43	3,449.12			

*Includes Blower, Engine, mine car, air and water pipe.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by fk
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Amount approved for payment $1857.52
Remarks

SEP 20 1951

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

[1] Enter total purchase price in month purchase is made.
[2] Payments for rental of equipment or prorated depreciation on equipment owned by contractor.

16—64068-1

NARRATIVE REPORT month of AUGUST 1951

Name of Operator J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount $12,000.00
Govt. Participation, percent 75%

Advances in Workings

The expected advancement of work on this project has not been achieved this month, due to my inability to hire experienced shaft men.

With the help of one miner, (who left August 24th to go into his own business), and one timber framer hired August 23rd, the following work has been accomplished:

1. Shaft collar area cleared and leveled.
2. Tripod erected.
3. Hoist moved and reset.
4. Shaft collared.
5. Stope secured with stulls, blocking, and fill.
6. Secured ground weakened by lesser pulling pillar.
7. Shaft enlarged and re-timbered to fifty foot level.
8. Sets (and lagging) framed ahead.

This first fifty feet of shaft has entailed more work than any other comparable section is likely to. It was sunk as a prospect shaft, with a windlass. Averaged 3' x 4', (or less), and was out of line. This was enlarged to accomodate the 5' x 7' (outside) sets. The remainder of the exsisting shaft will require but little plugging before installing sets.

Blower, Engine, mine car, air and water pipe has been purchased. The ventiliating pipe is ordered.

The Mineral County Commissioners have advised me they will construct a new access road to the Claim.

Difficulties

Securing competent labor as referred to above. I do expect, however, to get the shaft men I need.

The compressor with which I started this work began to pump gases. I had no alternative but to replace it, as I can take no chance on injuring men. I now have installed a 125 cubic foot, two stage, Ingersoll Rand Compressor, which is not only safe but will expedite the work.

Respectfully submitted

J. A. Madison

J. A. Madison am

JAM/am

DISTRIBUTION OF WAGES AND SALARIES FOR MONTH OF August 1951

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation percent: 75%

Type	Number	Man-Shifts	Rate	Total
		180 hrs.		
Miners	1	21	$ 1.65 hr.	$ * 297.00
Muckers				
Trammers				
Timbermen	1	8	1.50 hr	96.00
Pumpmen				
Hoistmen				
Surface				
Samplers				
Assayers				
Shift bosses				
Mechanics				
Electricians				
Blacksmiths				
Carpenters				
Drill runners				
Drill helpers				
Teamsters				
Manager Miner - Owner	1	31	$350. month	350.00
Superintendent				
Engineers				
Geologists				
Bookkeeper	1	31	$225. month	225.00
Foreman				
Clerks				
Stenographers				
Other				
Total	4	91		968.00

Note.—Show only that portion of supervisory, office, and engineering salaries that is chargeable to this project.

*Includes 3 overtime shifts.

SEP 20 1951

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by [illegible]
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Remarks

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

16—64068-1

EXPLORATION UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT FOR MONTH OF August 1951

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation, percent: 75%

Item	Costs					Progress				
	Wages [1] and salaries	Equipment [2]	Supplies	Other [3]	Total	Unit	Units this month	Units previously reported	Units to date	Units authorized by contract
Drifting										
Crosscutting										
Raising		20.00			20.00					
Shafts	968.00	467.67	897.10	56.86	2,389.63					
Winzes										
Drill holes										
Overburden										
Rehabilitation and preparation				10.54	10.54					
Geology and geophysics										
Trenching										
Sampling and assaying										
Roads and trails										
Test pits										
Other (specify) Hauling, Office, and First Aid		33.00		23.52	56.52					
Totals	968.00	520.67	897.10	90.92	2,476.69					

[1] Prorate supervisory, engineering, and office salaries in proportion to man-shifts of direct labor.
[2] Prorate charges for equipment that is not exclusively for one type of job (such as compressors) against authorized units of work in each category.
[3] Where entries in the "Other" column (above) are more than 25 percent of the total expenditures, a breakdown of this item should be made.

16—64068-1 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

SEP 20 1951

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by: PK
Entered by:
Approved by: A. C. Johnson
Remarks:

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

MF 104
(April 1951)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.
Approval expires 6-30-51.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION

STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MINERAL EXPLORATION PROGRAM
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

Operators are to submit monthly reports to the designated field representative of the Defense Minerals Administration. Reports are to be submitted in quadruplicate prior to the 15th day of the month following the reporting period.

Narrative.—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered is to be furnished.

Maps.—Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

Cost Accounts.—All applicable parts of this form are to be filled in. This report will form the basis for payments of the Government's participating share of expenses. Audits of accounts will be made from time to time.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

(Name of Company)

By J. A. Madison
(Signature of Authorized Official)

August 10, 1951
(Date)

Owner
(Title)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

16—64068-1

MONTHLY SUMMARY COST STATEMENT

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: 1-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation percent: 75%

Distribution of Expenditures for Month of July, 1951

Item	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals to Date	For Government Use Only: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals to Date
Wages and salaries	353.50	—	353.50	✓		
Equipment purchase[1] Gas Refr. generator	300.00	—	300.00	✓		
Equipment rental[2] 1/2 Mo. Truck	16.50	—	16.50	✓		
Buildings Rehabilitating	281.15	—	281.15	✓		
Explosives						
Repair parts						
Other supplies	8.00	—	8.00	✓		
Power						
Transportation	13.28	—	13.28	✓		
Withholding taxes						
Compensation insurance *						
Other insurance						
Contract work						
Other (specify)						
Total	972.43	—	972.43	✓		
Totals previously reported	—	—	—			
Totals to date	972.43	—	972.43			

* 7-20-51 mailed $100 to Nev.Ind.Comm.--check not cleared yet, nor rate manual received. I will submit this cost in report for August.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by fh
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Amount approved for payment $729.32
Remarks

AUG 23 1951

[1] Enter total purchase price in month purchase is made.
[2] Payments for rental of equipment or prorated depreciation on equipment owned by contractor.

16—64068-1

Lloyd L. Marvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

DISTRIBUTION OF WAGES AND SALARIES FOR MONTH OF July, 1951

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: 1-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation percent: 75%

Type	Number	Man-Shifts	Rate	Total
Miners	1	5	$1.65	$ 66.00
Muckers				
Trammers				
Timbermen				
Pumpmen				
Hoistmen				
Surface				
Samplers				
Assayers				
Shift bosses				
Mechanics				
Electricians				
Blacksmiths				
Carpenters				
Drill runners				
Drill helpers				
Teamsters				
Manager - Miner - owner	1	16 days	350.00 per mo	175.00
Superintendent				
Engineers				
Geologists				
Bookkeeper - cook - utility	1	16 days	225.00 per mo.	112.50
Foreman				
Clerks				
Stenographers				
Other				
Total	3	37		353.50

Note.—Show only that portion of supervisory, office, and engineering salaries that is chargeable to this project.

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by FR
Entered by
Approved by A. C. Johnson
Remarks

AUG 23 1951

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

16—64068-1

EXPLORATION UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT FOR MONTH OF July, 1951

Name of operator: J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA: I-DMA-E46
Total contract amount: $12,000.00
Government participation, percent: 75%

Item	Costs					Progress				
	Wages [1] and salaries	Equipment [2]	Supplies	Other [3]	Total	Unit	Units this month	Units previously reported	Units to date	Units authorized by contract
Drifting										
Crosscutting										
Raising										
Shafts	24.14	266.68	8.00		298.82					
Winzes										
Drill holes										
Overburden										
Rehabilitation and preparation	227.17	33.32	281.15		541.64					
Geology and geophysics										
Trenching										
Sampling and assaying										
Roads and trails	48.28				48.28					
Test pits										
Other (specify) Buying-hauling	53.91			29.78	83.69					
Totals	353.50	300.00	289.15	29.78	972.43					

[1] Prorate supervisory, engineering, and office salaries in proportion to man-shifts of direct labor.
[2] Prorate charges for equipment that is not exclusively for one type of job (such as compressors) against authorized units of work in each category.
[3] Where entries in the "Other" column (above) are more than 25 percent of the total expenditures, a breakdown of this item should be made.

16—64068-1 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

AUG 23 1951

FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY

Checked by: PN
Entered by:
Approved by: A. C. Johnson
Remarks:

Lloyd L. Norvell
Authorized Certifying Officer

COPY

NARRATIVE REPORT month of July, 1951

Name of operator J. A. Madison
Project No. DMA 1-DMA-E46
Total contract amount $12,000.00
Govt. participation, percent 75%

Advances in Workings

Between the time I applied for a DMA loan and July 15, 1951, I continued work on the tunnel (surface exploration) refered to in item 2(c) and 7(a) MF-100 of my application.

The face is now 73 feet from the point where cut was started. In the course of doing this work I mined approximately 65 tons of ore that will average 1% WO_3 or better. Also, possibly 40 tons of lower grade ore.

This work appears to be on the comb of an ore body occurring on the N.E. contact of the limestone inclusion. Prior work was done on the S.W. contact.

On July 16, 1951, I signed Exploration Project Contract 1-DMA-E46 and started the work stipulated in Exhibit A thereof. Buildings repaired and operational July 28th. Additional work needed on these, I propose to complete evenings and Sundays.

Road repair accomplished July 29th and 30th. July 31st started clearing shaft collar area to begin work on shaft.

Minor Difficulties Causing Delay and Change in Work Plan

1. Rain of nearly cloudburst proportions entailed unexpected road work. I have approached Mineral County Commissioners for a new road with better grade.

2. Shaft timber ordered for July 27th delivery did not arrive until August 3rd.

3. Due to the nature of the terrain, I was obliged to pile the ore, refered to above, near and around the shaft collar. On July 6th I shipped a metallurgical sample and sent the required affadavit to the U. S. Vanadium Co., Bishop, Calif., with a view to disposing of this ore. On August 4th they advised me that it would be about two weeks more before they could determine the amenability of this ore to their process. My inability to ship this ore as yet, has involved 3 man days clearing the shaft collar area. Also, I propose to put up a temporary tripod (which I own) for use during retimbering work. By the time I begin sinking the projected extension of the shaft, I expect to have shipped this ore, and will at that time erect the head frame.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ J. A. Madison

400

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

Rutledge
Martin
Chandler

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

AUG 1 4 1953

Mr. Robert J. Rysh, Secretary
Corwin and Rysh Mining Company
Scheelite Extension Mine
Rawhide via Fallon, Nevada

Re: Docket No. DMA 1288X - Tungsten
Rysh and Corwin, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. Idm-E46

Dear Mr. Rysh:

This is with reference to the subject exploration project contract between J. A. Madison, Rysh and Corwin (assignees), and the Government.

The final audit of the contract expenditures and the final report of the Field Team have been received and accepted by this Administration. Inasmuch as the Operator's final report would add little to the data available to the Government, it is being waived. The full amount of $12,[illegible] approved under the contract was expended, 75 percent of which, or $9,000.00, represented the Government's share. There were no unused supplies or material to be disposed of for the joint account of the Operator and the Government. Equipment in which the Government had an equity has been disposed of for the joint account of the Operator and the Government. The exploration work was completed in a satisfactory manner.

Because the results of the exploration were unfavorable, it is not our intention to make a certification of discovery or development which would create a lien against the subject property. We are, therefore, closing our books and records with respect to this project. Your attention is called, however, to Article 12 of the Contract, which requires the Operator to "keep and preserve said records and accounts for at least 3 years after the completion of the project or the termination of this contract."

Your interest in the Exploration Program of the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration and your willingness to participate therein are appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf (for)

Administrator

cc: DOCKET
FIELD TEAM, REG. III
ADM. READ. FILE
CODE 400
CODE 800
MARY MILLER, USGS
R. W. GEEHAN, USBM

FARutledge/ls
8/13/53

Yes Audited

No Certified

W[redacted]NALYSIS SHEET - DMEA PROJECT[redacted]

Analysis as of 12/8/1954

Incl. Voucher for

Yes Actual Cost

Agreed Unit Costs

Name Rish and Corwin. (From Scheelite Extension)

Docket No. DMA-1288X

State Nevada

Commodity Tungsten

Idm-E No. 46

OPERATION	AUTHORIZED Units	AUTHORIZED Cost	COMPLETED Units	COMPLETED Cost	NET COST
Drifting & Crosscutting					
Raising					
Shafts	100'	8,500.00	118'	7,762.81	7,762.81
Winzes					
Drilling (Specify)					
Surface Excavation					
Underground Excavation		616.75		654.16	654.16
Roads and Trails					
Operating Equipment Purchased		400.00		810.23	729.23
Surface Rehabilitation & Repairs		568.00		291.69	291.69
Underground Rehabilitation		1,000.00		2,334.63	2,214.63
New Building, Improvements, etc.		600.00		363.85	363.85
Other (Specify)		315.25		204.25	204.25
Totals		12,000.00		12,440.62	12,320.62

less over contract

320.62

12,000.00

Prepared by TLC

71626

400

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

Rutledge
Martin
Chandler

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

AUG 1 4 1953

Mr. Robert J. Rysh, Secretary
Corwin and Rysh Mining Company
Scheelite Extension Mine
Rawhide via Fallon, Nevada

Re: Docket No. DMA 1288X - Tungsten
Rysh and Corwin, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. Idm-E46

Dear Mr. Rysh:

This is with reference to the subject exploration project contract between J. A. Madison, Rysh and Corwin (assignees), and the Government.

The final audit of the contract expenditures and the final report of the Field Team have been received and accepted by this Administration. Inasmuch as the Operator's final report would add little to the data available to the Government, it is being waived. The full amount of $12[illegible] approved under the contract was expended, 75 percent of which, or $9,000.00, represented the Government's share. There were no unused supplies or material to be disposed of for the joint account of the Operator and the Government. Equipment in which the Government had an equity has been disposed of for the joint account of the Operator and the Government. The exploration work was completed in a satisfactory manner.

Because the results of the exploration were unfavorable, it is not our intention to make a certification of discovery or development which would create a lien against the subject property. We are, therefore, closing our books and records with respect to this project. Your attention is called, however, to Article 12 of the Contract, which requires the Operator to "keep and preserve said records and accounts for at least 3 years after the completion of the project or the termination of this contract."

Your interest in the Exploration Program of the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration and your willingness to participate therein are appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf (for)

Administrator

cc: DOCKET
FIELD TEAM, REG. III
ADM. READ. FILE
CODE 400
CODE 800
MARY MILLER, USGS
R. W. GEEHAN, USBM

FARutledge/ls
8/13/53

Yes Audited

NO Certified

W[redacted]NALYSIS SHEET - DMEA PROJECT[redacted]

Analysis as of 12/8/1954

Incl. Voucher for

Yes Actual Cost

Agreed Unit Costs

Name Rish and Corwin. (From Scheelite Extension)

Docket No. DMA-1288X

State Nevada

Commodity Tungsten

Idm-E No. 46

OPERATION	AUTHORIZED Units	AUTHORIZED Cost	COMPLETED Units	COMPLETED Cost	NET COST
Drifting & Crosscutting					
Raising					
Shafts	100'	8,500.00	118'	7,762.81	7,762.81
Winzes					
Drilling (Specify)					
Surface Excavation					
Underground Excavation		616.75		654.16	654.16
Roads and Trails					
Operating Equipment Purchased		400.00		810.23	729.23
Surface Rehabilitation & Repairs		568.00		291.69	291.69
Underground Rehabilitation		1,000.00		2,314.63	2,314.63
New Building, Improvements, etc.		600.00		363.85	363.85
Other (Specify)		315.25		204.25	204.25
Totals		12,000.00		12,320.62	12,320.62

less over contract

- 320.62

12,000.00

Prepared by TLC

71626

FILE COPY
SURNAME: 800

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Docket
Chambers

SEP 3 1953

Mr. H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Re: Rysh & Corwin
DMA-1288, Ida-146

Dear Mr. Miller:

Reference is made to your letter of August 25, 1953, requesting information as to the proper procedure for making a "refund" of $60.75 to the referenced Operator.

The Report of Review covering this project states that the total accepted cost is $12,000.00 and that 75 percent, or $9,000.00 is considered valid and proper for payment to the Operator in accordance with the terms of the contract. To date the Operator has been paid a net amount of $11,939.25 and is therefore due a balance of $60.75, which amount coincides with the amount received by the Government from the salvage of project property. The additional amount due, however, cannot be considered a refund of these salvage proceeds.

Payment should be effected in the normal manner through use of the Voucher and Schedule of Payment, Form L-1017 Rev., with reference thereon to your voucher of June 13, 1952, covering the final MF-104 submitted by the Operator. Attached to this final MF-104, in accordance with DMEA Circular 19, there should be a copy of the Report of Review. The Report of Review and the final MF-104 are sufficient documentation for support of this supplemental payment.

Incidentally, the reconciliation of our royalty repayment records with the Bureau of Mines central accounts indicates that the $60.75 received from the sale of the project property has been recorded erroneously on your records as a royalty receipt rather than a refund (credit disbursement). It is requested that proper correction be made in your accounts.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) J. L. Chambers

Jay L. Chambers, Chief
Contract Administration
and Audit Division

EDTalbert/wb
September 3, 1953

Copy to: Docket
Admr. Reading File
Mr. Bailey
Mr. Mayor
Mr. Chambers

R.C.G.
9-3-53

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

AUG 31 1953

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

August 25, 1953

Mr. Jay L. Chambers, Chief
Contract Administration and
Audit Division
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration
Washington 25, D. C.

Re: Docket DMEA-1288 (Tungsten)
Rysh & Corwin, Assignees
Schleelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract Idm-E46

Dear Mr. Chambers:

Prior to the final report of review, dated July 27, 1953, by Mr. Talbert on the above contract, Mr. Robert J. Rysh mailed to this office a check in the amount of $60.75, covering the Government's right, title and interest in the salvage proceeds on property acquired under Exploration Project Contract Idm-E46. This check was deposited in the accounts of A. H. Hoiland, Regional Disbursing Officer, to appropriation 14x4401 and Schedule of Collection SF-DMEA-3, July 24, 1953.

mDL

The report of review states that costs in excess of the contract were $320.62. Due to this excess paid by the operators, Mr. Talbert advised us that refund should be made of the $60.75 paid by them for the salvage proceeds on the property.

Will you please advise us as to the proper procedure in making this refund. Should the refund be made by this office or by the Washington office? If we are to make the refund what supporting documents are necessary?

Sincerely yours,

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Copy to: Mr. Mavor

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JUL 27 1953

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

To: Messrs. Robert J. Rysh and S. Corwin
Corwin & Rysh Mining Company
Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada

Re: Docket DMA-1288X (Tungsten)
Rysh & Corwin, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract Idm-E46

The United States of America, acting through the Department of the Interior, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, for a consideration of $60.75 hereby sells, assigns, transfers and sets over to you all of its right, title and interest in all property acquired under Exploration Project Contract Idm-E46, Docket DMA-1288X, dated June 30, 1951.

Dated July 20, 1953

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

By H. C. Miller
H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Copy to: Operating Committee, DMEA, Washington (3)
Finance Officer, Region III
A. C. Johnson, Reno
E. H. Bailey, USGS
George Mavor

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JUL 20 1953

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

July 15, 1953

Mr. Robert J. Rysh, Secretary
Corwin & Rysh Mining Company
Scheelite Extension Mine
Rawhide via
Fallon, Nevada

Re: Docket DMEA-1288 (Tungsten)
Rysh and Corwin, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract Idm-E46

Dear Mr. Rysh:

Mr. A. C. Johnson, Chief, Mining Division, Region III, Bureau of Mines, Reno, Nevada, has sent me the bid dated June 24, 1953, which you received from Sally Koski of $72.00 for the Servel Gas Refrigerator you purchased under the above cited contract.

Mr. Johnson also sent me your letter dated June 25, 1953, in which you stated that you would like to acquire the refrigerator and will pay $81.00 for it.

We accept your offer. Kindly send us a check made out to the Treasurer of the United States for 75 percent of $81.00, or $60.75. Upon receipt of the check, we will send you a statement transferring the Government's interest in the refrigerator to you.

Sincerely yours,

H. C. MILLER

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Copy to: DMEA, Washington, D.C.
E. H. Bailey, USGS
A. C. Johnson, Reno

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

April 30, 1953

AIR MAIL

Memorandum

To: George C. Selfridge, Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA, Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMEA-1288 (Tungsten)
Rysh and Corwin, Assignees, Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada, Contract Idm-E46

In reply to your letter of April 16, 1953, there are attached two copies of a memorandum to me from A. C. Johnson, dated April 29, giving the requested information.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Attachment

Copy to: E. H. Bailey, USGS (with copy of memo)

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
MAY 4 1953

April 29, 1953

Memorandum

To: H. C. Miller, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

From: Chief, Mining Division, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1288X - Tungsten
Rysh and Corwin, Assignees, Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada, Contract Idm-E46

Replying to your memorandum of April 24 on the subject docket:

The production record of the Scheelite Extension mine since its acquisition by Rysh and Corwin during the latter part of 1951 is as follows:

Tons	Shipped to:	Gross Mill Returns	Return/ton
173	Sodaville Mill, Mina, Nev.	$ 7,613.04	$44.00
316	Nevada Scheelite, Rawhide, Nev.	10,782.00	34.12
206	" " " "	4,406.40	21.39
695		$22,801.44	$32.81

Ore has varied in grade from 0.7 to 2.0 percent WO_3 per ton.

Mining and haulage costs have not been deducted from the gross returns.

Exploration is being continued by the owners. A diamond drill and accessory equipment has been purchased and is in operation on the 42-foot level. One miner has been hired and production is continuing from the 175-foot level stopes.

Due to the delay in decision on the request for a subsequent DMEA exploration loan, Rysh and Corwin are no longer interested in obtaining this loan and have requested withdrawal of their application. They believe that company exploration already completed has given them adequate knowledge of the scheelite occurrences in their mine.

Bids will be asked by Rysh and Corwin on the Servel refrigerator purchased under contract Idm-E46, so that a final financial settlement with the Government can soon be made.

A. C. Johnson

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR 400
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

AIR MAIL

Rutledge
Martin
Selfridge
Fitzhugh

Mr. H. C. Miller, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

APR 1 6 1953

Re: Docket No. DMA 1288X - Tungsten
Rysh and Corwin, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. Idm-E-46

Dear Mr. Miller:

4/16/53

Your letter of December 19, 1952, on the subject contract, stated that two shipments of ore were made by Corwin and Rysh. One shipment was made to the Sodaville mill near Mina, Nevada, and the other shipment was made to the Nevada Scheelite mill.

It will be appreciated if you will furnish us with the results of these shipments, and will inform us whether Corwin and Rysh are still operating and with what success.

Sincerely yours,

George C. Selfridge (JHB)
Chairman, Operating Committee

APPROVED:

Jo E. Hedges (JHB)
Member, Bureau of Mines

A. R. Kinsch, Jr. (JHB)
Member, Geological Survey

FARutledge:foc
4-15-53

Copy to: Docket
Field Team, Region 3
Code 400
Adm. Reading File
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
R. W. Geehan, USBM

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Administrator, DMEA

DATE: March 16, 1953

FROM : Iron and Ferro-Alloys Division, DMEA

SUBJECT: Sustaining denial of November 13, 1952, for added exploration assistance in the amount of $15,183.00
Docket No. DMA 1288X - Tungsten
Corwin and Rysh, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. Idm-E46

The previous denial by this Division of the referenced application for additional funds under the subject contract is hereby upheld for the following reasons:

1. The examining field team admits there is only a small chance of finding a significant amount of ore.

2. There is no new target given for the additional exploration. Because only shaft sinking was set up under the contract, the Field Team felt that some exploration should be done from the bottom of the shaft. However, the results of the work to date do not indicate that further participation by the Government is warranted.

W S Martin
W. S. Martin, Acting Chief
Iron and Ferro-Alloys Division

Docket

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
MAR 9 1953

1012 Flood Bldg.
870 Market St.
San Francisco 2, Calif.

March 3, 1953

Memorandum

To: George C. Selfridge, Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA, Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1288X (Tungsten), Corwin and Rysh, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine, Mineral County, Nevada
Contract Idm-E46

Reference is made to your letter dated February 6, requesting us to check on the disposal of a Servel refrigerator having a salvage value of about $250.00, which was purchased under the subject contract.

Under date of February 26, Mr. A. C. Johnson, of our Reno Office, writes:

> "The matter of the Servel refrigerator has not been overlooked but has been held in abeyance pending decision on application for a further loan on this project."

Nothing was done toward disposing of the refrigerator, as we have been waiting for word from you as to whether the Operators' request for a supplemental loan for further exploration by drifting and diamond drilling was to be granted.

The Washington Office has the Operators' request for additional funds, and by letter from you, dated July 18, 1952, requested us to send in a geologic map showing the relationship of the geology and mineralization of the shaft to that of other workings. To prepare the map we requested Paul Bateman, of the Survey, who was in the area at the time, to examine the property with our mining engineer and prepare the requested map.

By letter dated September 25 from Ernest Wm. Ellis, we were notified that no reply had been received to the July 18 letter and that it would be appreciated if we would supply the information requested so that the Washington office might approve or disapprove the granting of additional funds for further exploration.

Copy retained 400

By memorandum dated October 21, 1952, copies of a final report by George H. Holmes, Bureau of Mines, and Paul E. Bateman, Geological Survey, were sent to you with recommendations that the drifting and crosscutting on the 175-foot level should be approved. The report contained the requested geologic map. In that memorandum we asked the Washington Office to prepare the necessary amendment or new contract, if the request for additional funds was approved.

By letter dated November 20, Frank E. Johnson requested additional information, which was furnished by memorandum dated December 19, 1952.

By letter dated January 7, 1953, Frank E. Johnson requested a vertical projection of the mine workings, and two copies of a projection were sent to Washington with my memorandum dated January 30.

The above course of events is recited to show that because we were waiting for word from the Washington Office as to whether or not the Operators' request for supplemental funds was to be approved, nothing was done toward disposing of the refrigerator.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team
Region III

Copy to: E. H. Bailey, USGS
A. C. Johnson, Reno

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

Chapman
Martin
Selfridge

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

FEB 6 1953

BW.

Mr. H. C. Miller, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Re: Docket No. DMA 1268-X - Tungsten
Corwin and Rysh, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. Ida-E-46

Dear Mr. Miller:

In reviewing our files on the above noted project, we note the item of equipment has not been closed.

According to the Final Report of October 14, 1952, it is noted that there is a Servel refrigerator which should have a salvage value of about $250.00. Will you please check on this matter and have it closed out so that we may close our files on the operation.

Sincerely yours,

George C. Selfridge (GHB)

Chairman, Operating Committee

S.H. 2-6-53

Approved:

J. H. Hedges (GHB)

Member, Bureau of Mines

A. R. Kinkel, Jr. (GHB)

Member, Geological Survey

cc: Docket
Field Team, Region 3
Code 400
Admn. Read. File
R. W. Geehan, USBM
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
Operating Committee

TIChapman/hhb
2/5/53

1288

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
FEB 3 1953

January 30, 1953

WSM

Memorandum

To: George C. Selfridge, Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA, Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMEA-1288X (Tungsten) Corwin and Rysh, Assignees, Scheelite Extension Mine, Mineral County, Nevada, Contract Idm-E46

Reference is made to the letter, dated January 7, from Mr. Frank E. Johnson requesting vertical projections of the Scheelite Extension Mine workings which inadvertently were not sent by us with Mr. Ricker's memorandum dated December 19, 1952.

The requested projections have now been received from the Geological Survey and two copies are attached herewith. Attached also are two copies of the memorandum, dated January 26, from Mr. Henry W. Jones, Mining Engineer, Bureau of Mines, to Mr. A. C. Johnson, giving some additional information on the Scheelite Extension Mine.

Mr. A. C. Johnson, in commenting on Mr. Frank Johnson's letter, wrote that the Mining Division did not believe that sufficient exploration work had been done by completing the shaft to the 200-foot level, and that he was of the opinion that a slight amount of drifting and crosscutting was warranted.

A copy of the requested schedule from the Nevada Tungsten Corporation also is attached. As Mr. Jones' has stated in his memorandum, the Nevada Scheelite Incorporated are not accepting custom ores. However, they are taking ore from Corwin and Rysh on the

basis of $30.00 per contained unit of WO_3 in the ore.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Attachments

Copy to: E. H. Bailey, USGS

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

Idm E46
DMEA 1288
(TUNGSTEN)



VERTICAL PROJECTION OF THE WORKINGS

SCHEELITE EXTENSION MINE

20 0 20 40 FEET

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Martin
Selfridge
Attendorf

Mr. H. C. Miller, Executive Officer,
DMEA Field Team, Region III
1021 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

JAN 7 1953

Re: Docket No. DMEA 1288K, Tungsten
Corwin and Rysh, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. Idm-146

Dear Mr. Miller:

We have Mr. S. Ricker's letter of December 19, 1952, giving much appreciated information in reply to our recent inquiries concerning the subject project, but the vertical projection of the mine workings, mentioned in paragraph "3" as being attached, was not received here.

Pending receipt of the above projection, we are withholding comment on certain estimates and recommendations in the same letter. We note, however, that since the work of the project was completed, the operators have continued exploration on the 175-foot level for their own account, finding a new ore body about 55 feet northeast of the shaft, and mining an estimated 250 tons of ore estimated to average about 1.5 percent WO_3. From the foregoing, Mr. Ricker concluded that if the work on the 175-foot level had been a DMEA project, the ore shipped therefrom would have been subject to royalty payments.

The cost to DMEA for 55 feet of drift at $37.41 per foot, the Operator's own estimate, would have been 75 percent of $2,057.55 or $1,543.16. On the other hand, 250 tons at 1.5 percent WO_3 equals 375 units at a net concentrator return of $37.00 each, or $13,875.00. Our maximum royalty is 5 percent, and thus the amount received by DMEA to offset the above cost of $1,543.16 would have been $693.75. Consequently discovery of the above ore body, unless it contains some further tonnage of shipping grade ore, can hardly be cited to justify additional exploration aid.

T.C. 1-7-53

Incidentally, in order that we may estimate royalties more closely, we would appreciate having you send us copies of the purchase schedules of the Sodaville mill, Mina, Nevada, and of the Nevada Scheelite mill, if such schedules can conveniently be obtained.

Sincerely yours,

Frank E. Johnson (WB)

Chairman, Operating Committee

Approved:

J. H. Hedges (WB)

Member, Bureau of Mines

A. R. Kinkel, Jr. (WB)

Member, Geological Survey

CC: Docket
Admn. Read. File
FT, Region 3
Operating Comm.
Code 400
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
R. W. Geehan, USBM

WSMartin/ls
~~xxxxxx~~ 1/2/53

Docket

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 23 1952

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

December 19, 1952

Memorandum

To: Frank E. Johnson, Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA, Interior Building, Washington, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMEA-1288X (Tungsten), Corwin and Rysh, Assignees, Scheelite Extension Mine, Mineral County, Nevada, Contract No. Idm-E46

Reference is made to your letter of November 20, requesting information to supplement the final report on the subject project by G. H. Holmes, Jr. and P. C. Bateman.

The requested information follows:

1. The difference in the comparative costs of hoisting from the 175-foot level and from the 200-foot level is small. Broken rock from the 175-foot level is dumped directly from mine car to bucket, whereas on the 200-foot level it is dumped from mine car to skip pocket, and then loaded into the bucket. The bulkhead at the 175-foot level can be removed and a small amount of muck cleaned out in a few hours. No rehabilitation of the shaft is necessary. Work cannot be continued to the west as the Nevada Scheelite claim line lies 10 feet west of the shaft.

2. Mr. Holmes examined the shaft below the 175-foot level, as described in his inspection report of May 15, 1952, but did no geologic mapping. Bateman and Peck mapped the geology August 25, 1952, and at that time the shaft was bulkheaded at the 175-foot level. Mr. Holmes was not able to be present when the geology was mapped; consequently the geologists obtained information from the operator.

3. A vertical projection of the workings and of the ore is attached. The data available is not sufficient to draw any conclusions as to rake of the ore; the only observation that can be made is that the ore is less extensive on the 42-foot level than on the adit level.

Copy retained 400

4. The operators state that a drift does exist on the 65-foot level but that it is inaccessible as it is mostly filled with waste rock from workings on the 42-foot level. This 65-foot level was cut into the footwall of the shaft and a drift of unknown length driven to the northeast. This level was not seen by either the DMEA examining team or by Corwin and Rysh. The fact of its existence is based on statement by J. A. Madison, a former owner of the property. The present owners have tentative plans to reopen this level. The 78-foot level is as shown on the U.S.G.S. map.

5. The shearing in the upper part of the mine is post-mineral in age, and probably of no great significance. The rocks on the 42-foot level and in the adit level are decomposed by weathering. In such rock, minor penetrative movements can appear impressive.

Only the crudest kind of estimate of the possible tonnage can be made. Assuming an essentially continuous ore shoot between the 42-foot level and the 200-foot level, the maximum dimensions that seem possible are 160 feet (the distance down the dip of the vein between the levels) by 65 feet (the strike length of the ore on the 42-foot level) by 5 feet (the width - a highly speculative figure). Such a block would contain 52,000 cubic feet or about 5,000 tons.

It might be of some aid to summarize the reasons of the examining team for proposing additional exploration. They think that although the chance of finding any significant ore is small, the original purpose of the exploration has not been accomplished. It does not make sense to sink a shaft 200 feet without some sort of exploration from the bottom of the shaft. A new target for exploration is not suggested but only adequate exploration of the original target. In terms of the geology, it is proposed to drift east from the shaft at the 175-foot (or 200-foot) level in the hanging wall of the inclusion to its limits, with several crosscuts to the footwall. It is believed that if this is done, the original objective will have been adequately explored and any doubt allayed as to the value (or lack of value) of the deposit. The suggested 200 feet of drifting and 50 feet of crosscutting represents the maximum footage that may be required. It is assumed the operators would have no wish to explore beyond the limits of the metamorphic inclusion, and the examining engineer should not permit such work.

A recent inspection of the property by H. W. Jones of the Bureau of Mines shows that Corwin and Rysh have continued exploration on the 175-foot level and encountered a new ore body about 55 feet northeast from the shaft. Production has been an estimated 250 tons, of which 150 tons ranging in grade from 0.80 to 2.0 percent WO_3, was

shipped to the Sodaville mill near Mina, Nevada, and 100 tons, estimated to average 1.5 percent WO_3, was shipped to the nearby Nevada Scheelite mill. Had the proposed exploration program on the 175-foot level been approved, work would have been under a DMEA loan, and the ore now being mined , would have been subject to royalty payments.

S. Ricker for
H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Copy to: E. H. Bailey, USGS
A. C. Johnson, Reno, Nevada

400

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

Martin
Selfridge

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

NOV 20 1952

Mr. H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, DMEA Region 3
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Re: Docket No. DMEA 1288X - Tungsten
Corwin and Rysh, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. Idm-246

Dear Mr. Miller:

Reference is made to your letter of October 21, 1952, transmitting final report on the subject project by G. H. Holmes, Jr., and P. C. Bateman.

The above report recommends that assistance be provided to finance driving of 200 feet of drifts and 50 feet of crosscuts on the 175-foot level in order to supply sufficient information to evaluate the deposit. Our review of the docket indicates that before approving further exploration we need additional data which we believe your staff can provide without the necessity of revisiting the property.

It will be appreciated, therefore, if you will supplement the report of Messrs. Holmes and Bateman as follows:

(1) Estimate comparative costs of hoisting from the 175-foot level and from the 200-foot level where a skip pocket has already been installed and charged to the DMEA project. Include cost of removing bulkhead now in shaft at the 175-foot level and rehabilitating, if necessary, the 200-foot level.

(2) Although Mr. Holmes' inspection report of May 16, 1952, describes mineralization in the shaft below the 175-foot level, it appears from the final report that Mr. Rysh's descriptions were relied on for the geology of the shaft walls as well as of the 200-foot level. Please clarify the foregoing.

(3) Prepare a longitudinal section corresponding to the cross section and composite plan already submitted. On the longitudinal section indicate any geological evidence suggesting a possible rake of the orebody to the west.

(4) As we understand the field team's plans and section, the crosscut on the 78-foot level is about 20 feet long and cuts through the hanging wall side of the ore but was not continued through to cut the footwall. According to Mr. J. A. Madison's application, there was a 75-foot drift on the 85-foot level, which must correspond to the present 78-foot level because Madison's application mentions the present 42-foot level as the 50-foot level. Is it possible that there is another 55 feet of drift behind the chute shown in the eastern face on the 78 level?

(5) Estimate the target tonnage. Advise whether it appears that the shearing, shown in the upper levels of the shaft section but absent in depth, acts as a control in ore deposition.

Enclosed for your information are copies of reports dated November 6 and 14, 1952, from Mr. T. P. Thayer, U. S. Geological Survey, and Mr. R. W. Geehan, U. S. Bureau of Mines, respectively. Considering that one recommends conditional denial and one recommends conditional approval, we feel sure that you will not say anything to the applicant except that we cannot properly consider his application for additional funds for further exploration work until more complete information is available.

Sincerely yours,

(signed) FRANK E. JOHNSON
(GHB)
Chairman, Operating Committee

F.H. 11-20-52

Enclosures

WSMartin:ls 11/19/52
Copy to: Docket
Admn. Read. File
Field Team, Reg. 3
Operating Committee
W. S. Martin
G. C. Selfridge
R. W. Geehan, USBM
T. P. Thayer, USGS

Approved:

J. H. Hodges (GHB)
Member, Bureau of Mines

G. R. Kinkel, Jr. (GHB)
Member, Geological Survey

Docket

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

November 14, 1952

Memorandum

To: George C. Selfridge, D.M.E.A.

From: Robert W. Geehan

Subject: Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
(Corwin and Rysh)

DMEA 1288
Tungsten

Proposal: 200 feet of drifting and crosscutting ($7,483)
1,000 feet of diamond drilling, to follow the above ($7,700)

The original proposal calls for drifts and crosscuts on the 118 and 200 levels. The Field Team Report suggests that all work be on the 175 level, but states that the 200 level would be more logical except for a shaft bulkhead that prevented examination of the 200 level.

General: The work done to date indicates that the ore has pinched out between the 42 and 118 foot levels. The Field Team report indicates that this may not actually be the case, as the limited exploration at depth may have cut the favorable zone at locations where the ore had pinched out along the strike rather than along the dip.

Recommendation: The first step calling for 200 feet of drifting and crosscutting should be approved providing all work is on either the 175 or 200 level and that crosscuts are limited to actual exploration. (No crosscutting for drill stations.) The applicant should be informed that the diamond drilling requested as Step 2 will not be approved, but that further exploration can be requested after termination of the drifting and crosscutting. The geological map indicates a possible rake of the ore to the west, yet all work proposed is east of the shaft. Exploration west of the shaft should be included, subject to approval of the DMEA and the applicants.

The fact that ore from this mine can be milled at the nearby Nevada Scheelite mine must be allowed for in considering this application.

Robert W. Geehan

Robert W. Geehan

Conv retained 400

Administrator, DMEA

November 13, 1952

Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division, DMEA

Denial of added exploration assistance in the amount of $15,183.00
Docket No. DMA 1288X - Tungsten
Corwin and Rysh, Assignees
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. Ida-946

The denial of the subject application by this Division is based on the following information:

1. The ore in this area occurs in a tactite along a limestone-marble and granite contact. Indications are that ore of a mineable grade and width pinches out at about the 118-foot level of present workings. The shaft has been sunk to a depth of 218 feet, with 35 feet of drifting on the 200 level and no mineable ore has been found or indicated.

2. Some small tonnage of ore has been mined and shipped from the upper levels of the property and about 1,000 tons of scheelite-bearing rock appears to remain in these workings, about one-half of which is of a mineable grade. It is quite probable that there is a small tonnage of ore remaining between the 42-foot level and the 118-foot level.

3. Under the DMEA project, the shaft was rehabilitated to the 118-foot level and sunk to the 218-foot level at a cost of $8,000.00 to the Government.

4. The field team of Region III recommends the following:

   (a) Additional exploration assistance by the Government.

   (b) Certification of the project as unsuccessful as no significant discovery was made in the above-noted work.

   (c) Production from the new mine workings is economically feasible.

5. The Commodity Division of the U. S. Geological Survey recommends denial unless the field team presents its hopes in more convincing form.

cc: Admn. Reading File
Docket
G. C. Selfridge
T. L. Chapman

G. C. Selfridge, Chief
Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division

TLChapman'lm - 11/13/52

Docket

IN REPLY REFER TO:

[redacted] [redacted]

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

11/12/52

November 6, 1952

Memorandum

To: George C. Selfridge

From: T. P. Thayer

Subject: DMEA-1288, Tungsten - Scheelite Extension Mine, Mineral County, Nevada.

The field team report and maps give a clear picture of the geologic relations, but leave something to be desired in the way of assay data. The returns on ore shipped to the Nevada Scheelite mill indicated good ore (1.46 - 1.75 per cent WO_3) in the adit level and above the 42-foot level. The absence of ore below the 42-foot level is not encouraging for further exploration.

The work done since the project was started totals 140 feet of shaft, 75-80 feet of drifts, about 75 feet of crosscuts, and 100 feet of raises, a total of nearly 400 feet of workings, without finding any minable ore. Although partly done on DMEA funds, the 200 level has not been accessible for examination by the field team. No evidence of an exploration target is presented; the proposal in essence is "more of the same." Furthermore, no information is given on the possible length of the tactite mass, or size of potential ore bodies.

only original + 1 copy

Unless the field team can present its hopes in more convincing form, I would recommend denying the request for additional funds. I do not see how diamond drilling would provide much information.

T. P. Thayer

T. P. Thayer
Geologist

Copy retained 400

Docket

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
OCT 27 1952
11/4/52

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

October 21, 1952.

Memorandum

To: G. C. Selfridge, Acting Chairman, Operating Committee, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, Washington, D.C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMEA 1288X (Tungsten), Rysh & Corwin (Formerly J. A. Madison), Scheelite Extension Mine, Mineral County, Nevada, Contract Idm-E46

Reference is made to your subject letter, dated July 18, requesting us to furnish you with a geologic map of the subject property, showing the relationship of the geology and mineralization of the shaft to that of the other mine workings.

Attached are four copies of a final report on the property by George H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer, U. S. Bureau of Mines; and Paul C. Bateman, Geologist, U. S. Geological Survey, dated October 14, 1952.

The examining engineer and geologist recommend that approval should be granted for additional exploration, financed with Government assistance. I note, however, that they make no recommendation for carrying out underground diamond drilling, so I assume that their only recommendation is to do about 150 feet of drifting and crosscutting on the 175-foot level, which should reduce the total cost by something like 50 percent.

If your office concurs with the recommendations of the engineer and geologist, the necessary amendment or new contract should be prepared by the Washington Office.

S. Ricker for H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Attachment

Copy to E. H. Bailey, USGS

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
10-31-52
(date)

FINAL
F.T. RPT.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
OSCAR L. CHAPMAN, SECRETARY

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
OCT 27 1952

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

REPORT OF EXAMINATION BY FIELD TEAM
REGION III

FINAL REPORT

DMEA-1288X (Tungsten), Rysh & Corwin (Formerly J. A. Madison), Scheelite Extension Mine, Mineral County, Nevada, Contract Idm-E46.

George H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer
U. S. Bureau of Mines

Paul C. Bateman, Geologist
U. S. Geological Survey

October 14, 1952

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
10-31-52
(date)

Docket No.: DMEA-1288X (Tungsten)

Name and address of operator: Robert J. Rysh and Steven Gorwin (formerly J. A. Madison), Rawhide, Nevada

Name and location of property: Scheelite Extension Mine, Rawhide, Nevada

SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The Scheelite Extension mine near Rawhide, Nev., was explored under DMEA contract Idm-E46 between July 16, 1951 and May 10, 1952. Work comprised rehabilitation and repair of existing camp buildings, retimbering the inclined shaft from surface to 78 feet, shaft sinking from 78 to 118 feet, and cutting a station and skip pocket at the 200-foot level. Total cost of the project was $12,000.00.

The deposit is in a tabular inclusion of marble, tactite and light colored lime-silicate rock enclosed in granite, which strikes about N 80° E and, on the average, dips 70 degrees to the south (see geologic map). Tactite occupies the south or hanging wall side of the inclusion in most places and varies in width from a few inches to 15 feet. Scheelite is distributed sporadically in the tactites, and locally is present in the marble and in quartz stringers in the granite. The largest and richest exposed concentrations of scheelite are in the upper part of the mine, in the adit and 42-foot level, but the small extent of the deeper levels does not permit drawing a general conclusion as to the variation in grade with depth.

Deepening of the shaft below the 42-foot level has not resulted in the development of any ore reserves. At least 1,000 tons of scheelite-bearing rock appears to remain between the adit level and

42-foot level, but probably less than half this amount contains sufficient scheelite to be mined and delivered to the nearby Nevada Scheelite mill at a profit. None of this ore, however, is subject to Government royalties.

The scheelite-bearing rock found in the deeper levels is apparently less continuous and lower in grade than that found in the upper levels. Nevertheless, the existing levels do not constitute a thorough test of the ore body. About 200 feet of drifting and 50 feet of cross-cutting on the 175-foot level should supply sufficient information to evaluate the deposit.

It is recommended that:

a. Additional exploration financed with Government assistance be approved.

b. DMEA should certify the project as unsuccessful as no significant discovery was made by extending the shaft to the 200-foot level. However, should new ore bodies be discovered by subsequent exploration from the shaft, with Government assistance, then royalties from such production should be paid the Government.

c. Production from the new mine workings is economically feasible.

DESCRIPTION OF THE WORK COMPLETED

The contract with J. A. Madison for a DMEA exploration project was signed on June 30, 1951. Actual work started on July 16 and was completed on May 10, 1952. A change of ownership occurred on Nov. 1 when Mr. Madison sold the property to Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin. The new owners also assumed the DMEA exploration contract.

Project work comprised rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, retimbering the shaft from surface to its original depth

of 78 feet, and sinking the shaft from 78 to 218 feet, a distance of 140 feet. A station and skip pocket were cut at the 200-foot level. However, during the months of November and December, while the property was undergoing a change of ownership, work was done on company account and consisted of 15 feet of shaft retimbering and 22 feet of sinking. Work chargeable to the DMEA project in addition to rehabilitation is 63 feet of retimbering, 118 feet of shaft sinking, and cutting the 200-level station and skip pocket.

The shaft was sunk 7- by 5-feet, outside timbers, using 6- by 6-inch timbers for shaft sets spaced on 5.5 foot centers. Sets were lagged all around with 2- by 12-inch lagging. The manway was separated from the hoisting compartment by 4- by 6-inch dividers. Station sets were installed at the 42- and 200-foot levels.

Concurrent with DMEA work by Corwin and Rysh, the adit was driven an additional 33 feet; the 42-level extended 10 feet with crosscuts to the footwall at 42 and 95 feet; and a split raise driven from this level to the adit at 65 feet (see geologic map). Since completion of the contract, a crosscut at the 118-foot level was driven 33 feet north to the footwall contact; the 200-level east drift extended 34 feet northeast along a limestone-granite contact; a split raise driven from the east crosscut on the 42-level to the adit; and a new level started at 175 feet. None of this work has disclosed commercial ore bodies.

GEOLOGY

The deposit is in a tabular inclusion of marble, tactite, and light colored lime-silicate rock enclosed in granite (see geologic

map). The inclusion strikes about N. 80° E. and, on the average dips 70 degrees south, but the dip is irregular in detail. On the surface, loose granite boulders cover the hill slopes and exposures are poor so that bed rock is exposed at only a few places. Underground the inclusion is exposed along the strike for 135 feet, and to a depth of 200 feet. In thickness, the inclusion varies from less than 5 feet to 15 feet or more.

In mapping the geology of the deposit, all of the level workings above the 175-foot level were entered, but the shaft itself is timbered and is headed at the 175-foot level. Consequently, neither the shaft walls nor the 200-foot level were examined; the geology of these workings, as shown in Plate 1, is based on descriptions by Mr. Rysh.

Tactite occupies the south or hanging wall side of the inclusion in most places. The thickness of the tactite varying from a few inches on the 118 level, to 15 feet in the adit level and at the east end of the 42-foot level. Scheelite is distributed sporadically in the tactite, and locally is present in the marble and in quartz stringers in the granite. The largest and richest exposed concentrations of scheelite are in the upper part of the mine, in the adit, and in the 42-foot level, but the small extent of the deeper levels does not permit drawing a general conclusion as to variation in grade with depth.

RESERVES

Deepening of the shaft below the 42-foot level has not resulted in the development of any ore reserve. At least 1,000 tons of scheelite-

bearing rock appears to remain between the adit level and 42-foot level, but probably less than half this amount contains sufficient scheelite to be mined and delivered to the Nevada Scheelite mill at a profit.

ADDITIONAL EXPLORATION

The scheelite-bearing rock found in the deeper levels is apparently less continuous and lower in grade than that found in the upper levels. Nevertheless, the existing levels do not constitute a thorough test of the ore body. About 200 feet of drifting and 50 feet of crosscutting on the 175-foot level should supply sufficient information to evaluate the deposit. Drifting should be on the south, or hanging wall side of the pendant; crosscuts should extend to the footwall contact. On the basis of the accompanying map, the 200 foot level appears more favorable for such exploration than the 175 foot level, but inasmuch as the geologist did not personally examine the 200 foot level, it is recommended that the work be done on the 175 foot level.

EXPENDITURES

a. Total approved: $12,000.00

b. Total cost of project: $12,000.00

c. Government's share of cost: $9,000.00

d. Government's share unexpended: None

e. Value of production during operations and Government's royalty: Production during DMEA operations was almost entirely from the adit level and is not subject to royalty payments. The company statement regarding this production is: About 360 tons of ore has been delivered to the Nevada Scheelite mill, almost all of which was from the adit level. Of this amount, settlement has been made on only 77 3/4 tons delivered in December 1951 and payed for in January 1952. The Nevada Scheelite head assay

was 1.46% WO_3, although an assay by Abbot Hanks of a sample split was 1.75%. Mr. Rysh states he does not intend contesting the Nevada Scheelite settlement. An additional 120 tons of ore has also been milled, but no settlement has been made. The rest of the ore is stockpiled at the Nevada Scheelite mill to be treated at the convenience of the Company, which pays for the ore on the basis of $30.00 per contained unit of scheelite.

EFFICIENCY OF OPERATIONS - COMPETENCY OF OPERATOR

Work under J. A. Madison from July 16 to October 31, 1951 was slow due to the inability in obtaining experienced shaft men. Madison worked alone much of the time and in addition to rehabilitating and repairing camp buildings, erected the headframe and retimbered the shaft from surface to 47.5 feet. Retimbering was slow as much of the granite hanging wall required blasting to permit placing the shaft sets and to keep the shaft in alignment.

Sale of the property to Rysh and Corwin resulted in more rapid and efficient progress due to their familiarity and experience with the type of work to be done. Both of these men are competent operators. No unusual difficulties were experienced during sinking operations.

EQUIPMENT PURCHASED FOR PROJECT

a. Servel refrigerator, bottled gas operated.

b. Purchase price: $300.00

c. Estimated salvage value: $250.00

d. Recommended disposition: Defer disposition until action taken on supplemental DMEA application. Should application be denied then operators should obtain bids locally and from distributors at Fallon, Nev., then sold to highest bidder.

Other equipment purchased included a blower gasoline engine; mine car; and air and water pipe. Waiver of Government interest in this

equipment is recommended due to the isolated locality of the mine and the high cost that would be involved in its removal from the mine and transportation to the nearest supply center.

Docket

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

October 14, 1952

Memorandum

To: A. C. Johnson, Bureau of Mines, Reno, Nevada

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMEA 1288X (Tungsten), Scheelite Extension Mine, Cerwin & Rysh, Assignees, Mineral County, Nevada, Contract No. Idm-E46

On July 16 the field team referred the subject applicant's amended application to the Geological Survey. On August 4, we sent you a memorandum, stating that if a joint report were needed you should contact Paul Bateman who was in the Bishop area at the time. With that memorandum, we sent you various correspondence, which included a letter, dated July 18, from G. C. Selfridge to me.

I now have a letter, dated September 25, from Ernest Wm. Ellis, Acting Chairman, Operating Committee, informing me that to date no reply has been received in the Washington Office to Selfridge's July 18 letter, and that the information requested is needed to approve or disapprove the granting of additional funds for further exploration work.

The Geological Survey reports that Bateman examined the property and his recommendations were sent to you several weeks ago. Kindly let us hear when we may expect your report and reply to Selfridge's letter.

H. C. MILLER

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Copy retained 400
George C. Selfridge

Copy to DMEA, Interior Bldg., Washington 25, D. C.
E. H. Bailey, USGS

OCT 3 - 1952

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Chapman
Selfridge
Johnson

SEP 25 1952

Mr. H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Re: Docket No. DMA 1288X - Tungsten
Scheelite Extension Mine
Gerwin and Rysh, Assignees
Mineral County, Nevada

Dear Mr. Miller:

No reply has been received to date to our letter of July 18, 1952. We would appreciate your giving us the information requested so that we may approve or disapprove the granting of additional funds for further exploration work.

If the application for additional funds has been dropped, we would like a final report so that we may close out the docket.

Sincerely yours,

Ernest Wm. Ems

E.W. 9-25-52

ACTING Chairman, Operating Committee

TLChapman'lm
9/23/52

Approved:

C. H. Johnson (B.H.)
Member, Bureau of Mines

A. R. Tinkel, Jr. (B.H.)
Member, Geological Survey

cc: Admn. Reading File
Docket
R. W. Geehan, USBM
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
Field Team Reg. III
Operating Committee
G. C. Selfridge
T. L. Chapman

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

9/25/52

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

September 18, 1952

Memorandum

To: Ernest William Ellis, Acting Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA, Interior Building, Washington, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA 1288X (Tungsten), Scheelite Extension Mine, Corwin & Rysh, Assignees, Mineral County, Nevada, Contract No. Idm-E46

Reference is made to your subject memorandum, dated September 4, 1952.

The reason we justified Amendment No. 3 to the subject contract as we did was that when Mr. Talbert, Auditor, DMEA, was out here and went through our files on the subject docket, he found that there was nothing in the files specifically permitting the Operator to do what he did. It was assumed, therefore, that oral permission had been granted and with that possibility in mind, the fact that work had been done prior to receipt of DMEA Memorandum No. 7, and to complete the records, Mr. Talbert suggested we justify the matter as we did.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
SEP 22 1952

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer,
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Copy to: E. H. Bailey
A. C. Johnson

Copy retained 490

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

Martin
Ellis
Hoffler

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

SEP 4 1952

Mr. H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Re: Docket No. DMA 1288X - Tungsten
Scheelite Extension Mine
Corwin & Rysh, Assignees
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. 1dm-296

Dear Mr. Miller:

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of August 21, 1952, transmitting the original and two copies of Amendment No. 3, dated August 4, 1952, to the subject contract as well as three copies of justification for the same.

We have no doubt that the amendment is justified and we thoroughly approve of your writing the amendment to confirm the previous arrangement. On the other hand, we take exception to the form of the justification which reads: "Although the work covered by Amendment No. 3 was authorized orally to the Operators in connection with this contract, for record purposes an amendment has been drawn as per the attached copy".

Your attention is called to DMEA Memorandum No. 7 of June 24, 1952, a copy of which is enclosed for your convenience. The above memorandum clarifies the extent and limits of your delegated authority to amend contracts. The justification should set forth in brief your reason for believing that the change by the amendment will expedite, facilitate, or otherwise benefit the project and be in the interest of the Government.

Sincerely yours,

Ernest Wm. Ellis (EWE)
ACTING Chairman, Operating Committee
W. S. Martin

SH 9-4-52

cc: Admn. Reading File
Docket
R. W. Geehan, USBM
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
Field Team Reg. III
Operating Committee

Enclosure

Approved:

J. H. Hedges (EWE)
Member, Bureau of Mines

D. M. Lemmon (EWE)
Member, Geological Survey

WSMartin'lm - 9/2/52

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
AUG 26 1952

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Bldg.
870 Market St.
San Francisco 2, Calif.

August 21, 1952

Memorandum

To: Operating Committee, DMEA, Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1288X, Contract Idm-E46, (Tungsten) Scheelite Extension Mine (Corwin & Rysh, Assignees)

Attached are the original and two copies of Amendment No. 3, dated August 4, 1952, to the subject contract, as well as three copies of justification for making the amendment.

The Operators have retained a copy of the amendment, and proper distribution has been made of the Field Team and Finance Office copies.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team
Region III

Attachments

Copy to: E. H. Bailey, USGS
A. C. Johnson, Reno (With Field Team's copy of amendment)
L. L. Norvell (With Finance Office's copy of amendment)

RECEIVED
SEP 5 1952
GENERAL COUNSEL
DEFENSE MINERALS
ADMINISTRATION

65
9-27-52

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

Chamber

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

AUG 11 1952

Mr. W. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Attention: Mr. Lloyd L. Norvell
DMEA Finance Officer

Dear Mr. Miller:

Reference is made to a letter from Mr. Talbert under date of August 6, 1952, in regard to Reports of Review and other matters, one in particular about an obligation release of one penny on project Idm-E46, DMA-1288, Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin.

According to our records the project cumulative total including the June report 1952, is $8,999.99, while your records show the total of $9,000. The discrepancy is found in the October report. Our copy of this report shows a total payment of $655.27, while your record, according to Mr. Talbert, shows a total payment of $655.28. We are making the adjustment on our records and I am asking the Administrative Division here to withdraw the one cent release, thereby bringing our records into agreement with yours.

Sincerely yours,

(Signed) J. L. Chambers

Jay L. Chambers, Chief
Contract Administration and
Audit Division

JLChambers/wb
August 11, 1952

Copy to: Mails & Files
Admr. Reading File
Mr. Talbert
Mr. Chamberlain
Mr. Chambers

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

August 7, 1952

Mr. Robert J. Rysh
Corwin and Rysh Mining Co.
Scheelite Extension Mine
Rawhide via Fallon, Nevada

Re: Docket DMA 1288X (Tungsten)
Exploration Project Contract Idm-E46
Scheelite Extension Mine
(Corwin & Rysh, Assignees)

Dear Mr. Rysh:

An audit of your contract reveals that authority for the cutting of a station on the 200-foot level of your shaft and sink- an additional 18 feet was never officially recorded, and that the work was done as the result of an oral arrangement.

In order for the record to be complete at the time the books are audited by the General Accounting Office, I have prepared Amendment No. 3, dated August 4, 1952, to your contract and the original and five copies of the amendment, signed by me are attached herewith. Will you and Mr. Corwin kindly sign all six copies and return the original and four copies to this office.

Sincerely yours,

H. C. MILLER

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Enclosure

Copy to: DMEA, Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C.
E. H. Bailey, USGS
A. C. Johnson, Reno, Nevada

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
(Reno)
AUG 11 1952

400

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Chapman
Selfridge
K Jones

JUL 18 1952

Mr. H. C. Miller, Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III
1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, California

Re: Docket No. DMEA 1288X - Tungsten
Rysh & Corwin (Formerly J. A. Madison)
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Contract No. Idm-I-46

Dear Mr. Miller:

Enclosed are two copies of a review and summary prepared by the Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division for use in the Washington Office, which we are sending you for your information. We shall appreciate having your comments thereon where the memorandum may suggest. We especially wish to call your attention to the suggested amendments to the contract.

Subsequent to the review we received an application from the Operators requesting additional funds to carry on the exploration of the subject mine. It is requested that the Field Team furnish us a geologic map showing the relationship of the geology and mineralization of the shaft to that of the other mine workings.

We are attaching two copies each of memoranda from the commodity divisions of the U. S. Geological Survey and the U. S. Bureau of Mines which include various comments on the proposed work. Examination of the map accompanying the new application shows that the applicants intend to drive the drifts within the metamorphic body and then crosscut to either wall. Would it not be more feasible to drive a drift along the hanging wall, if that is where the mineralization is found, and subsequently crosscut to the footwall, if warranted? Since Mr. Geehan wrote his memorandum he has notified us that Corwin and Rysh, or at least the property, shipped 138 tons of 1.0% WO_3, which probably refers to the 200 tons mentioned in his memorandum.

Sincerely yours,

George C. Selfridge 7/18/52 (JHB)

ACTING Chairman, Operating Committee
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

Enclosures

Approved:

J. H. Hedges (JHB)
Member, Bureau of Mines

T. P. Thayer (JHB)
Member, Geological Survey

TLChapman:GCSelfridge:ls 7/17/52
cc: G. C. Selfridge
Operating Committee
D. M. Lemmon, USGS
R. W. Geehan, USBM
cc: Docket
Admn. Read. File
Field Team, Reg. 3

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

July 15, 1952

Memorandum

To: George C. Selfridge

From: Robert W. Geehan

Subject: Amended Exploration Application, $15,183 DMEA-1288 - amended
Corwin and Rysh Mining Company Tungsten
Rawhide, Nevada

The applicants propose an extension of their contract to cover 200 feet of drifting and crosscutting and 1,000 feet of diamond drilling. The Bureau of Mines has no record of the 200 tons of ore said to have been produced by Corwin and Rysh. The list of purchases by Nevada Scheelite does not include this firm.

The application does not contain enough information to warrant approval or denial, and it is recommended that it be referred to the Field Team. The following points are of special importance:

1. Geology revealed by the shaft work.

2. Is there any need for the work proposed on the intermediate level?

3. Would crosscuts from the shaft serve as a desirable preliminary step? If so, could this work be approved prior to the balance of the proposal?

4. Would a few "long holes" drilled with a drifter serve to replace some crosscuts and all diamond drilling?

5. The need for diamond drilling seems doubtful on a project of this type.

Copy retained 400

6. Is any extension of the contract desirable in view of the poor results in the shaft?

Robert W. Geehan

Robert W. Geehan

Attachment

IN REPLY REFER TO:

G-MD-CF

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Memorandum July 14, 1952

To: George C. Selfridge, DMEA

From: D. M. Lemmon, USGS

Subject: Amended Exploration application $15,183 DMEA 1288 - Tungsten
Corwin and Rysh Mining Company
Rawhide, Nevada

The applicants propose an expenditure of $15,183 to do additional exploration from the shaft sunk as part of the contract. The proposed work includes 200 feet of drifting and crosscutting on the 118 and 200 foot levels, and 1,000 feet of diamond drilling from underground.

The applicant has submitted no geologic map or section of the shaft. This information is needed before detailed criticism can be made of the proposed work. It appears to me that some work is warranted from the bottom of the shaft, but that much of the proposed work is unnecessary. My preliminary review suggests that diamond drilling should be eliminated and that drifting and crosscutting should be restricted to the 200 foot level. The total cost might be reduced by at least 50 percent.

Recommendation: I suggest that the Field Team be requested to provide a geologic map and section of the shaft workings before additional work is considered. Assays should also be provided of the 210 tons of ore mined recently from the adit.

D. M. Lemmon

Copy retained 400

DMEA 1288 AMENDED

SCHEELITE EXTENSION MINE

Rawhide via Fallon, Nevada

7 July 1952

Director
Defence Minerals Exploration Administration
Interior Building
Washington 25 D. C.

Dear Sir.

We have completed Project Idm E- 46 which called for 100 feet of new shaft and later 18 additional feet of skip pocket.

We did not uncover tungsten ore of commercial grade.

The shaft in itself, we, believe, is not sufficient developement to prove the property has or has not an orebody.

The geological history of this area is that the orebodys make in eliptical lenses on contact of limestone and granite and on the 42, 118 and the 200 foot levels we have such a contact

In conjunction with the Bureau of Mines, Reno Office we have prepared the enclosed drawings, showing Step 1 of our proposed plan of developement.

Mr. Dwight Lemmons, I believe, made a visit to the property last fall and is familiar with the area.

We hereby apply for a loan to further develope the possibilities of the Scheelite Extension.

Very Truly Yours

Robert J. Rysh

Robert J. Rysh Partner

Corwin and Rysh Mining Co.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JUL 11 1952

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Acting Administrator, DMEA DATE: June 30, 1952

FROM : Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division, DMEA

SUBJECT: Review and summary of exploration docket to June 1, 1952
Docket No. DMA 1288X - Tungsten
Rysh and Corwin (Formerly J. A. Madison)
Scheelite Extension Mine
Mineral County, Nevada
Exploration Project Contract No. Idm-E46

The subject contract which was dated June 30, 1951, provided funds in the amount of $12,000.00 to:

(1) Rehabilitate buildings	$ 800.00
(2) Build headframe and retimber shaft	1,600.00
(3) Sink shaft 100 feet @ $96.00 per foot	9,600.00
	$12,000.00

Work under the contract was started on July 16, 1951, by Mr. Madison, the Operator, and continued under his direction until November 1 when he sold the property and assigned the contract, November 10, to Messrs. Rysh and Corwin. During this period, the Operator spent $48.28 for road work, $1,171.61 (146.45%) for camp rehabilitation and $4,346.41 (271.65%) for shaft rehabilitation. No sinking was done. Thus, $5,566.30 (231.93%) was expended by Madison for rehabilitation and preparation in lieu of the $2,400.00 allowed under the contract.

An analysis of the MF-104 reports during the period shows that:

(1) Madison's wife was included on the payroll for the months of July and August (at least suggested by the application docket).

(2) Madison worked alone for one-half the month of September and two-thirds of the month of October.

(3) Because of the lack of sufficient labor only 22 feet of the shaft was retimbered during the months of September, October, and 14 days of November. Some housing rehabilitation was also done during October.

(4) Progress in the retimbering of the shaft was slow because it was enlarged in part before timbering.

In a memorandum by the field team, dated November 16, 1951, mention is made that the progress had been slow as much of the granite

hanging wall must be drilled and blasted to allow installation of the shaft sets. This suggests that possibly a more elaborate timbering job was done than was warranted for an exploration shaft.

No MF-104 forms were submitted for the months of November and December but it is reported that work on the shaft and the camp continued on the new Operator's account. Sinking was started in January and the shaft was sunk the 100 feet allowed under the contract by the end of April, with costs ranging from $37.70 to $111.20, averaging $58.17 a foot. This included the cost of cutting a station on the 200 foot level during the month of April. A station is not mentioned in the contract and should be covered by an amendment. The new Operators considerably undercut the estimated footage cost and are to be commended for their effort.

On April 14, 1952, the contract was amended by extending its life another month to allow for additional sinking to provide a sump and skip pocket since funds were available. At the end of April $11,383.75 of the allotted $12,000.00 had been expended. During the month of May, the Operators sank 18 feet of additional shaft which brought the total cost of the project to $12,401.62 and the actual shaft sinking to $57.93 a foot. An amendment should be drawn up covering a prorata figure for additional shaft sinking equivalent to the sum of $616.25.

During the review of the monthly reports, it was noted that no item for depreciation as such was entered after the month of January. We do not know if this was an oversight or intentional.

Review of the monthly reports shows that the altered sediments are complexly folded and faulted. Only spotty colors of scheelite mineralization were reported. In the April report, mention is made of a 3.5 foot band assaying 0.21 percent WO_3, the only analysis quoted. It would appear that more favorable information will have to be submitted than that furnished by the shaft work to support an application for additional underground drifting and diamond drilling.

Listed equipment bought includes a refrigerator, ventilator engine, mine car and pipe.

G. C. Selfridge.

G. C. Selfridge, Chief
Iron and Ferro-Alloy Division

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

June 10, 1952

Memorandum

To: Operating Committee, DMEA, Interior Building, Washington 25, D.C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1288X--Contract Idm-E46, Scheelite Extension Mine (Corwin & Rysh)

1 copy only

Enclosed, for your records, are two copies of memorandum by G. H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer, Region III, on his May 15 inspection trip to subject property.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team
Region III

Attachment

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JUN 16 1952

12884

A. C. Johnson, Chief, Mining Division, Reg. III May 16, 1952

George H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer, Bureau of Mines, Reg. III

Scheelite Extension Mine, (Corwin & Rysh), Mineral County, Nev.
Contract Idm*E-46

The Scheelite Extension project was inspected on May 15 by an engineer of the Bureau of Mines for the purpose of checking progress since the last inspection was made on April 8.

The shaft has been sunk to a depth of 219 feet, an advance of 28 feet. A station was cut on the east side of the shaft at 200 feet with 9-foot shaft timbers set at this point. The shaft was continued 19 feet below this level to provide for a skip pocket and sump. Timbers have been set to 211 feet.

Tactite and silicified limestone were encountered from 191 to 200 feet. Lamping indicates spotty WO_3 mineralization. A sample from a 3.5 foot band of tactite at 190 feet assayed 0.21 percent WO_3. The bottom of the shaft is in granite with a narrow band of scheelite-bearing tactite evident along the west wall.

Extent of mineralization at the 200-level can only be determined by further exploration by drifting along the mineralized areas and diamond drilling to explore for sections of the ore body which have been dislocated by the complex folding and faulting of the formations.

No ore reserves can be estimated as a result of the shaft sinking. This work indicated the continuance of the silicified limestone and tactite formations to 200 feet and though mineralization is spotty it is adequate to justify further exploration.

Messrs. Corwin and Rysh are interested in obtaining a supplemental DMEA exploration loan to continue this work. They will call at the Mining Division office on Monday, May 19, to discuss this matter more fully and to decide on a definite plan of exploration.

George H. Holmes, Jr.

George H. Holmes, Jr.

JUN 16 1952

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Bldg.
870 Market St.
San Francisco 2, Calif.

April 18, 1952

Memorandum

To: Defense Minerals Exploration Administration,
Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1288X, Exploration Project Contract Idm-E46,
Scheelite Extension Mine (Corwin & Rysh, Assignees)

Attached are the original and three copies of Amendment No. 2, dated April 14, 1952, to the subject contract. This amendment extends the time to complete the contract another 31 days, or until June 1, 1952.

The operator has retained his copy of the amendment and one copy is being sent to our field engineers at Reno. The finance officer's copy will be expected from you shortly.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team
Region III

Attachment

Copy to: E. H. Bailey
A. C. Johnson, Reno, Nev.
L. L. Norvell

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
APR 22 1952

Sol

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Bldg.
870 Market St.
San Francisco 2, Calif.

April 16, 1952

Memorandum

To: Frank E. Johnson, Chairman, Operating Committee,
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration,
Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1288X - Contract Idm-E46 - Scheelite Extension Mine (Corwin & Rysh)

Enclosed herewith, for your records, are two copies of memorandum by G. H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer, Region III, on his inspection trip to subject property.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team
Region III

Attachment

CC E. H. Bailey, U.S.G.S.

Department of the Interior
Defense Minerals Administration
APR 21 1952

DMA-1288X

A. C. Johnson, Chief, Mining Division, Reg. III April 9, 1952

George H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer, Bureau of Mines, Reg. III

Scheelite Extension Mine (Corwin & Rysh) Contract Idm-246, Mineral Co., Nev.

The Scheelite Extension project was inspected on April 8 by engineers[1] of the Bureau of Mines to check progress for the month of March.

The shaft is at a depth of 191 feet, an advance of 39 feet since the last inspection on March 6. Seven sets of timber were installed and the shaft is now timbered to 185.5 feet.

Progress has been through intercalated bands of tactite, silicified limestone, and narrow tongues of altered granite. The general strike of the formations is S 30° W with an average dip of 83° to the northwest. Lamping at the bottom of the shaft indicated spotty mineralization in a 3.5 foot band of tactite crossing near the east side of the shaft. Lagging prevented lamping between 152 and 185 feet.

The shaft will be sunk to 200 feet and a station cut from the east side. The shaft will then be continued to about 210 feet to allow for a sump and skip pocket. This work will extend beyond the April 30 limit of the contract and it is recommended that a 30-day extension be granted Corwin & Rysh to permit completion of this work. This extension will in no way increase the overall cost of the project.

George H. Holmes, Jr.

George H. Holmes, Jr.

1/ George H. Holmes, Jr. and L. F. Muller.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

F.E. Johnson Rec'd 3/18/52 (DMB)

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Bldg.
870 Market St.
San Francisco 2, Calif.

March 10, 1952

Memorandum

To: Frank E. Johnson, Chairman, Operating Committee, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, Interior Building, Washington 25, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1288X - Contract Idm-E46 - Scheelite Extension Mine (Corwin & Rysh)

Enclosed herewith, for your records, are two copies of memorandum by G. H. Holmes, Jr., and L. F. Muller, Mining Engineers, Region III, on their inspection trip to subject property.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team
Region III

Attachment

CC E. H. Bailey, U.S.G.S.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
MAR 14 1952

DMA-128PV

A. C. Johnson, Chief, Mining Div., Reg. III March 7, 1952

George H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer, Region III

Scheelite Extension Mine (Corwin & Rysh) Contract Idm-E46
Mineral County, Nevada

The Scheelite Extension mine was visited on March 6 by engineers[1] of the Bureau of Mines for the purpose of inspecting work done under the DMEA contract and to map existing workings.

The shaft has been sunk to a depth of 152 feet, an advance of 34 feet since the last inspection on February 7. Six sets of timber were installed and the shaft is now timbered to 146 feet.

A limestone-granite contact, which strikes N 22° W and dips about 75° SW, was encountered along the east side of the shaft at 135 feet. At 152 feet, the present bottom of the shaft, this contact crosses normal to the length of the shaft, 3 feet west of the east wall. No scheelite mineralization was noted.

A Brunton Survey was made of the adit, stope, 42-foot level, and the 80-foot sub-level.

1/ G. H. Holmes, Jr. and L. F. Muller.

George H. Holmes, Jr.

George H. Holmes, Jr.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
MAR 14 1952

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region III

January 22, 1952

Memorandum

To: C. O. Mittendorf, Acting Administrator, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, Washington, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1288X (Tungsten) - Exploration Project Contract Idm-E46 - Scheelite Extension Mine, Rawhide, Nevada, Assignees: Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin - Amendment No. 1

Attached is the original and three copies of an executed amendment (Amendment No. 1) to exploration project contract Idm-E46. This amendment extends the time to complete the contract from five to ten months.

One copy of the amendment has been given to the operator, and one copy is being retained in our files. I presume our finance officer's copy will be returned to us at an early date.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team, Region III

Attachment

Copy to A. C. Johnson
E. H. Bailey, USGS

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN 28 1952

500

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

Moulds

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

AIR MAIL

DEC 29 1951

Mr. Robert J. Ryeh and
Mr. Steven Corwin
174 Long Street
Carson City, Nevada

Re: Docket No. DMA-12681
Contract 1-DMA-146

Dear Sirs:

Enclosed is the assignment of J. C. Madison to you relating to the subject exploration project contract.

This assignment has been approved by this office for the Government and the copy enclosed is to be attached to your copy of the contract. One copy has been transmitted to Mr. T. C. Miller, Executive Officer, Region III, San Francisco, California who is concerned with the administration of your project. The remaining copies have been distributed for attachment to the other existing copies of the contract.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf (for) J.N. 12-29

Acting Administrator
Defense Minerals Exploration
Administration

Enclosure
DEMoulds/jem
12-19-51
cc to: Adm. Reading File
Mails & Files Br.
Docket
Messrs. Mittendorf
Moulds
Field Team, Reg. III (2)

500

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEC 29 1951

MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. H. C. Miller, Executive Officer, DMEA
224 New Customhouse Bldg., Denver 2, Colorado

From: Acting Administrator
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

Subject: Copy of assignment to exploration project contract.

Attached is a signed copy of an assignment to the subject exploration project contract.

This assignment has been approved by this office for the Government and is to be attached to your copy of the contract.

Attachment

C. O. Mittendorf (for)

DEMoulds/jem
12-19-51
cc to: Adm. Reading File
Mails & Files Br.
Docket
Mr. Moulds
Mr. Mittendorf

12-29

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

December 14, 1951

Memorandum

To: Acting Administrator

From: Chief Counsel

Subject: J. A. Madison Exploration Contract I-DMA-E46, Docket No. DMA-1288X

In response to your memorandum of November 20 we have caused the assignment of the above-mentioned contract to be accepted, in writing, by the assignees, which acceptance you will note appears on the second page of the assignment. It now appears to be in order for you to execute consent to this assignment and provision for such action is provided following the acceptance made by the assignees on page 2 of the assignment.

The assignment and six copies are returned to you and, after execution by you, the copies should be distributed for attachment to all existing copies of the subject contract.

J. L. Hofflund
Chief Counsel

Attachment

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 11 1951

November 26, 1951

Messrs. Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin
114 Long Street
Carson City, Nevada

Dear Sirs:

Enclosed is the assignment of J. A. Madison to you relating to the Exploration Project Contract I-DMA-E46 (Docket No. DMA 1288-X).

You will note at the end of the second page of the assignment a paragraph prepared for your signature by which you agree to assume and carry out all the terms and conditions of the Exploration Project Contract. Please execute the assignment, which is submitted in sextuplicate, and return to me as promptly as possible so that the Government may process its acceptance of this assignment.

Sincerely yours,

J. L. Hofflund
General Counsel

Enclosures 6

RECEIVED
DEC 12 1951
GENERAL COUNSEL
DEFENSE MINERALS
ADMINISTRATION

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. Moulds

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
RECEIVED
NOV 26 1951
Defense Minerals Administration,
Production Expansion Division.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Bldg.
870 Market St.
San Francisco 2, Calif.

November 21, 1951

Memorandum

To: D. E. Moulds, Production Expansion Division,
Defense Minerals Administration, Washington, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMA Field Team, Region III

Subject: DMA-1288X, Scheelite Extension Mine
Exploration Project Contract I-DMA-E46

Supplemental to our memorandum of November 14 on above subject, we are enclosing, for your consideration, copy of memorandum by George H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer, Region III, dated November 16, on the ability and integrity of Messrs. Rysh and Corwin.

S. Ricker

S. Ricker, Acting for
H. C. Miller, Executive Officer
DMA Field Team, Region III

Attachment

Copy to: E. H. Bailey, U.S.G.S.

DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D.C.
NOV 26 9 23 AM '51

A. C. Johnson, Chief, Mining Div., Region III Nov. 16, 1951

George H. Holmes, Jr., Mining Engineer, Mining Div., Region III

Scheelite Extension Mine (Contract Idm-E46)

The Scheelite Extension mine was visited Nov. 14 to observe the work being done by Messrs. Rysh and Corwin and to check progress for the past month. The station set at the 50-level (41 feet below the collar of the shaft) has been installed and retimbering continued for 22 feet below this level. Work is slow, as much of the granite hanging wall must be drilled and blasted to allow installation of the shaft sets; however, Rysh and Corwin are making good progress and work is proceeding in an efficient and workmanlike manner.

Mr. Rysh is an ex-army captain and has had extensive mining experience in Nevada and California, having worked for Basic Refractories at Gabbs, Nev. and U. S. Vanadium Corp. at Bishop, Calif. In addition he has operated several small mines and has examined and explored other properties. At the Scheelite Extension he is supervising the shaft work and is working with the shaft crew.

Mr. Corwin is a road contractor who has been in that business for many years in and about New York City. He is familiar with the operation and maint enance of machinery and equipment and at present is top-man on this project, operating the compressor and hoist and framing the shaft timbers. It is my 'off the record' opinion that he is the financial backer for Rysh's mining activities.

Both men appear competent and reliable and will fulfill the exploration contract satisfactorily.

(s) George H. Holmes, Jr.

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

November 20, 1951

Memorandum

To: Mr. J. L. Hofflund
General Counsel

From: C. O. Mittendorf

Subject: Docket No. DMA 1288X
Exploration Contract I-DMA-E46
J. A. Madison

The subject operator has entered into an agreement to convey the property covered by this contract to Steven Corwin and Robert J. Rysh, copartners, and has requested that the incompleted contract be transferred to the new owners of the property.

Kindly advise on the legal documents and procedures necessary to consumate the transfer.

C. O. Mittendorf

DEMoulds/meh
cc to: PED
Reports and Records
Mr. Moulds
Docket

BL

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION WASHINGTON, D.C.

Nov 16 8 41 AM '51

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
RECEIVED
NOV 19 1951
Defense Minerals Administration
Production Expansion Division

Office of
Executive Officer
DMA Field Team
Region III

1012 Flood Bldg.
870 Market St.
San Francisco 2, Calif.

AIR MAIL

November 14, 1951

Memorandum

To: D. E. Moulds, Production Expansion Division, Defense Minerals Administration, Washington, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMA Field Team, Region III

Subject: DMA-1288X, Scheelite Extension Mine Exploration Project Contract I-DMA-E46

With reference to your telephone request of November 7 to Mr. Miller, we have today received six copies of the attached document from Mr. J. A. Madison, covering assignment of interests in the Scheelite Extension Mine to Messrs. Robert J. Ryan and Steven Corwin. Kindly let us know if this instrument does not cover all the requirements of your office in connection with the work to be done under Contract I-DMA-E46.

S. Ricker, Acting for
H. C. Miller, Executive Officer
DMA Field Team, Region III

Attachment

Copy to: A. C. Johnson, Reno, Nev.
E. H. Bailey, U.S.G.S.

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. Moore

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
RECEIVED
NOV 7 1951
Defense Minerals Administration,
Production Expansion Division.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

1012 Flood Building
870 Market Street
San Francisco 2, Calif.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMA Field Team
Region III

Air Mail

October 30, 1951

Memorandum

To: C. O. Mittendorf, Director, Production Expansion Division, Defense Minerals Administration, Washington, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Scheelite Extension Mine, Docket DMA-1288X, Exploration Project Contract Idm-E46

You will note from the attached copy of a letter from Mr. J. A. Madison to Mr. A. C. Johnson, dated October 22, 1951, and copy of a letter from Messrs. Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin, dated October 22, 1951, that Mr. Madison has entered into an agreement to sell his property to Messrs. Rysh and Corwin, effective as of November 1, 1951.

The original and one copy of each of the cited letters are attached.

Kindly inform me what steps are necessary to take care of the change in ownership of the property so that Messrs. Rysh and Corwin can continue work under Mr. Madison's contract.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
DMA Field Team, Region III

Attachments 2

Copy by regular mail

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

To	Init.	Date

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
NOV 1 - 1951

Fallon, Nevada
October 22, 1951

Mr. A. C. Johnson
Chief, Mining Division
Bureau of Mines
P. O. Box 1551
Reno, Nevada

Re: Scheelite Extension Mine
DMA-1288X
Contract Idm-E46

Dear Mr. Johnson:

This is to advise that effective November 1, 1951, I am selling my Scheelite Extension Mine to Messrs. Robert J. Rysh and Steven Corwin.

At the present time I am conducting an exploration project on this property under a D. M. A. loan. The total amount of the project not to exceed $12,000. - the Governments part not to exceed $9,000.

Approximately half of this amount has been expended. The new owners are assuming the obligation for that and also desire that the balance be transferred to them. I know that Messrs Rysh and Corwin can satisfactorily carry on this project and request that the transfer be made to them.

Very truly yours,

J. A. Madison

J. A. Madison

114 Long Street
Carson City, Nevada
October 22, 1951

Mr. A. C. Johnson
Chief, Mining Division
Bureau of Mines
P. O. Box 1551
Reno, Nevada.

Re: Scheelite Extension Mine
DMA-1288X
Contract Idm-E46

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Mr. Robert J. Rysh and Mr. Steven Corwin have recently completed arrangements to purchase, effective November 1, 1951, mining claims known as the Scheelite Extension.

The Defense Minerals Administration has granted Mr. J. A. Madison, the present owner, a loan in the form of an exploration contract. The total cost of the project is estimated at $12,000. - the total amount to be paid by the Government not to exceed $9,000.

At the present time approximately half of this money has been expended. We have read the contract and will assume obligation for the monies that have been expended and request that the balance of the loan be transferred to us.

Very truly yours,

Robert J. Rysh

Robert J. Rysh

Steven Corwin

Steven Corwin

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

400

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Mr. C. O. Mittendorf

SEP 21 1951

Mr. J. A. Madison
Rawhide via
Fallon, Nevada

Re: Docket No. DMA-1288
Contract Idm-E46

Dear Mr. Madison:

We are returning, as per your request, the following items which were submitted with form MF-100:

2 Settlement sheets from Wm. Beverly Moore
6 Settlement sheets from Metals Reserve Co.
1 Page of letter dated February 4, 1951
1 Letter of transmittal dated May 19, 1951

We regret the necessary delay in returning the above material to you.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf

C. O. Mittendorf, Director
Production Expansion Division

Enclosures-10

DEMoulds/meh Typed: 9/20/51
cc to: Reports and Records
Adm. Reading File
Messrs. Mittendorf, Olund
Mr. Moulds
Docket

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

Mmeds
Mittendorf

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

July 25, 1951

a.g.c.

Contract Service Section
General Accounting Office
Washington 25, D.C.

Re: Docket DMA 1288X
Exploration Project Contract
I-DMA-E46
J. A. Madison
Rawhide, Nevada

Gentlemen:

Enclosed for recording in your office is the original, signed copy of an Exploration Project Contract executed between the operator named in the above reference and the Government of the United States.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf

C. O. Mittendorf, Director
Production Expansion Division

Enclosure-1

DEMoulds/bjs

cc to: Adm. Reading File
Field Team, Reg. III
Mr. Holderer
Mr. Thayer
Mr. Mittendorf, Mr. Olund
Mr. Moulds
Reports and Records
Chron. copy

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
~~WASHINGTON 25, D. C.~~

1012 Flood Bldg.
870 Market St.
San Francisco 2, Calif.

Office of
Executive Officer
DMA Field Team
Region III

July 18, 1951

Air Mail

Memorandum

To: C. O. Mittendorf, Director, Production Expansion Division, DMA, Washington, D. C.

From: Executive Officer, DMA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Docket DMA-1288X; J. A. Madison, Rawhide, Nevada

Reference is to your subject letter to me dated July 3.

In accordance with your instructions, Mr. A. C. Johnson, Chief, Mining Division, Bureau of Mines, Reno, Nevada, had Mr. Madison call at his office where the six copies of the contract were signed in Mr. Johnson's presence.

Mr. Madison was furnished with one copy of the contract. Two copies are being retained in this office, and the original and two copies are attached herewith.

H. C. Miller
Executive Officer, DMA Field Team
Region III

Attachments

Copy to: E. H. Bailey, Geological Survey
A. C. Johnson Reno, Nevada

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JUL 20 1951
Executive Secretary

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Mr. Mittendorf

JUL 3 1951

Q.E.C.

Mr. H. C. Miller
Executive Officer
Region III
Defense Minerals Administration
1415 Appraisers Building
San Francisco, California

not stripped in R. & R.

Re: Docket No. DMA 1283X
J. A. Madison
Rawhide, Nevada

Dear Mr. Miller:

Please find herewith six (6) copies of contract for subject exploration project. This contract has been signed by Mr. James Boyd, Administrator, with exploration number and effective date as shown.

The contract is to be signed by Mr. J. A. Madison in the presence of yourself or member of the DMA staff without any changes to its contents. If any provisions are unsatisfactory to the operator, the contract must be returned to this office for re-execution.

After signing of the contract, one copy is to be given to the Contractor and two copies retained by your office; one for your files, and one for the finance section. The remaining copies, including the original, are to be sent to this office as soon as possible.

Sincerely yours,

Enclosures 6

C. O. Mittendorf

C. O. Mittendorf, Director
Production Expansion Division

APPROVED:

Tom Lyon

Chairman, Coordinating Committee

Member, Bureau of Mines

Member, Geological Survey

DEMoulds/meh
CC: Adm. Reading File
Mr. Strobel
Mr. Moon
Messrs. Mittendorf, Olund
Mr. Moulds
Mr. Thayer
Mr. Holderer
Region III (2)
FILES
Chron. Copy

P.C.O. file

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

June 26, 1951

Memorandum

To: C. O. Mittendorf

From: J. L. Hofflund

Subject: J. A. Madison exploration contract -- Docket No. DMA-1288X

This office has reviewed the contract in the above-styled case and finds it in satisfactory form for execution subject to the following:

1. The insertion of word "none" in blank following 2 (a). ✓ done

2. The correcting of typographical error under "Exhibit B". In last line "Exhibit E" should be "Exhibit A". ✓ done

3. Refrigerator to be added to camp facilities should be shown in "Exhibit C" rather than "Exhibit E", inasmuch as it is equipment to be bought for project and will be owned jointly by Government and Operator. ✓ done

At the time of execution the effective date should be inserted in the contract.

Your file is returned herewith.

J. L. Hofflund
J. L. Hofflund
General Counsel

Attachments

George B. Holderer, DMA

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES 13-1110037 Expense of Defense Production
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Executive Office of the President
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION (allotment to Interior) 1951
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Washington 25, D. C. June 26, 1951

Mr. C. JOHNSON, CHIEF MINING DIVISION
U S BUREAU OF MINES
RENO, NEVADA

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NOT NECESSARY DMA-1288-X. CONTRACTS BEING PREPARED AND WILL BE FORWARDED TO YOU FOR APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE.

George B. Holderer
George B. Holderer
Chief, Tungsten Branch

Confirmation

file

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE INTERNAL ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON, D.C.

For Approval

Dear Sir:

We attach "Review of Application for Exploration Assistance" on:

Docket No. 1288-X

Commodity Tungsten

Applicant J. A. Madison, Rawhide, via Fallon, Nevada

Please expedite the consideration of this application with particular reference to the points raised in the attached "Review."

Very truly yours,

Tom Lyon

Tom Lyon, Chairman
Coordinating Committee

For Bureau of Mines

For Geological Survey

CLASS OF SERVICE

This is a full-rate Telegram or Cablegram unless its deferred character is indicated by a suitable symbol above or preceding the address.

WESTERN UNION

W. P. MARSHALL, PRESIDENT

1201

SYMBOLS

DL=Day Letter

NL=Night Letter

LT=Int'l Letter Telegram

VLT=Int'l Victory Ltr.

1951 JUN 25 PM 3

49

The filing time shown in the date line on telegrams and day letters is STANDARD TIME at point of origin. Time of receipt is STANDARD TIME at point of destination

WP116 GOVT PD=RENO NEV 25 1116A=

GEORGE B HOLDER=

DEFENSE MINERAL ADM=

REQUEST FURTHER INFORMATION ON DOCKET DMA 1288X DO NOT KNOW WHAT WORK HAS BEEN PROPOSED BY APPLICANT=

A C JOHNSON CHIEF MINING DIVISION REGION 3=

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JUN 26 1951
LANDON F. STROBEL
Executive Secretary

DMA 1288X=

E COMPANY WILL APPRECIATE SUGGESTIONS FROM ITS PATRONS CONCERNING ITS SERVICE

6/5/51

Defense Minerals Administration

Review of Application for Exploration Assistance

Docket No. 1288-X

Commodity Tungsten

Total Amount $12,000

Govt. Participation $9,000

FOR APPROVAL

Contingent on additional information from applicant, through the field team, Region III.

1. Name and Address of Applicant J. A. Madison, Rawhide, via Fallon, Nevada

2. Location of Property Scheelite Extension claim, adjoining the Nevada Scheelite mine on the east, east of Rawhide. Regent Mining District, Mineral County, Nevada.

3. Mineral or metal Tungsten- scheelite Is it listed in Section 9 of MO-5?

If not, application will be rejected.

4. Geologic probability of discovery—based on data in application (question #16, 17, 18, 20 and 22)*

Mines or Survey reports, etc., do you rate chances:

a) Good USGS and USBM report chances good.

b) Poor

c) None

d) Don't know—needs field examination

e) Is there an alternative and favorable project?
(If so, attach an explanation)

5. Is the applicant's right to the property clearly stated? ~~Yes~~
(question 5, 6, 7)*

6. If applicant is a lessee, is a copy of the lease attached?

Does the lease have sufficient time to run to cover a future

productive period?

*question numbers are those of MF-103

7. Are subordination agreements necessary? No

a) MF-201? _____

b) MF-202 _____

8. From the data presented, does the proposed project appear feasible from the point of view of:

a) Available manpower Yes

b) Equipment and supplies Yes
(Question 14 plus general knowledge of availability)*

c) Accessibility (question 12)* Yes

d) Water (question 13)* Yes

e) Power (question 13)* Yes

Is a field check needed on any of these points? No

9. Does the experience of the applicant appear to be adequate to assure that he can properly conduct the proposed project? (question 4)*
Experience adequate; applicant is capable miner.

Is a field check needed on this point? no

10. Exhibit A. (questions 21 and 22)*

a) Is the project adequately described? Yes

If not, are there sufficient data given so that you can describe the project? _____ If so, attach a draft.

b) Is there an adequate map or sketch of the proposed work?
Map and section prepared in Washington from available information.

c) Is the proposed work "exploration" under the definition of Section 8, MO-5? Yes

If not, what part of it is? _____

Is this part a worthwhile exploration project?_____

d) Are the cost estimates detailed? Yes

Can they be summarized, as on page 2 of Procedural Instruction 5-A, from the available data? Yes If so, attach a draft.

e) Are the cost estimates reasonable? Yes

f) Should more information be requested from applicant? No

g) Is a field examination necessary to complete Exhibit A? No

11. Exhibit B (question 23-d)*

a) Is it applicable? ~~Xx~~ No

b) If so, are sufficient data furnished?_____ If so, attach a draft.

c) Is a field check needed?_____

12. Exhibit C (question 23-e, f and g)*

a) Is it applicable? Yes

b) Sufficient data? No If so, attach a draft.

c) Are rents and charges reasonable? No rates given.

d) Is a field check needed?_____ Yes

13. Exhibit D (question 23-a, b)*

a) Are there sufficient data? Yes

b) Is the schedule adequate for the work proposed? Yes

c) Is the schedule excessive for the work proposed? No

d) Are the proposed wages and salaries reasonable? Yes

e) Is a field check needed? No

14. Exhibit E (question 23-c)*

a) Is it applicable? Yes

b) Sufficient data? Yes

c) Reasonable? Yes

d) Field check needed? No

15. In your judgment, can the proposed work be done in the proposed time? Yes

(All exploration must be completed within two years)

16. If field examination is not needed, attach your recommendation.

17. If field examination is needed, do you have any special instructions for the field team not indicated by your answers to the foregoing question?

The Tungsten Branch of the Supply Division approves the proposed exploration. The applicant has not furnished information necessary for Exhibit C (rental value of equipment on property) and has not listed this equipment, which certainly includes a hoist and mining equipment. In answer to MF-100, 23 g, applicant states he will accept rental valuation of equipment as "whatever is usual and equitable". It is requested that the field team interview the applicant in Reno or at the mine and work out a reasonable schedule for exhibit C. ~~The applicant should also be asked for assurance that the Scheelite extension claim is valid, and that the shaft was formerly known as the BED-A-HOW claim.~~

Reviewed by: Dwight M. Lemmon
Dwight M. Lemmon

George B. Holderer
George B. Holderer

Approved
Jim Lyon

Date June 12, 1951

97692

Exhibit A

Summary of proposed expenditures:

Rehabilitation and Repair of Buildings	$800.00
Headframe and rehabilitation of shaft	1,600.00
Sink shaft 100 feet	9,600.00
Total cost of project	$12,000.000

Exhibit B

Not Applicable

EXHIBIT D

LABOR AND SUPERVISION

2 shaft men	$14 plus board per day 120 days	$ 3,360
1 combination cook, bookkeeper, and stand-by hoistman	$225 per month 4 months	900
1 laborer	$12 plus board per day 14 days	168
1 manager (working) (will be applicant)	$350 per month 4 months	$ 1,400

EXHIBIT E

REHABILITATION AND REPAIRS

Rehabilitate bunk house	
Materials	$200
Labor	168
Rehabilitate kitchen	
Materials and 1 refrigerator	600
Total	$ 968

Memorandum

June 1, 1951

To: P. R. Bradley, Jr., Tungsten Branch, DMA

From: D. M. Lemmon, U. S. G. S.

Subject: Exploration application of J. A. Madison Rawhide, via Fallon, Nevada — DMA 1288-X Tungsten

Property: Scheelite Extension claim, adjoining the Nevada Scheelite mine. From the applicant's description, the property is probably the same as the Red-a-how claim, which Madison worked in 1942-43. Applicant states that he enclosed settlement sheets from previous shipments; these should prove the identity of the property, if the sheets can be found in DMA.

Proposal: Madison proposes to participate to the extent of $3,000 in a $12,000 project to build a headframe above a 110-foot incline shaft, repair and retimber the shaft, and sink another hundred feet.

Geology: The following information about the Red-a-how claim was obtained in 1943 by the Geological Survey:

"Workings on the Red-a-how claim are about 1,700 feet northeast of the Nevada Scheelite shaft. From an 85-foot shaft inclined 70° S., a drift extends 100 feet east at the 80-foot level, and several small stopes have been dug above the drift. J. A. Madison leased the claim in 1942-43 from W. A. Adams, and shipped 331 tons of ore containing 478 units of WO_3 to Metals Reserve Co.

"The shaft follows a tactite layer on the south side of a sliver of limestone at least 15 feet thick. The hanging wall of the shaft is granite, the footwall is limestone, and the tactite between is 5 feet or less in thickness. The surface is covered by large granite boulders which obscure bedrock. Small outcrops of tactite and limestone appear 100 feet west and 35 feet east of the shaft. The limestone thus has a proved extent of 100 feet on each side of the shaft; whether it is a pendant or connected with the limestone exposed 600 feet west of the shaft is unknown. Tactite and limestone extend beneath the bottom of the shaft. Scheelite is unevenly distributed through the tactite, portions of which contain 1.5 percent of WO_3 although the average content is probably about 0.5 percent."

Conclusions The work proposed might partially develop several thousand tons of tactite containing 0.5 to 1.5 percent of WO_3. Madison is an experienced mine lessee who operated at Fairview and possibly Wonder (gold-silver ores) before 1941. The Red-a-how probably contains considerable recoverable ore in the present workings.

Recommendation: It is recommended that this loan be approved if the data submitted is satisfactory to Prod. Ex. Div. We have previously referred DMA 474 (Rawhide Tungsten Co.) to the field team, and the field examiner may have reviewed other properties in the district. A telephone call to SF or Reno might give us some added information.

FILE COPY
SURNAME:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

June 4, 1951

Mr. J. A. Madison
Rawhide via
Fallon, Nevada

Subject: DMA-1288X
Re: Exploration Loan
Scheelite Extension Mine

My dear Mr. Madison:

Receipt is acknowledged of your application and attachments of May 29, 1951 for an exploration loan under the Defense Production Act of 1950.

The application was assigned Docket Number DMA-1288X and was referred to Mr. P. R. Bradley, Chief, Tungsten Branch on May 29, 1951.

In any future correspondence relating to your application, kindly refer to DMA-1288X.

Sincerely yours,

LANDON F. STROBEL jho

Landon F. Strobel
Executive Secretary

LFSTROBEL:js
cc: Strobel-2
Files
Bradley
Lyon

Jun 1, 1951

Memorandum

To: P. R. Bradley, Jr., Tungsten Branch, DMA

From: D. M. Lemmon, U. S. G. S.

Subject: Exploration application of J. A. Madison Rawhide, via Fallon, Nevada — DMA 1288-X Tungsten

Property: Scheelite Extension claim, adjoining the Nevada Scheelite mine. From the applicant's description, the property is probably the same as the Eed-a-how claim, which Madison worked in 1942-43. Applicant states that he enclosed settlement sheets from previous shipments; these should prove the identity of the property, if the sheets can be found in DMA.

Proposal: Madison proposes to participate to the extent of $3,000 in a $12,000 project to build a headframe above a 110-foot incline shaft, repair and retimber the shaft, and sink another hundred feet.

Geology: The following information about the Eed-a-how claim was obtained in 1943 by the Geological Survey:

"Workings on the Eed-a-how claim are about 1,700 feet northeast of the Nevada Scheelite shaft. From an 85-foot shaft inclined 70° S., a drift extends 100 feet east at the 50-foot level, and several small stopes have been dug above the drift. J. A. Madison leased the claim in 1942-43 from W. A. Adams, and shipped 331 tons of ore containing 478 units of WO_3 to Metals Reserve Co.

"The shaft follows a tactite layer on the south side of a sliver of limestone at least 15 feet thick. The hanging wall of the shaft is granite, the footwall is limestone, and the tactite between is 5 feet or less in thickness. The surface is covered by large granite boulders which obscure bedrock. Small outcrops of tactite and limestone appear 100 feet west and 35 feet east of the shaft. The limestone thus has a proved extent of 100 feet on each side of the shaft; whether it is a pendant or connected with the limestone exposed 600 feet west of the shaft is unknown. Tactite and limestone extend beneath the bottom of the shaft. Scheelite is unevenly distributed through the tactite, portions of which contain 1.5 percent of WO_3 although the average content is probably about 0.5 percent."

Conclusions The work proposed might partially develop several thousand tons of tactite containing 0.5 to 1.5 percent of WO_3. Madison is an experienced mine leaser who operated at Fairview and possibly Wonder (gold-silver ores) before 1941. The Eed-a-how probably contains considerable recoverable ore in the present workings.

Recommendation: It is recommended that this loan be approved if the data submitted is satisfactory to Prod. Exp. Div. We have previously referred DMA 474 (Rawhide Tungsten Co.) to the field team, and the field examiner may have reviewed other properties in the district. A telephone call to SF or Reno might give us some added information.

Rawhide, via
Fallon, Nevada
19 May 1951

The Defense Minerals Administration
1415 Appraisers Building
San Francisco 11, California

1288-X

Dear Sirs:

Enclosed, please, find four copies each of Form MF-100(General Technical Data) and Form MF-103 (Application for Aid for an Exploration Project), completed and signed as per instructions in MO-5, Explanatory Provisions, Section 3.

Also, settlement sheets showing previous production of the mining property, for the further exploration of which, I am applying for a government loan. After consideration of these, please, return them to me, regardless of action taken upon my application for a loan.

Very truly yours,

J. A. Madden

Enclosures

May 8, 1951

Mr. J. A. Madison
Fallon, Nevada

Dear Mr. Madison:

This is in response to your letter of April 18, 1951, answer to which has been withheld pending authorization of the Defense Minerals Administration exploration program.

Enclosed is a copy of the regulations pertaining thereto, Form MO-5, and also five copies each of Form MF-100 (General Technical Data) and Form MF-103 (Application for Aid for an Exploration Project).

If you are interested in participating, please complete the above forms in quadruplicate and return to this office.

Very truly yours,

P. R. Bradley

P. R. Bradley, Jr., Chief
Chrome-Manganese-Tungsten Branch

Enclosure

TJBallard:vwm

CC: Adm. Reading File
Mr. Strobel
Mr. Bradley
Files

Rawhide,
via Fallon, Nevada
18 April 1951

Dr. James Boyd
Defense Minerals Administrator
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir:

An article in the "Nevada State Journal" of recent date informs me that regulations and application forms for the DMA's $10,000,000 exploration program are now available. Also that loans will be made on qualifying properties on a sliding scale basis, and the applicant's share of expenses can be in the form of labor, rental of equipment owned by him, and similar contributions in kind as well as funds.

I have a tungsten property in the Rawhide Mining District, Nevada from which I shipped approximately 500 tons of $1\frac{1}{2}$% scheelite ore during World War 11, in a period of less than four months after I got into production. Cancellation of purchase contracts by the Metals Reserve Company was the determining factor in closing down the mine.

I have some equipment and my own labor to contribute but I need $10,000.00 for additional equipment, timber and hire of labor. I believe and examination of the property will show that it well justifies a loan of this amount. Please, advise me how to proceed to secure it.

Yours truly,

J. A. Madison

J. A. Madison

PROJECT No. DMA 1288X TUNGSTEN
SCHEELITE EXTENSION MINE
RAWHIDE, NEVADA

GEOLOGY OF PROPERTY AND ADJOINING PROPERTY, IN CONJUNCTION WITH PAST PRODUCTION AND MINERALIZATION IN BOTTOM OF SHAFT, INDICATES THAT FURTHER EXPLORATION WORK IS WARRANTED.

THIS WORK WOULD CONSIST OF DRIFTING ALONG CONTACT IN TACTITE ON THE 200 FOOT LEVEL AND ~~AND~~ POSSIBLY SOME DIAMOND DRILL HOLES FOR GEOLOGICAL STUDY.

THE AREA APPARENTLY HAS CONSIDERABLE FAULTING AND FOLDING.

WORK WOULD BEST BE AGREED UPON BY FIELD TEAM. WOULD PROBABLY CONSIST OF 200 TO 300 FEET OF DRIFTING AND CROSS-CUTTING AT AN ESTIMATED COST OF $30.00 PER FOOT AND 500 TO 1,000 FEET OF DIAMOND DRILLING AT AN ESTIMATED COST OF $7.00 PER FOOT.

DLC

DMA No. 1288X SCHEELITE EXTENSION MINE
RAWHIDE, NEVADA

$12,000 GRANT (75% GOVT. PARTICIPATION); CONTRACT SIGNED JUNE 30, 1951 WITH J.A. MADISON AND SUBSEQUENTLY REASSIGNED TO ROBT. J. RYSH & STEVEN CORWIN Nov 19, 1951 AND EXTENDED TWICE TO RUN UNTIL JUNE 1, 1952. Bought property: Nov 1, 1951

PROJECT TO CONSIST OF (1) REHABILITATION & REPAIR OF BUILDINGS AT ESTIMATED COST OF $800 (2) BUILDING HEADFRAME & RETIMBERING SHAFT AT EST. COST OF $1,600, AND (3) DEEPENING SHAFT FROM 100-FT. TO 200-FT. LEVEL AT COST OF $96/FT. FOR TOTAL COST OF $9,600.

PROJECT WAS REPORTED COMPLETED IN APRIL 1952 WITH NO CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT ANY DISCOVERY WAS MADE. [SOME SHOWING OF "COLORS" REPORTED BY OPERATOR; EXAMINATION BY FIELD TEAM SUGGESTED.]

TOTAL COST OF PROJECT $11,383.75 TO APRIL 30, 1952. ITEMS (1) & (2) CONSUMED $5,566.30 (AS COMPARED TO $2,400 ALLOWED IN ORIGINAL ESTIMATE) BEFORE SINKING OPERATIONS ACTUALLY COMMENCED IN SHAFT. SHAFT SINKING COMPLETED FOR $5,817.45 OR $58.17/FT. AS COMPARED TO ESTIMATED COST OF $96/FT.

FOLLOWING MATERIAL AND EQUIPMENT MENTIONED IN REPORTS, AND ITS EXISTENCE SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED TO COMPLETE LIQUIDATION OF CONTRACT: BLOWER, ENGINE (TYPE UNSPECIFIED), MINE CAR, AIR & WATER PIPE, VENTILATING PIPE, GAS REFRIGERATOR, SINKER (JACKHAMMER), MISC. BUILDING MATERIAL, BITS, STEEL

DMA No. 1288X J.A. MADISON, SCHEELITE EXTENSION MINE, RAWHIDE, NEV.

CONTRACT 1DM-E46, JUNE 30, 1951 — DURATION, 5 MONTHS (UNTIL NOV. 30, 1951) Work started July 16, 1951

AMOUNT OF GRANT $12,000 75% GOVT. PARTICIPATION

TERMS: $2400 TO BUILD HEADFRAME, RETIMBER SHAFT, & REHABILITATE BLDGS.
$9,600 TO SINK 100 FT. OF SHAFT @ $96.00/FT.

NOTE: CONTRACT REASSIGNED TO ROBT. J. RYSH & STEVEN CORWIN AND EXTENDED TO JUNE 1, 1952.

RESUME OF PROGRESS

MONTH	FEET ADVANCE THIS MONTH	FEET ADVANCE TO DATE	COST THIS MONTH	COST TO DATE	COST PER FT.
JULY 1951	BLDG. REPAIRS		972.43	972.43	
AUG.	SHAFT TIMBERING & REPAIRS		2,476.69	3,449.12	
SEPT.	"		1,243.48	4,692.60	
OCT.	SHAFT RETIMBERING & CAMP REPAIRS		873.70	5,566.30	
NOV.	CONTRACT REASSIGNED, WORK ON SHAFT & CAMP CONTINUED ON NEW OPERATORS' ACCOUNT				
DEC.					
JAN. 1952	18	18	2,002.22	7,568.52	111.20
FEB "	23	41	1,285.48	8,854.00	55.90
MARCH "	34	75	1,281.14	10,135.14	37.70
APRIL "	25	100	1,248.61	11,383.75	49.94
MAY "	18	118	1,017.87	12,401.62	56.55
	118		6,835.32		

$57.93/FT. FOR TOTAL DEPTH

SADFRAME & RETIMBERING SHAFT 1,600.00
CAMP REHABILATATION & PREPARATION 800.00
100 FT. SHAFT SINKING AT 96.00/FT. 9,600.00
12,000.00

PROJECT No. DMA 1288 X

J. MADISON, TRANSFERRED TO
RYSH & CORWIN.
SCHEELITE EXTENSION MINE

CONTRACT JUNE 30, 1951
2 AMENDMENTS

COMPLETED 118' SHAFT & STATION.

RESUME OF PERFORMANCE

	ALLOTMENT		JUNE 30, 51 THRU MAY 31, 52	% COMPLETED	
TIME JUNE 30, 1951			11 MONTHS	100	
AMOUNT CONTRACT.	12,000.00	SPENT	$ 12401.62	103.35	GOVERNMENT PAID $9,000.00 on a $12,000 CONTRACT BASIS. (75%)
CAMP REHABILATATION & PREPARATION	800.00	SPENT	$ 117.161	14.645	
SHAFT REHABILATATION	1,600.00	SPENT	$ 434.641	27.165	
SHAFT SINKING	9,600.00	SPENT	$ 683.532	7.120	
ROADS & TRAILS		SPENT	4828		

SAMPLE AT 190' 3.5' WIDE 0.21% WO_3
190'-200 SCATTERED SCHEELITE IN TACTITE

SINKING 118 FT. SHAFT COST $683.532 = $57.93 PER FT.
INCLUDES EQUIPMENT [illegible]

	SHAFT SINKING 118 FT.	COST.	COST FT.	PERCENT	
LABOR	INCLUDES STATION AT 200 LEVEL	491.766	41.67	71.93	PAYROLL + INSURANCE. NO SUPERVISION CHARGE
EQUIPMENT	INCLUDES [illegible]	14.950	1.27	2.19	
SUPPLIES		176.816	14.99	25.88	
	TOTAL,	683.532	57.93	100.00	

HEADFRAME & RETIMBERING SHAFT 1,600.00
CAMP REHABILITATION & 800.00
100 FT. SHAFT SINKING AT $96.00/FT. 9,600.00
12,000.00

FINAL REPORT. 12,401.62

PROJECT No. DMA 1288X

J.A. MADISON. TRANSFERRED TO
ROBERT J. RYSH & STEVEN CORWIN.
SCHEELITE EXTENSION MINE
RAWHIDE, NEVADA.

CONTRACT JUNE 30, 1951
$12,000.00
2 TIME AMENDMENTS.

MONTHLY RESUME OF PERFORMANCE.

MONTH.		FT. ADVANCE	FT. ADVANCE TO DATE	MONTHLY COST.	COST TO DATE	WAGES	EQUIPMENT	SUPPLIES	OTHER.
JULY 1951	SHAFT REHABILITATION.			3214	3214	2414		800	
AUGUST 1951	" "			240963	244177	96800	48767	89710	5686
SEPT. 1951	" "			123048	367225	52280	39231	29637	1900
[illegible] 1951	" "			67416	434641	35590	15025	12341	4460
OCT. 1951	SHAFT SINKING.	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
JAN. 1952	" "	18	18	200222	200222	82700	14950	90003	12569
FEB. 1952	" "	23	41	128548	328770	90460		29428	8660
MARCH 1952	" "	34	75	128114	456884	95300		23739	9075
APRIL 1952	" "	25	100	124861	581745	95300		15898	13663
MAY 1952	" "	18	100+18	101787	683532	75700		17748	8339
						439460	14950	176816	52306
JULY 1951	CAMP REHABILITATION & PREPARATION.			89201	89201	28108	30000	28115	2978
AUGUST 1951	" " "			6706	95907		3300		3406
SEPT. 1951	" " "			1300	97207		1300		
OCT. 1951	" " "			19954	117161	11290	8516		148
JULY [illegible]	[illegible] TRAILS			[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
JULY 1951	ROADS & TRAILS			4828	4828	4828			

DMA 1288-X


SEC. 1 SW 1/4
SEC. 1 SE 1/4
R 32 E
T 13 N
SEC. 1
SEC. 12
SEC. 6
SEC. 7
SEC. 12, SW 1/4
SEC. 12, SE 1/4
Tertiary volcanic rocks
GLORY HOLE
YANKEE GIRL MINE
EEE-A-HOW CLAIM
SCHEELITE EXTENSION (EED-A-HOW) SHAFT
J.A. Madison
U.S.M.M. #227
NEVADA SCHEELITE SHAFT
HOOPER NO. 1 WORKINGS
HOOPER NO. 2 WORKINGS
5400
5300
5200
5100
5000
NEVADA SCHEELITE MINE AREA
REGENT DISTRICT, MINERAL COUNTY, NEVADA
N
Qal ALLUVIUM
Gr GRANITE
Ml META LIMESTONE
Msv META SEDIMENTARY & VOLCANIC ROCKS
Msvh - CHIEFLY HORNFELS
Msvd - " METADOLERITE
Msvv - " METAVOLCANICS
Msva - " AMPHIBOLITE
Tc TACTITE
ha HYDROTHERMALLY ALTERED ROCKS
FAULT
STRIKE AND DIP OF BEDDING
DRAINAGE
SCALE
0 200 400 600 800 FEET
CONTOUR INTERVAL 100 FEET
TOPOGRAPHIC BASE BY STANFORD GEOLOGICAL SURVEY; 1942
GEOLOGY BY K. KRAUSKOPF, R. STOPPER
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
JUNE 1943

DMA-1288 X


SEC. 1 SW 1/4
SEC. 1 SE 1/4
R 32 E
R 33 E
T 13 N
SEC. 1
SEC. 12
SEC. 6
SEC. 7
Tertiary volcanic rocks
GLORY HOLE
YANKEE GIRL MINE
EEE-A-HOW CLAIM
Scheelite Extension Shaft
U.S.M.M. #227
NEVADA SCHEELITE SHAFT
HOOPER NO. 1 WORKINGS
HOOPER NO. 2 WORKINGS
SEC. 12, SW 1/4
SEC. 12, SE 1/4
NEVADA SCHEELITE MINE AREA
REGENT DISTRICT, MINERAL COUNTY, NEVADA
N
Qal ALLUVIUM
Gr GRANITE
Ml META LIMESTONE
Msv META SEDIMENTARY & VOLCANIC ROCKS
Msvh - CHIEFLY HORNFELS
Msvd - " METADOLERITE
Msvv - " METAVOLCANICS
Msva - " AMPHIBOLITE
Tc TACTITE
ha HYDROTHERMALLY ALTERED ROCKS
FAULT
STRIKE AND DIP OF BEDDING
DRAINAGE
SCALE
0 200 400 600 800 FEET
CONTOUR INTERVAL 100 FEET
TOPOGRAPHIC BASE BY STANFORD GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, 1942
GEOLOGY BY K. KRAUSKOPF, R. STOPPER
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
JUNE 1943

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

IdmE46
DMEA 1288
(TUNGSTEN)



SECTION THROUGH SHAFT



COMPOSITE PLAN

200 LEVEL
Shaft bulkhead at 175 level.
200 level not mapped – geology
as described by Mr. Rysh

EXPLANATION

t — Tactite and silicated marble

Tactite containing scheelite

m — Marble

g — Granite

15 — Contact, showing dip
(Dashed where approximately located)

62 — Fault, showing dip
(Dashed where approximately located)

30 — Shear zone, showing dip

Geology by Paul Bateman and Dallas Peck, August 25, 1952

SCHEELITE EXTENSION MINE, MINERAL COUNTY, NEVADA

20 0 20 40 Feet