Docket Copy
Surname:
Anderson
F Kearns
Nelson
Guhon
Selfridge

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

600

SEP 22 1955

Mr. T. R. Burleson

Spruce Pine, North Carolina

Re: Docket No. DMEA-3917 - Mica
T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson
and Russell Burleson
Andie Pitman Property
Mitchell County, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Burleson:

Your application for aid for an exploration project and other reports available to us in Washington relating to the subject property have been reviewed.

Projects approved by the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration must, in its judgment, show definite promise of yielding materials of acceptable grade in quantities that will significantly improve the mineral supply position for the National Defense Program.

Careful study of all our information indicates to us that the probability of disclosing significant reserves of mica by your proposed program is not sufficiently promising to justify Government participation. We regret to advise you, under these circumstances, that your application for exploration assistance is denied.

We wish to thank you for your interest in the Defense Minerals Program and for bringing your property to our attention.

Sincerely yours,

Frank E. Johnson (for)

ACTING Administrator

WCAnderson:gad 9/21/55
Copy to: Admr. R. File
Docket
Field Team, Region V
Operating Committee
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk
Mr. Anderson

R.C.S.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

J. L. Krause

September 21, 1955

Memorandum

To: File

From: Walter C. Anderson, Nonmetallic Minerals Division

Subject: Evaluation of application and field examination report.
Docket No. DMEA-3917 - Mica
T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson and Russell Burleson
Audie Pitman Property
Mitchell County, North Carolina

Denial of application is recommended.

Mica: Strategic quality, green-colored, clean, free-splitting and small in size. Some cracked and reeved and some flat "A".

Pegmatite: Conformable pegmatite 1/4 to 1-1/2 feet thick, exposed for a distance of 250 feet along strike. Zoned and unzoned in different places along strike. Enclosing country rock in biotite gneiss. A second parallel pegmatite 175 feet south, about one foot thick and of similar composition.

Old workings: None.

Method of exploring: The applicant proposes to sink 75 feet of shaft and 100 feet of drifts at a cost of $3,480.00.

Recommendation: The Field Team recommends denial of the application because it is very doubtful if the pegmatite is thick enough to sustain an economic mining operation. There is no evidence that the body thickens with depth. Has no tendency to thicken along strike where it is exposed for 250 feet. The Nonmetallic Minerals Division concurs as do the commodity specialists of the Geological Survey and the Bureau of Mines.

Walter C. Anderson
Walter C. Anderson
Nonmetallic Minerals Division

RECEIVED
SEP 15 1955

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

September 15, 1955

Memorandum

To: Nonmetallic Minerals Division
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: Chief, Branch of Ceramic and Fertilizer Materials

Subject: DMEA-3917 (mica)

Applicant: T. Johnson, T. R. Burleson, R. Burleson

Name and Location of Property: Audie Pitman Property, Mitchell Co., N. C.

Date of Field Team Report: 8/24/55

Date Rec'd. by Br. of Ceramic & Fertilizer Materials: 9/13/55

Field Team Recommendation: Recommend denial of application.

Comments:

1. Possibility for discovery of a worthwhile deposit of strategic mica is considered poor.

Recommendation: I concur with the recommendation of the field team for denial of this contract.

W. F. Dietrich

W. F. Dietrich

IN REPLY REFER TO:

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

September 12, 1955

RECEIVED
SEP 13 1955

Re: DMEA 3917
T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson,
and Russell Burleson
Audie Pitman property
Mitchell Co., N. C.
$3,480 - Mica

Memorandum

To: L. G. Houk, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: N. E. Nelson, U. S. Geological Survey

Subject: Review of Field Team Report.

The applicants proposed 75 feet of shafting and 100 feet of drifting on this pegmatite. The application made no mention of the width of the pegmatite, but stated 50 pounds of mica had been recovered from "very little working".

The conclusions of the examiners are fitting, in view of the scarcity of mica in the outcrop of the narrow pegmatite uncovered by bulldozing.

I concur with the denial recommendation of the Field Team.

N. E. Nelson

N. E. Nelson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
ROOM 1025 C.D.G.
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.
KNOXVILLE 2, TENN.

RECEIVED
SEP - 8 1955

September 6, 1955

Memorandum

To: Operating Committee, DMEA - Washington

From: DMEA Field Team, Region V - Knoxville, Tennessee

Subject: Field Examination Report - DMEA-3917 (mica) Audie Pitman Property, Mitchell County, N. C.

Transmitted are four copies of the subject report.

The Field Team agrees with the examiners' recommendation for denial of this application.

Robert A. Laurence
Robert A. Laurence
EXECUTIVE OFFICER
DMEA REGION V

V. J. Lynch
V. J. Lynch, Member
U. S. Bureau of Mines

Reviewed by
DMEA OPERATING COMMITTEE
9-12-55
(date)

RECEIVED
SEP - 8 1955

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

REPORT OF EXAMINATION BY FIELD TEAM, REGION V

On

DMEA-3917, (mica) AUDIE PITMAN PROPERTY
Mitchell County, North Carolina

By

K. H. Teague and D. H. Amos, Geologists
U. S. Geological Survey

and

L. E. Shirley, Mining Engineer
U. S. Bureau of Mines

August 24, 1955

Replaced by
DMEA CHARLOTTE OFFICE
9-12-55
(1980)

FIELD EXAMINATION REPORT
On
DMEA-3917 (mica) AUDIE PITMAN PROPERTY

9/21/55

Applicants: T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson and Russell Burleson, c/o T. R. Burleson, Spruce Pine, North Carolina.

Recent work on this property has exposed a newly discovered pegmatite for about 250 feet along its strike. Mica of strategic grade is present but it is very doubtful if the pegmatite is thick enough to sustain an economic mining operation; thus, it is recommended that the application for exploration be denied.

The Prospect on the Audie Pitman property is on the ridge west of Beaver Creek and 1.4 miles due north of Spruce Pine, Mitchell County, N. C.

The property was examined on August 24, 1955. Messrs. T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson and Russell Burleson accompanied the examiners on the inspection which required two hours.

The nearest road access is about 0.5 miles from the property. Difficult terrain would make construction of a road to the Prospect expensive. No surface facilities are present nor is water or power readily available.

A conformable pemgatite 1/4 to 1 1/2 feet thick is exposed almost continusouly for about 250 feet along its strike by natural outcrops and several small pits. It strikes north-south and dips about 10° to the east. The body consists of plagioclase, potash feldspar, quartz, muscovite and garnet. Compostition varies considerably along strike with local absence of potash feldspar, especially on the south end and local abundance of massive quartz to the north. In places the pegmatite is zoned having a medial quartz core; in other places no zoning is apparent. The enclosing country rock is biotite schist and gneiss. A second pegmatite which is parallel to the one described above and about 175 feet to the south has been exposed by two small pits.

It is about 1 foot thick and is similar composition.

The mica is green-colored, clear, free-splitting and of small sizes. Some is cracked and reeved and a small amount if flat "A". Most is strategic quality. The mica is more abundant and of better quality in those portions of the pegmatite free of potash feldspar.

It is the opinion of the field examiners that this deposit could not be mined economically because of the thin character of the pegmatite. There is no evidence suggesting that the body would thicken down dip as the applicants believe, as it is now exposed for considerable distance along the strike without any tendency to thicken. It is recommended that exploration assistance be denied.

August 24, 1955.

H. H. Teague and D. H. Amos, Geologists
U. S. Geological Survey

L. E. Shirley, Mining Engineer
U. S. Bureau of Mines

z

Docket File
Surname:
Anderson
J. L. Kaufman
Robin
Martin
H. E. Johnson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C. 600

AUG 12 1955

Mr. Robert A. Laurence
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region V
Room 13, Post Office Bldg.
Knoxville 2, Tennessee

Subject: Docket No. [illegible]-3917 - Miss T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson and Russell Burleson
Audie Pitman Property
Mitchell County, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Laurence:

Please review the subject application and arrange for a field examination if you deem it necessary.

Copies of memoranda from Mr. H. E. Nelson, dated August 3, 1955, and Mr. W. F. Dietrich, dated August 8, 1955, Members of the Mica Commodity Committee, are enclosed.

We are returning the applicants' lease and two executed sets of six copies each of the Owner's Consent to Lien. You will note that there is a difference in the signatures of Pearl Pitman on the lease and the Owner's Consents to Lien. If a second party signed for Pearl Pitman, a copy of the power of attorney should be furnished. Please investigate and if a second party signed, have the proper notation made on the document for Pearl Pitman. Also note the discrepancy in the spelling of Pitman. In either case please take the necessary steps to clear up this question.

If your office recommends an exploration program approved by the applicants, please furnish the necessary information to draft a contract. In this connection we will require nine copies of a map showing the proposed work and pegmatite exposures in relation to the property lines.

Sincerely yours,

W. S. Martin
ACTING Chairman, Operating Committee (SHB)

Approved:

/s/ Robert W. Geehan (SHB)
Member, Bureau of Mines

Thor H. Kiilsgaard (SHB)
Member, Geological Survey

Enclosures 17

WCAnderson:pk 8/11/55
Copy to: Admr. R. File
Docket
Operating Committee
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk
Mr. Anderson

Docket Copy
Surname:
Anderson
F. Kearns
Geehan
Kiilsgaard
Martin
Hofman

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C. 600

AUG 12 1955

Mr. T. R. Burleson

Spruce Pine, North Carolina

Re: Docket No. DMEA-3917 - Mica
T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson
and Russell Burleson
Audie Pitman Property
Mitchell County, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Burleson:

Your application for assistance in exploring the subject property has been reviewed by the Nonmetallic Minerals Division of the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration and has been referred to Mr. Robert A. Laurence, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region V, Room 13, Post Office Building, Knoxville 2, Tennessee, for further consideration and possibly a field examination.

The Regional Office will contact you at an early date if an examination is to be made. Any assistance you may give the members of the Field Team during the examination will be appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

C. O. Mittendorf (Pw)

Administrator

WCAnderson:gad 8/10/55
Copy to: Admr. R. File
Docket
Operating Committee
Field Team, Region V
Mr. Kiilsgaard, 5222
Mr. Dietrich, 3061
Mr. Houk
Mr. Anderson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
ROOM 1 POST OFFICE BLDG.
KNOXVILLE 2, TENN.

RECEIVED
AUG - 8 1955

August 4, 1955

Memorandum

To: Operating Committee, DMEA - Washington

From: Executive Officer - DMEA - Region V

Subject: DMEA-3917, T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson & Russell Burleson, Spruce Pine, N. C. Audie Pitman Property, Mitchell County. Signed Owner's Consent to Lien forms.

Transmitted are six signed copies of forms MF-203, on the subject application. Please refer to our transmittal letter of July 25.

Robert A. Laurence
Robert A. Laurence

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

August 8, 1955

Memorandum

RECEIVED
AUG - 9 1955

To: Nonmetallic Minerals Division
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: Chief, Branch of Ceramic and Fertilizer Materials

Subject: DMEA - 3917 (mica)

Applicant: T. R. Burleson, T. Johnson, R. Burleson

Name and Location of Property: Audie Pitman Property
Mitchell County, North Carolina

Date Application Rec'd. by Br. of Ceram. and Fert. Materials: July 27, 1955

Comments:

1. Although it would be desirable for the applicant to furnish more complete information, it appears that a visit by an examiner would furnish more useful data.

2. This deposit appears to have possibilities for the development of strategic mica.

Recommendation:

It is recommended that the field team visit this mine when in that vicinity to ascertain if an exploration project is justified. If so, the field team and applicant should agree on a definite plan of exploration on a unit-cost basis.

W. F. Dietrich

W. F. Dietrich

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Mr a

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

August 3, 1955

Re: DMEA 3917
T. R. Burleson, et al.
Audie Pitman Mine
Mitchell Co., N. C.
$3,480 - Mica

Memorandum

To: L. G. Houk, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: N. E. Nelson, U. S. Geological Survey

Subject: Review of Application.

If 50 pounds of good mica has been recovered from a "very little working," the applicant probably has a showing which, at least, deserves stripping.

That such is the case is for the examiners to say and referral to the Field Team recommended.

N. E. Nelson

N. E. Nelson

BUREAU OF MINES
WASHINGTON, D. C.

AUG 4 8 54 AM '55

July 28, 1955

Memorandum

To: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region V

From: Chief, Operation's Control and Statistics Division

Subject: Assignment of Docket Number

There is listed below the assigned docket number to an application recently received from Region V.

DMEA-3917 T.R. Burleson, Troy Johnson, & Russell Burleson

Robert E. Adams,
Chief, Operation's Control
and Statistics Division

Mr. T.R. Burleson
Spruce Pine, North Carolina

July 28, 1955
Subject: DMEA-3917
Re: Exploration Assistance

Dear Mr. Burleson:

The receipt of your application dated July 14, 1955 for exploration assistance under the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, is hereby acknowledged.

Your application has been assigned Docket Number DMEA-3917 and referred to the Nonmetallic Minerals Division.

Kindly identify all future correspondence relating to your application by this docket number.

Sincerely yours,

Robert E. Adams, Chief
Operations Control and
Statistics Division

60932

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
ROOM 205 POST OFFICE BLDG.
WASHINGTON 25, D.C.
KNOXVILLE 2, TENN.

RECEIVED
JUL 27 1955

July 25, 1955

Memorandum

To: Operating Committee, DMEA- Washington

From: DMEA Field Team, DMEA - Region V - Knoxville, Tennessee

Subject: New Application from T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson & Russell Burleson, Spruce Pine, North Carolina
Audie Pitman Property, Mitchell County, N. C. (mica)

Enclosed are 3 copies of the subject application, received in this office today. We are keeping the fourth copy for our use. A copy of the lease and six signed copies of the Consent to Lien(on the old form) are enclosed.

Also enclosed are copies of our letter to the applicant requesting a more specific location of the proposed work with reference to the lease boundaries, and request the Consent to Lien on the new form.

Robert A. Laurence
Robert A. Laurence
EXECUTIVE OFFICER
DMEA REGION V

V. J. Lynch
V. J. Lynch, Member
DMEA -Bureau of Mines

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
ROOM 1025 POST OFFICE BLDG.
KNOXVILLE 2, TENN.

RECEIVED
JUL 27 1955

July 25, 1955

Dr. Warren L. Pritchard

Spruce Pine, North Carolina

Dear Dr. Pritchard:

The application of [illegible] Burleson, Troy Johnson and Russell Burleson, for aid in exploring for strategic metals on the Audie Pitman property in Mitchell County, N. C., has been received, and has been forwarded to our office in Denver in accordance with the established procedure. We will notify the applicants in advance if an examination is to be made.

It will be helpful if you can send us a sketch showing where, in the opinion of the owner, the proposed work is to be done. Unfortunately, the consent to mine is on the old form which is no longer acceptable. Please have the contract seven copies of the new form signed by Audie and Pearl Pitman and returned to us. The seventh copy is for Mr. & Mrs. Pitman.

As requested, I enclose 12 copies of form MT-103 and 12 additional copies of form MT-203.

Very truly yours,

Gilbert J. Lawrence

GJL/am
Enc:
Sketch
12 c
Ltr.-Con-3

DEF-103
(Revised April 1952)

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Form Approved.
Budget Bureau No. 42-R1035.2.

RECEIVED
JUL 27 1955

APPLICATION FOR AID IN AN EXPLORATION PROJECT, PURSUANT TO DMEA ORDER 1, UNDER THE DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT OF 1950, AS AMENDED

Not to be filled in by applicant

Docket No. 3917
Metal or Mineral Mica
Date Received 7-27-55
Estimated Cost $3,480
Participation (Government %)

INSTRUCTIONS

1. *Name of applicant.*—(*a*) State here your full legal name, in the form in which you will wish to contract, and your mailing address: T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson & Russell Burleson
Spruce Pine, North Carolina

(*b*) If other than an individual, add to your name above whether a corporation, partnership, etc., and the name of the State in which incorporated or otherwise organized. Partnership (as above)

(*c*) If a corporation, add to above statement, titles, names and addresses of officers.

(*d*) If a partnership, add to the above statement the names and addresses of all partners.

2. *General.*—Read DMEA Order 1, "Government Aid in Defense Exploration Projects," before completing this application. Submit this application and all accompanying papers in quadruplicate (four copies), with your name and address on each sheet of the application and on all accompanying papers. Where sufficient space is not provided on the form for all required information, state it on an accompanying paper, with a reference in each case to the instruction to which it refers by number. Comply with all applicable instructions; or, if not applicable, so state. File the application with Defense Minerals Exploration Administration, Department of the Interior, Washington 25, D. C., or with the nearest field executive officer thereof.

3. *Applicant's property rights.*—(*a*) State the legal description of the land upon which you wish to explore, including all land which you possess or control that may be benefited by the exploration, and excluding any land or interest in land which is not to be included in the exploration project contract On the waters of Beaver Creek BEGINNING on a marked hickory in the Audie Pitman & W. A. Gunter line and runs thence south 990 ft. to a mahogany; thence east 561 ft to a dead black pine; thence a northerly direction about 1100 ft. to BEGINNING and containing 5 acres.

(*b*) State any mine name by which the property is known. None-new project.

(*c*) State your interest in the land, whether owner, lessee, purchaser under contract, or otherwise
Lease-attached hereto.

(*d*) If you are not the owner, submit with this application a copy of the lease, contract, or other document under which you control the property. Attached hereto

(*e*) If you own the land, describe any liens or encumbrances on it

(*f*) If the land consists of unpatented claims, add to the description above, the book and page numbers for each recorded location notice.

4. *Physical description.*—(*a*) Describe in detail any mining or exploration operations which have been or now are being conducted upon the land, including existing mine workings and production facilities. State your interest, if any, in such operations. Also describe accessibility of mine workings for examination purposes. See attached sheet.

(*b*) State past and current production, and ore reserves, if any, giving quantities and grades. See attached sheet

(*c*) Describe the geologic features of the property, including mineralization, type of deposit (vein, bedded, etc.), and your reasons for wishing to explore. Illustrate with maps or sketches. Send with your application (but not necessarily as a part of it) any geologic or engineering report, assay maps, or other technologic information you may have, indicating on each whether you require its return to you. Vein.

(*d*) State the facts with respect to the accessibility of the project: Access roads, distances to shipping, supply and residence points. about ½ mi. from Spruce Pine, NC-easilly accessible-Beaver Creek Road.

(*e*) State the availability of manpower, materials, supplies, equipment, water, and power.
Manpower, materials, supplies, equipment & power all available as required.

16—66551-1

5. *The exploration project.*—(a) State the mineral or minerals for which you wish to explore Green Mica.

(*b*) Describe fully the proposed work, including a map or sketch of the property showing a plan (and cross sections if needed) of any present mine workings, and the location of the proposed exploration work as related to such features as contacts, veins, ore-bearing beds, etc. See attached sheet.

(*c*) The work will start within 30 days and be completed within 3 months from the date of an exploration project contract.

(*d*) State the operating experience and background of the applicant with relation to the ability to carry out such exploration project, and also that of the person or persons who will supervise the operations. Several years.

6. *Estimate of costs.*—Furnish a detailed estimate of the costs of the proposed work (you will have to use a separate sheet), under the following headings. Add the totals under all headings to give the estimated total cost of the project:

(*a*) *Independent contracts.*—(Note.—If the applicant does not intend to let any of the work to contractors, write "none" after this item. To the extent that the work is to be contracted, do not repeat the cost of the contract-work in subsequent items.) State the cost of any proposed independent contracts for the performance of all or any part of the work, expressed in terms of units of work (such as per foot of drilling, per foot of drifting, per hour of bulldozer operations, per cubic yard of material moved, etc.). See attached sheet for estimate of costs.

(*b*) *Labor, supervision, consultants.*—Include an itemized schedule of numbers, classes and rates of wages, salaries or fees for necessary labor, supervision and engineering and geological consultants.

(*c*) *Operating materials and supplies.*—Furnish an itemized list, including items of equipment costing less than $50 each, and power, water and fuel.

(*d*) *Operating equipment.*—Furnish an itemized list of any operating equipment to be rented, purchased, or which is owned and will be furnished by the Operator, with the estimated rental, purchase price, or suggested use-allowance based on present value, as the case may be.

(*e*) *Rehabilitation and repairs.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary initial rehabilitation or repairs of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and movable operating equipment, now owned by the Operator and which will be devoted to the exploration project.

(*f*) *New buildings, improvements, installations.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of any necessary buildings, fixed improvements, or installations to be purchased, installed or constructed for the benefit of the exploration project.

(*g*) *Miscellaneous.*—Furnish a detailed list showing the cost of repairs to and maintenance of operating equipment (not including initial rehabilitation or repairs of the Operator's equipment), analytical work, accounting, workmen's compensation and employers' liability insurance, and payroll taxes.

(*h*) *Contingencies.*—Give an estimate of any necessary allowances for contingencies not included in the costs stated above.

NOTE.—No items of general overhead, corporate management, interest, taxes (other than payroll and sales taxes), or any other indirect costs, or work performed or costs incurred before the date of the contract, should be included in the estimate of costs.

7. (*a*) Are you prepared to furnish your share of the cost of the proposed project in accordance with the regulations on Government participation (Sec. 7, DMEA No. 1)?

(*b*) How do you propose to furnish your share of the costs?

[x] Money [ ] Use of equipment owned by you [ ] Other

Explain in detail on acompanying paper.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, whether as an individual, corporate officer, partner, or otherwise, both in his own behalf and acting for the applicant, certifies that the information set forth in this form and accompanying papers is correct and complete, to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Dated July 14th, 1955

T. R. Burleson, Troy Johnson & Russell Burleson
(Applicant)

By T. R. Burleson

Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), Section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—66551-1

RECEIVED
JUL 27 1955

4(a). There has been very little working as of this date except some minor hand operations. About 50 pounds of mica has already been recovered.
(b) About 50 pounds as stated above.

5(b). Propose to do about 75 feet of shafting and about 100 feet of drifting. This mine is located near the Sullins Rock Mine.

6. Estimate of Costs:

Road expense----------	$200.00
Compressor rental 3 mos. @ $75	225.00
Hoist rental 3 mos. @ $30	90.00
Explosives	450.00
Steel	275.00
Gasoline	300.00
Tool House	100.00
Supplies	150.00
LABOR:	
3 employees @$1.00 hourly-each 40 hours per week for 12 weeks----------	1440.00
Contingencies----------	250.00
TOTAL----------	$3480.00