UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

August 7, 1958

8/11	J.J.	225
11	[illegible]	100
"	[illegible]	110
"	[illegible]	800
	~~[illegible]~~	500

Memorandum

To: Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Semiannual Report of Certified Project for the period January 1, 1958 through June 30, 1958

DMEA Docket 113 (Lead-Zinc)
Contract Idm-E 265
New Quincy Mining Co. (New Quincy mine)
Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and three copies of the subject report prepared in conformance with instructions contained in memorandum of January 6, 1956 from this office, Memorandum 29, and Special Notice in Minutes of Operating Committee Meeting No. 692.

[illegible]

Alternate Acting Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region III

Enclosures
dz

cc: Semiannual Report File E 265
DMEA/SLC
Harshman
Chron.

DMEA, REGION III

Statement in Lieu of Semiannual Report

Reporting Period January 1, 1958 through June 30, 1958
Docket and Contract Number DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265
Operator New Quincy Mining Co.

The project has been inactive during the reporting period ☐

Pertinent data relative to the subject contract has remained unchanged since the last report ☒

Remarks: On inspection trip of July 23, 1958 it was learned that the mine was leased to Paul Gorlinski June 15, 1958. Mr. Gorlinski plans to follow mineralized showings on the 1200 sub level on the South fissure. Considerable rehabilitation work has been necessary on the main haulage level, the raise, and the 1,200 level. It is expected that a small production of ore will be made soon.

Signed M. H. Howes
M. H. Howes

Date August 4, 1958

July 16, 1958

Memorandum

To: Mr. Wingfield N. Chamberlain
Division of Operation Control & Statistics

From: Director, Division of Base Metals

Subject: Semi-Annual Reports of Certified projects for the period January 1, 1958 thru June 30, 1958.

The following projects were inactive during the cited period.

Docket No.	Contract No.	Name
DMEA-113	Idm-E265	New Quincy Mining Company
DMEA-2546	Idm-E676	American Zinc Company of Tennessee
DMEA-2707	Idm-E429	Belville Gold Mines Limited (Virginia Mining Corporation)
DMEA-4119	Idm-E936	The New Jersey Zinc Company
DMEA-4242	Idm-E1009	Privateer Mining Company
DMEA-4681	Idm-E1102	The New Jersey Zinc Company

WRG/er
Copy to: Dockets (6)
Admr R File
Chron.

W. R. Griswold

W. R. Griswold

DMEA Form 7
(12-56)

OFFICIAL FILE COPY

Date	Surname	Code

500

February 24, 1958

Memorandum

To: The Administrator

From: Director, Division of Base Metals

Subject: Summary of Certified Projects.

We have reviewed the 92 certified projects in the Division of Base Metals with a view of sorting out the "weak sisters".

The following 16 certifications appear to have little actual value, for the reasons stated.

It is probable that many of the other certifications will never go into production, yet you and I are both old enough to know that the such-marginal ores today are the normal millheads of tomorrow. Obviously, much will depend upon the trend of the metal market over the next six or eight years.

CERTIFICATIONS OF DUBIOUS VALUE

Docket No.	Contract No.	Name
DMEA- 86	Idm-E 7	Combined Metals Reduction Company 1,000 tons - Small ore pod, practically worked out.
DMEA- 113	Idm-E265	New Quincy Mining Company Small ore pod - about 100 tons, substantially worked out.
DMEA- 420	Idm-E 10	Bristol Silver Mines, Company 2,865 tons - 15% Mn. Small bunch of low grade Manganese material of no apparent value.

8623

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

For the Period January 1 - June 30, 1957

Base Metals Division Date February 13, 1958

Semi-Annual Report - Certified Project Date

DMEA No. 113 Operator New Quincy Mining Co. Contract No. Idm-E 265

Ore Reserves

Ore Estimates	Tons	Analysis
Original (DMEA)	No Estimate	
Increase (Operator)	97	
Total		
Decrease (Production)	97	
Net Available		

Investment

Shafts

Size	Feet	Cost

Winzes

Size	Feet	Cost

Drifts & Crosscuts

Size	Feet	Cost
5'x7'	175	$6,300.00

Raises

Size	Feet	Cost
5'x10'	250	$18,750.00

Drilling

Type & Size	Feet	Cost

Rehabilitation

Description	Cost

Facilities

Mine Plant

Description	Cost

Mill

Description	Cost

Roads

Description	Cost

Remarks

W. R. Griswold/er

100338

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

To JANUARY 1, 1956

Base Metals J.W.G. Division — Date **June 6, 1956**

Semi-Annual Report - Certified Project — Date **February 25, 1956**

DMEA No. **113** — Operator - **New Quincy Mining Company** — Contract No. Idm-E265

Ore Reserves

Ore Estimates	Tons	Analysis
Original (DMEA)		
Increase (Operator)	63	20.6 oz. Ag, 8.7% Pb, 7.1% Zn.
Total		
Decrease (Production)	33	
Net Available		

Investment

Shafts

Size	Feet	Cost

Winzes

Size	Feet	Cost

Drifts & Crosscuts

Size	Feet	Cost
5'x7'	175	$6300.(est

Raises

Size	Feet	Cost
5'x10'	120	$9,000. (Est.)
5'x10'	130	$9,750. (est.)

Drilling

Type & Size	Feet	Cost

Rehabilitation

Description	Cost

Facilities

Mine Plant

Description	Cost

Mill

Description	Cost

Roads

Description	Cost

Remarks

When the Company resumed work in the mine August 3, 1955, a raising project on the "B" or North fissure was started to reach a pod of ore, which is located approximately 240 feet above the 1300-foot level. It is estimated that the pod contains 50 tons of minable ore. The raise has been advanced 120 feet.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
OFFICE OF MINERALS EXPLORATION

Building 20 - Federal Center
Denver 25, Colorado

May 5, 1960

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
O. M. E.
RECEIVED MAY 9 1960

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
V-9	gad	220
"	[illegible]	110
5/10	[illegible]	800
"	gla	500
		225

Memorandum

To: Chief, Division of Field Operations, OME
Washington 25, D. C.

From: Acting Field Officer, OME, Region III

Subject: Report of Certified Project for the period 1/1/59 through 12/31/59

DMEA Docket 113
Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company (New Quincy Mine), Wasatch and Summit counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and one copy of the subject report.

Hugh M. Connors

Hugh M. Connors

Enclosure

cc: Semiannual Report File Idm-E265
Chron

OFFICIAL FILE COPY O. M. E. RECEIVED MAY 9 1960		
DATE	INITIALS	CODE

OFFICE OF MINERALS EXPLORATION

REGION III

Statement in Lieu of ~~Interim~~ Annual Report of Certified Project

Reporting Period January 1, 1959, to April 25, 1960

Docket and Contract Number DMA-113, Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc)

Operator New Quincy Mining Co. (New Quincy mine)
Wasatch and Summit counties, Utah

The project has been inactive during the reporting period [X]

Pertinent data relative to the subject contract has remained unchanged since the last report [ ]

Remarks: Mr. Marvin Barnes, Chief Engineer-Geologist, United Park City Mines Company, told the writer that the captioned property was inactive during the reporting period, and that Mr. Nick Floor, President of the New Quincy Mining Company, died a few months ago.

Signed T M Romslo
T. M. Romslo

Date April 28, 1960

OFFICIAL FILE COPY O. M. E. RECEIVED MAR 4 1959		
DATE	INITIALS	CODE
3-4	C	220
"	[illegible]	110
3/5	[illegible]	800
3/12	wpb	500
		~~225~~

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Office of Minerals Exploration
224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

February 27, 1959

Memorandum

To: Chairman, Operating Committee, OME

From: Executive Officer, OME Field Team, Region III

Subject: Semiannual Report of Certified Project for the period July 1, 1958 through December 31, 1958

DMEA Docket 113 (Lead-Zinc)
Contract Idm-E 265 (New Quincy Mine) New Quincy Mining Company
Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and three copies of the subject report prepared in conformance with instructions contained in memorandum of January 6, 1956 from this office, Memorandum 29, and Special Notice in Minutes of Operating Committee Meeting No. 692.

J. W. Townsend

J. W. Townsend

Enclosures
HMC/ff

cc: Semiannual Report File Idm-E265
Harshman
Howes
Chron.

Semiannual Report of Certified Projects

Reporting Period July 1, 1958 through December 31, 1958

Docket and Contract Number DMEA-113, Idm-E265

Operator New Quincy Mining Company

Commodity Lead-Zinc

Mine Name New Quincy Mine

County and State Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Date of Contract February 28, 1952

Date of Certification April 26, 1954

Expiration Date of Royalty Payments February 28, 1962

Date of Examination for this Report January 19, 1959

Total Amount of Contract $ 50,700.00

Total Expenditures under Contract $ 50,177.93

Amount of Government Participation at 50 % $25,088.96

Royalty Payments this Period $ 0.75

Previous Royalty Payments $ 58.69

Total Royalty Payments to Date $ 59.44

% Loan Repayment Completed 0.24%

Amount of Royalty Due None

Royalty Remarks None

DMEA-113 Idm-F265
New Quincy Mini[illegible] Co.

	Tons	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Au
		%			Oz	
Original Estimate of DMEA Reserves (Field Team Final Report) Mines [X] Survey [ ] Joint [ ]	20					
Revised Estimate of DMEA Reserves (Field Team) Mines [X] Survey [ ] Joint [ ]	102.935	.41	.41	4.6	19.82	.019
Increase of Reserves by work performed subsequent to DMEA project work						
Production DMEA (conc/ore) (This period)	5.609	--	3.8	7.6	8.51	.025
(Previous)	97.326	.41	4.1	4.4	20.52	.018
(To Date)	102.935	.41	4.1	4.6	19.82	.019
" Operator's (conc/ore) (This period)						
(Previous)						
(To Date)						

Percent Revised Reserves Mined _____

(For Uranium Ores Only)

Initial Production Bonus:

Status Operator: Ineligible [ ] Not Applied [ ] Applied [ ] Rec'ing pmt [ ] Pd up [ ]

Pounds U_3O_8 produced this period eligible for Bonus _____

Amount of Bonus paid to Operator this period $_____

Total pounds U_3O_8 produced to date eligible for Bonus _____

Total amount of Bonus paid to Operator to date $_____

Bonus Royalty Paid $_____

Bonus Royalty Due $_____

Investment Data

Shafts Size	Shafts Feet	Shafts Cost	Winzes Size	Winzes Feet	Winzes Cost	Drifts & Crosscuts Size	Drifts & Crosscuts Feet	Drifts & Crosscuts Cost

Raises Size	Raises Feet	Raises Cost	Rehabilitation Description	Rehabilitation Cost	Type & Size	Drilling Feet	Drilling Cost
			Raise Repair	$500) Est.	Long Hole	500	$375 (Est.)
			Drift Repair	$1,500)			

Facilities

Mine Plant Description	Mine Plant Cost	Mill Description	Mill Cost	Roads Description	Roads Cost

Remarks

The production of 5.609 tons of ore came from workings leased by Paul Jorlinski, who did the above described rehabilitation. The lease was dropped in August. Exploration by long hole drilling was done on company account during November 1958. No ore has been stockpiled.

Signed M. H. Howes (Engineer)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

June 24, 1958

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED JUN 26 1958

DATE	INIT.	AMOUNT
6/26	H.J.	225
26	Copy	100
"	FEJ	110
6/27	GEF	800
		~~500~~

Memorandum

To: Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Alternate Acting Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Semiannual Report of Certified Project for the period July 1 through December 31, 1957

DMEA Docket 113 (Lead-Zinc)
Contract Idm-E 265, New Quincy Mining Company (New Quincy Mine)
Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and three copies of the subject report prepared in conformance with instructions contained in memorandum of January 6, 1956 from this office, Memorandum 29, and Special Notice in Minutes of Operating Committee Meeting No. 692.

Alternate Acting Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region III

Enclosures
MHM:jy

cc: Semiannual Report File E 265
Harshman
DMEA, SLC
Chron.

7

Semiannual Report of Certified Projects

Reporting Period July 1 through December 31, 1957

Docket and Contract Number DMEA-113, Idm-E265

Operator New Quincy Mining Company

Commodity Lead-Zinc

Mine Name New Quincy Mine

County and State Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Date of Contract February 28, 1952

Date of Certification April 26, 1954

Expiration Date of Royalty Payments February 28, 1962

Date of Examination for this Report June 16, 1958

Total Amount of Contract $50,700.00

Total Expenditures under Contract $50,177.93

Amount of Government Participation at 50 % $25,088.96

Royalty Payments this Period None

Previous Royalty Payments $58.69

Total Royalty Payments to Date $58.69

% Loan Repayment Completed 0.23%

Amount of Royalty Due None

Royalty Remarks None

	Tons	Analysis
Original Estimate of DMEA Reserve (Field Team Final Report) Mines [X] Survey [ ] Joint [ ]	20	
Revised Estimate of DMEA Reserves (Field Team) Mines [X] Survey [ ] Joint [ ]	97.326	
Increase of Reserves by work performed subsequent to DMEA project work		

		%			OZ.	
		Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Au
Production DMEA ore	(This period)					
	(Previous)	64.195	--	1.7	3.0	21.5	.02
	(To Date)	64.195	--	1.7	3.0	21.5	.02
" Operator's ore found subsequent to DMEA work	(This period)					
	(Previous)					
	(To date)					

Percent Revised Reserves Mines _____

(For Uranium Ores Only)

Initial Production Bonus:

Status of Operator: Ineligible [ ] Applied for [ ] Rec'ing pmt [ ] Paid up [ ]

Pounds U_3O_8 produced this period eligible for Bonus _____

Amount of Bonus paid to Operator this period $_____

Total pounds U_3O_8 produced to date eligible for Bonus _____

Total amount of Bonus paid to Operator to date $_____

Bonus Royalty Paid $_____

Bonus Royalty Due $_____

Investment Data

Shafts Size	Shafts Feet	Shafts Cost	Winzes Size	Winzes Feet	Winzes Cost	Drifts & Crosscuts Size	Drifts & Crosscuts Feet	Drifts & Crosscuts Cost
						5' X 7'	40'	$1,600 (Est.)

Raises Size	Raises Feet	Raises Cost	Drilling Type & Size	Drilling Feet	Drilling Cost	Rehabilitation Description	Rehabilitation Cost

Facilities

Mine Plant Description	Mine Plant Cost	Mill Description	Mill Cost	Roads Description	Roads Cost

Remarks

The work was done in the "B" or North Fissure area.

Signed M. L. Howes (Engineer)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

October 25, 1957

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED OCT 28 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE
10/28	gb	220
10/28	jwa	500

Memorandum

To: Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Semiannual Report of Certified Project for the period January 1, 1957 through June 30, 1957

DMEA Docket 113 (Lead-Zinc)
Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Company (New Quincy Mine), Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and three copies of the subject report prepared in conformance with instructions contained in memorandum of January 6, 1956 from this office, Memorandum 29, and Special Notice in Minutes of Operating Committee Meeting No. 692.

E. M. Harshman

Acting Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region III

Enclosures

MHM/vj
cc: Semiannual Report File E 265
DMEA/SLC
Harshman
Chron.

See ltr 1/24/58 to W. Chamberlain

Semiannual Report of Certified Projects

Reporting Period	January 1, 1957 through June 30, 1957
Docket and Contract Number	DMEA 113, Contract Idm-E265
Operator	New Quincy Mining Company
Commodity	Lead-Zinc
Mine Name	New Quincy Mine
County and State	Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah
Date of Contract	February 28, 1952
Date of Certification	April 26, 1954
Expiration Date of Royalty Payments	February 28, 1962
Date of Examination for this Report	October 18, 1957
Total Amount of Contract	$50,700.00
Total Expenditures under Contract	$50,177.93
Amount of Government Participation at 50 %	$25,088.96
Royalty Payments this Period	$23.03
Previous Royalty Payments	$35.66
Total Royalty Payments to Date	$58.69
% Loan Repayment Completed	0.23%
Amount of Royalty Due	None
Royalty Remarks	None

	Tons	Analysis
Original Estimate of DMEA Reserve (Field Team Final Report) Mines [X] Survey [ ] Joint [ ]	20	
Revised Estimate of DMEA Reserves (Field Team) Mines [X] Survey [ ] Joint [ ]	97.326	
Increase of Reserves by work performed subsequent to DMEA project work		

		—	% Cu	% Pb	% Zn	oz. Ag	oz. Au
Production DMEA ore	(This period)						
	(Previous)	33.131	.4	8.7	7.1	20.6	.02
	(To Date)	33.131	"	"	"	"	"
" Operator's ore	(This period)	* 64.195	-	1.7	3.0	21.5	.02
found subsequent	(Previous)						
to DMEA work	(To date)	64.195	-	1.7	3.0	21.5	.02

Percent Revised Reserves Mines 100+ %

* Starting w/Lot No. A-504
Ending w/Lot No. 1-DMEA

(For Uranium Ores Only)

Initial Production Bonus:

Status of Operator: Ineligible [ ] Applied for [ ] Rec'ing pmt [ ] Paid up [ ]

Pounds U_3O_8 produced this period eligible for Bonus _____

Amount of Bonus paid to Operator this period $_____

Total pounds U_3O_8 produced to date eligible for Bonus _____

Total amount of Bonus paid to Operator to date $_____

Bonus Royalty Paid $_____

Bonus Royalty Due $_____

Investment Data

Shafts Size	Shafts Feet	Shafts Cost	Winzes Size	Winzes Feet	Winzes Cost	Drifts & Crosscuts Size	Drifts & Crosscuts Feet	Drifts & Crosscuts Cost

Raises Size	Raises Feet	Raises Cost	Drilling Type & Size	Drilling Feet	Drilling Cost	Rehabilitation Description	Rehabilitation Cost

Facilities

Mine Plant Description	Mine Plant Cost	Mill Description	Mill Cost	Roads Description	Roads Cost

Remarks

"Revised estimate of DMEA reserves" includes production to date. No reserves remain. Ore shipments during this reporting period came from the "B" or North Fissure Area. Further work is contemplated in the same area.

Signed Walter Bank (Engineer)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

May 6, 1957

OFFICIAL FILE COPY DMEA RECEIVED MAY 8 1957		
DATE	INITIALS	CODE
5/8	C	220
9	Com	100

Memorandum

To: Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Semiannual Report of Certified Project for the period July 1, 1956 through December 31, 1956
DMEA Docket 113 (Lead-Zinc)
Contract Idm-E 265, New Quincy Mining Co. (New Quincy Mine), Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and three copies of the subject report prepared in conformance with instructions contained in memorandum of January 6, 1956 from this office, Memorandum 29, and Special Notice in Minutes of Operating Committee Meeting No. 692.

Note: The 'Expiration Date of Royalty Repayments' is February 28, 1962, as corrected herein.

E. M. Harshman

~~XXXXXXXXXXX~~
Acting Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region III

Enclosures

MHM/vj

cc: Semiannual Report File E 265
S. R. Wilson
Harshman (2)
Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 12, UTAH

DMEA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
MAY 2 - 1957
REGION III
DENVER, COLORADO

May 1, 1957

Memorandum

To: K. N. Marshman, Acting Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

From: Supervising Mining Engineer

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-2265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Attached are the original and eight copies of "Semiannual Report of Certified Project" pertaining to the property of New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. The period covered is July 1, 1956, to December 31, 1956.

No ore was shipped from the property during the period. The operator continued a raising project on the Harrington fissure. Ore production may start early in 1957.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

cc: J. B. Clemmer

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED MAY 8 1957

DATE	INITIALS	CODE

Semiannual Report of Certified Projects

Reporting Period	July 1, 1956, to December 31, 1956
Docket and Contract Number	DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265
Operator	New Quincy Mining Co. 421 Felt Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah
Commodity	Lead-zinc
Mine Name	New Quincy
County and State	Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah
Date of Contract	February 28, 1952
Date of Certification	April 26, 1954
Expiration Date of Royalty Payments	February 28, 1962
Date of Examination for this Report	January 31, 1957

DMEA
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
MAY 2 - 1957
REGION III
DENVER, COLORADO

Total Amount of Contract	$50,700.00
Total Expenditures under Contract	$50,177.93
Amount of Government Participation at 50 %	$25,088.96
Royalty Payments this Period	None
Previous Royalty Payments	$35.66
Total Royalty Payments to Date	$35.66
% Loan Repayment Completed	0.14%
Amount of Royalty Due	None
Royalty Remarks	None

OFFICIAL FILE COPY
DMEA
RECEIVED 3 1957
DATE | CODE

	Tons	Analysis
Original Estimate of DMEA Reserves (Field Team Final Report) Mines [X] Survey [ ] Joint [ ]	20	
Revised Estimate of DMEA Reserves (Field Team) Mines [X] Survey [ ] Joint [ ]	83.1305	
Increase of Reserves by work performed subsequent to DMEA project work	None	

			Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Au
Production DMEA ore	(This period)	None					
	(Previous)	33.1305	.4	8.7	7.1	20.6	.018
	(To date)	33.1305	"	"	"	"	"
" Operator's ore found subsequent to DMEA work	(This period)	None					
	(Previous)	None					
	(To date)	None					

Percent Revised Reserves mined 40%

(For Uranium Ores Only)

Initial Production Bonus:

Status of Operator: Ineligible [ ] Applied for [ ] Rec'ing pmt [ ] Pd up ____

Pounds U_3O_8 produced this period eligible for Bonus ____

Amount of Bonus paid to Operator this period $____

Total pounds U_3O_8 produced to date eligible for Bonus ____

Total amount of Bonus paid to Operator to date $____

Bonus Royalty Paid $____

Bonus Royalty Due $____

Investment Data

Shafts Size	Shafts Feet	Shafts Cost	Winzes Size	Winzes Feet	Winzes Cost	Drifts & Crosscuts Size	Drifts & Crosscuts Feet	Drifts & Crosscuts Cost
-	-	-	-	-	-	*5' x 7'	80' approx.	?

Raises Size	Raises Feet	Raises Cost	Drilling Type & Size	Drilling Feet	Drilling Cost	Rehabilitation Description	Rehabilitation Cost
*5' x 10'	200' approx.	?					

Facilities

Mine Plant Description	Mine Plant Cost	Mill Description	Mill Cost	Roads Description	Roads Cost

Remarks

* Work done subsequent to DMEA project to December 31, 1956. The raising project started August 3, 1955, on the "B" or north fissure (commonly called the Harrington fissure) to reach a pod of ore 240 feet above the 1300-foot level, is nearly completed. Production of ore should commence during January 1957.

Signed M. L. Jones (Engineer)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Washington 25, D. C.

RECEIVED
AUG - 3 1956

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

Date: July 30, 1956

Memorandum

To: Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Semiannual Report of Certified Project for the period January 1, 1956 through June 30, 1956
DMEA Docket 113 (Lead-Zinc)
Contract Idm-E 265 New Quincy Mining Company (New Quincy Mine), Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and three copies of the subject report prepared in conformance with instructions contained in memorandum of January 6, 1956 from this office and Memorandum 29.

W. M. Traver
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region III

Enclosures
MHM/vj
cc: Semiannual Report File E 265
Royalty File E265
S. R. Wilson
E. N. Harshman
Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 12, UTAH

DMEA
Date Rec'd.

RECEIVED JUL 30 1956

AUG - 3 1956 BUREAU OF MINES
Denver, Colorado

July 27, 1956

Memorandum

To: W. M. Traver, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

From: Stephen R. Wilson

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-R265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and eight copies of Semiannual Report of Certified Project covering property encumbered under the subject contract for the period January 1, 1956, to June 30, 1956. Examination of the property was made by M. H. Howes July 26, 1956.

No ore has been produced from the property during the present reporting period. However, the operator is presently conducting development on the property to reach a known lens of ore approximately 240 feet above the 1300-foot level. It appears that the company will produce ore during the next 30 to 60 days.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

cc: J. B. Clemmer

RECEIVED
AUG - 3 1956

Semiannual Report of Certified Projects

Reporting Period	January 1, 1956 to June 30, 1956
Docket and Contract Number	DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265
Operator	New Quincy Mining Co., 421 Felt Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah
Commodity	Lead-zinc
Mine Name	New Quincy
County and State	Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah
Date of Contract	February 28, 1952
Date of Certification	April 26, 1954
Expiration Date of Royalty Payments	March 24, 1963
Date of Examination for this Report	July 26, 1956
Total Amount of Contract	$50,700.00
Total Expenditures under Contract	$50,177.93
Amount of Government Participation at 50 %	$25,088.96
Royalty Payments this Period	None
Previous Royalty Payments	$35.66
Total Royalty Payments to Date	$35.66
% Loan Repayment Completed	0.14%
Amount of Royalty Due	None
Royalty Remarks	None

Original Estimate of Reserves
(from Final Engr.-Geol. Report): 20 tons

Increase of Reserves by work performed subsequent to expiration or termination of contract: None

Revised Estimate of Reserves: 83.1305 tons

Production this Period (Ore or Concentrates)	None
Amount of Previous Production (since date of contract)	33.1305 tons
Total DMEA Production to date	33.1305 tons
% of Revised Reserves Mined	40%
Remaining Reserves as of June 30, 1956	50 tons

Production Remarks:

Note: On Uranium projects check with AEC to assure that our records are in agreement with theirs.

The raising project started August 3, 1955, on the "B" or North fissure (commonly called the Harrington fissure), to reach a pod of ore approximately 240 feet above the 1300-foot level, is nearing the objective. The company estimates that it will be able to produce 2 or 3 shipments of ore.

Other Remarks:

Signed M. H. Dawes (Engineer)

113

ACTION ON LETTER

Date 3-16

Referred to:

1. ~~Mr. Mitchendorf~~
2. ~~Mr. Johnson~~
3. ~~Mr. Chambers~~
4. ~~Docket Control Mails and Files~~
5. ~~Case Motions~~ Division
6. Docket Control Mails and Files

Note comments in report regarding:

1. Sick baby!

2.

3.

4.

and take appropriate action.

- - - - - -

Action taken by Division:

3/27/56 - Noted -
Proof Certified 4/26/54 - Poor
prospects of finding a good one here.

Initials

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
Washington 25, D. C.

RECEIVED
MAR 15 1956

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

Date: March 13, 1956

Memorandum

To: Chairman, Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Semiannual Report of Certified Project for the period July 1, 1955 through December 31, 1955.
DMEA Docket 113 (Lead-Zinc)
Contract Idm-E 265, New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and three copies of the subject report prepared in conformance with instructions contained in memorandum of January 6, 1956 from this office and Memorandum 29.

W. M. Traver
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region III

Enclosures
RDB/vj
cc: Semiannual Report File E 265
Royalty File E265
S. R. Wilson
R. W. Osterstock
E. N. Harshman
Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

RECEIVED
MAR 15 1956

DMEA
Date Rec'd. MAR 5 1956

BUREAU OF MINES
Denver, Colorado

March 2, 1956

Memorandum

To: W. M. Traver, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

From: Stephen R. Wilson

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Attached are the original and eight copies of Semiannual Report of Certified Project covering the subject property for the period July 1 to December 31, 1955. M. H. Howes inspected the property and prepared the report.

No production has resulted from the New Quincy mine during the present period. However, some activity was noted at the time of inspection, and it appears that a minor tonnage of ore will be produced during the next few months. A raise is being driven to reach a small ore body known to exist above the 1300-foot level.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

cc: J. B. Clemmer

SEMIANNUAL REPORT OF CERTIFIED PROJECT

RECEIVED
MAR 15 1956

Reporting Period: July 1 to December 31, 1955	Date of Examination: February 25, 1956
Operator	New Quincy Mining Co. 421 Felt Building Salt Lake City, Utah
Mine Name	New Quincy
County and State	Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah
Docket Number and Commodity	DMEA-113, Lead-zinc
Contract Number	Idm-E265
Date of Contract	February 28, 1952
Date of Certification	April 26, 1954
Expiration Date of Royalty Payments	March 24, 1963
Total Amount of Contract	$50,700.00
Total Expenditures under Contract	$50,177.93
Amount of Government Participation at 50%	$25,088.96
Royalty Payments this Period	None
Previous Royalty Payments	$35.66
Total Royalty Payments to Date	$35.66
Percent Government Participation Repaid	0.14%
Royalty Due on Production to Date	None
Royalty Remarks	None

Original Estimate of Reserves (Includes production during project operation)	20 tons
Decrease of Reserves by work performed subsequent to original and last Field Team estimates (Includes production subsequent to project operations)	13.1305 tons
Revised Estimate of Reserves (Includes all production through December 31, 1955	83.1305 tons
Production this Period	None
Amount of Previous Production (since date of contract)	33.1305 tons
Total DMEA Production to date	33.1305 tons
Percent of Revised Reserves Mined	40%
Remaining Reserves as of December 31, 1955	50 tons

Production Remarks:

The New Quincy Mining Co. stopped exploratory work on the "A" or South fissure in the DMEA project area May 15, 1955. When the company resumed work in the mine August 3, 1955, a raising project on the "B" or North fissure (commonly called the Harrington fissure) was started to reach a pod of ore, which is located approximately 240 feet above the 1300-foot level. It is estimated that the pod contains 50 tons of minable ore. The raise has been advanced 120 feet.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

RECEIVED
DEC - 5 1955
DMEA
Date Rec'd.

OCT 19 1955

BUREAU OF MINES
Denver, Colorado

October 17, 1955

Memorandum

To: W. M. Traver, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

From: Stephen R. Wilson

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Uranium), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and eight copies of Semiannual Report of Certified Project, covering the New Quincy property for the period January 1, 1955 to June 30, 1955. The report was prepared by M. H. Howes as a result of examination made October 11, 1955.

New New Quincy Mining Co. shipped a total of 34.3 tons of ore from the property. Most of this ore was sorted from work accomplished during the contract period. A royalty of $35.66 has been paid to DMEA.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

cc: J. B. Clemmer

DMEA-51135

SEMIANNUAL REPORT OF CERTIFIED PROJECT

January 1, 1955 to June 30, 1955

Docket:	DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265
Commodity:	Lead-zinc
Contractor:	New Quincy Mining Co. 421 Felt Building Salt Lake City, Utah
Mine:	New Quincy
County and State:	Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah
Date of Certification:	April 26, 1954
Date of examination for this report:	October 11, 1955
Total contract amount:	$50,700.00
Total expended:	$50,177.93
Government participation:	50 percent
Expiration date for royalty payments:	March 24, 1963
Production this period:	33.1305 dry tons
Royalties this period:	$35.66
Royalties to date:	$35.66

The New Quincy Mining Co. continued exploratory work on the "A" or South fissure to May 15, 1955, when the project was stopped because of unfavorable results. The No. 2 raise, near the east end of the 1300 level, was advanced upward on the "A" fissure approximately 50 feet where connection was made with the 1200 level. The 1200 level was driven easterly approximately 100 feet along the "A" fissure. Mineralization was encountered on the "A" fissure in both of these workings. A few tons of commercial ore was mined about 25 feet above the 1300 level in the No. 2 raise.

The New Quincy Mining Co. shipped 34.3 tons of ore through the Utah Ore Sampling Co. to the International Smelting and Refining Co. on May 25, 1955. This shipment constituted all of the ore saved during the exploratory work on the "A" fissure. The smelter settlement assay is as follows: .4 percent copper, 8.7 percent lead, 7.1 percent zinc, 20.615 ounces silver, and .0175 ounce gold. Net value of the ore was $713.27 for 33.1305 dry tons. Royalty of 5 percent to the DMEA was $35.66.

Ore mineralization occurs as small spots or lenses along the "A" fissure, usually where it intersects the base of the Park City limestone and the Jenney quartzite horizon.

What's the outlook?

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

January 25, 1955

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region III

Subject: Semi-annual report of certified project

Enclosed are the original and three copies of a semi-annual report relative to operations at the site of the following project subsequent to the issuance of a Certification of Discovery or Development by the Defense Minerals Exploration Administration:

Company	Docket	Contract No.
New Quincy Mining Co.	DMEA 113	Idm-E265

W. M. Traver

W. M. Traver

Enclosures

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

Date Rec'd
JAN 17 1955
BUREAU OF MINES
Denver, Colorado

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN 31 1955

January 14, 1955

Memorandum

To: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Stephen R. Wilson

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and seven copies of Semiannual Report of Certified Project covering the subject property for the period July 1, 1954 to December 31, 1954. The report was prepared by M. H. Howes.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

SEMIANNUAL REPORT OF CERTIFIED PROJECT

July 1, 1954 to December 31, 1954

Docket:	DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265
Commodity:	Lead-zinc
Contractor:	New Quincy Mining Company, 421 Felt Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah
Mine:	New Quincy
County and State:	Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah
Date of Certification:	April 26, 1954
Date of examination for this report:	January 5, 1955
Total contract amount:	$50,700.00
Total expended:	$50,177.93
Government participation:	50 percent, $25,088.96
Expiration date for royalty payments:	March 24, 1963
Production this period:	None
Royalties this period:	None
Royalties to date:	None

The New Quincy Mining Company continued exploratory work along the "A" fissure, both on the 1200 and 1300 levels, after completion of the contract in January 1954. Progress on the 1200 level was made as follows: approximately 130 feet in the flat inclined raise, 35 feet in the subdrift from the raise, and 140 feet in the 1200 east drift. All work was done along the "A" fissure. It is estimated that 30 tons of ore that will assay about 25 percent lead and 40 ounces silver has been mined from small deposits encountered along the "A" fissure on the 1200 level workings, and stockpiled in the mine. The operator decided that the favorable areas in the east end of the 1200 level can be made more accessible by advancing the 1300 level easterly and raising to the east end of the 1200 level. Therefore, the 1300 east drift was driven 85 feet to the east, within the Weber quartzite footwall, to avoid the heavy, caving ground along the "A" fissure at this place. The No. 2 raise was driven 29 feet. At about 19 feet in the raise the "A" fissure was encountered with strong mineralized showings, which assayed 17.7 percent lead, 1.9 percent copper, 192 ounces silver, and 0.04 ounce gold.

ore stockpiled

Ore mineralization in the DMEA exploration area at the New Quincy mine occurs as small spots or lenses along the "A" fissure (northeasterly striking), principally where it encounters the base of the Park City limestone and the Jenney quartzite horizon.



Plan



Longitudinal Section

Looking North

Legend

Work done after completion of contract

DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc)

MINE New Quincy Mining Co LOCATION Park City, Utah LEVEL Composite & Section

GEOLOGY BY Howes & Hewitt SURVEY Brunton & Tape SCALE 1" = 50' DATE Jan. 5, 1955

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

January 14, 1955

Memorandum

To: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Stephen R. Wilson

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-R265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are the original and seven copies of Semiannual Report of Certified Project covering the subject property for the period July 1, 1954 to December 31, 1954. The report was prepared by M. H. Howes.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

SEMIANNUAL REPORT OF CERTIFIED PROJECT

July 1, 1954 to December 31, 1954

Docket:	DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265
Commodity:	Lead-zinc
Contractor:	New Quincy Mining Company, 421 Felt Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah
Mine:	New Quincy
County and State:	Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah
Date of Certification:	April 26, 1954
Date of examination for this report:	January 5, 1955
Total contract amount:	$50,750.00
Total expended:	$50,177.93
Government participation:	50 percent, $25,088.96
Expiration date for royalty payments:	March 24, 1963
Production this period:	None
Royalties this period:	None
Royalties to date:	None

The New Quincy Mining Company continued exploratory work along the "A" fissure, both on the 1200 and 1300 levels, after completion of the contract in January 1954. Progress on the 1200 level was made as follows: approximately 130 feet in the flat inclined raise, 35 feet in the subdrift from the raise, and 140 feet in the 1200 east drift. All work was done along the "A" fissure. It is estimated that 30 tons of ore that will assay about 25 percent lead and 40 ounces silver has been mined from small deposits encountered along the "A" fissure on the 1200 level workings, and stockpiled in the mine. The operator decided that the favorable areas in the east end of the 1200 level can be made more accessible by advancing the 1300 level easterly and raising to the east end of the 1200 level. Therefore, the 1300 east drift was driven 85 feet to the east, within the Weber quartzite footwall, to avoid the heavy, caving ground along the "A" fissure at this place. The No. 2 raise was driven 29 feet. At about 19 feet in the raise the "A" fissure was encountered with strong mineralized showings, which assayed 17.7 percent lead, 1.9 percent copper, 192 ounces silver, and 6.04 ounce gold.

Ore mineralization in the DMEA exploration area at the New Quincy mine occurs as small spots or lenses along the "A" fissure (northeasterly striking), principally where it encounters the base of the Park City limestone and the Jenney quartzite horizon.



Plan



Legend

Work done after completion of contract

Longitudinal Section

Looking North

DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc)

MINE New Quincy Mining Co LOCATION Park City, Utah LEVEL Composite & Section

GEOLOGY BY Howes & Hewitt SURVEY Brunton & Tape SCALE 1" = 50' DATE Jan. 5, 1955

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

August 25, 1954.

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract No. Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch County, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report, Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report and other supporting data pertaining to work under the subject contract for the months of November and December 1953 and January 1954.

Also enclosed are two copies of a memorandum to Mr. T. A. Christensen dated August 19, 1954 relative to final payment under the terms of the subject contract.

Final payment to the Operator in the amount of $2,543.62 has now been made in conformance with the Certificate and Report of Audit by the Contract Administration and Audit Division, dated June 23, 1954.

W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: August 04, 1954
Payee's
Reference: Final Payment

Contract No. Idm B-205

New Quincy Mining Company
Room 421 Felt Building
Salt Lake City 1, Utah

Upon examination of the above Payee's reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed for Nov. Dec. & Jan.	$ 7,550.00
Difference 1.	$ 205.68
2.	~~- 2,637.03~~
Amount Approved	$ 6,607.25

The reason for the difference was due to:

1. The amounts of $5.84, $70.40 and $127.89 totalling $205.68 were disallowed by this office on your reports for August, 1953, September, 1953 and October, 1953 and should not have been deducted again on your claim for the month of November supplemental report.
Total amount to be reinstated - $ 205.68

2. Liquidate of property in which the Government has an interest - - 2,600.00
Disallowances by the BMA Auditor in his final report - - - - - - 67.03

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N.E.Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

August 19, 1954.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract No. Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch County, Utah.
FINAL PAYMENT

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Forms MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report and Engineer's Report for the month of November 1953, Supplemental Report for November 1953, for the month of December 1953 and January 1954, which have been combined to form a single report to facilitate final payment of the account.

Also attached to each set of the combined report are data relative to the liquidation of the jointly-owned property acquired under the contract.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and the Operator has complied with all requirements. Final payment is recommended as follows, which is in conformance with the Certificate and Report of Audit by the Contract Administration and Audit Division, dated June 23, 1954, which was released to this office July 2, 1954. Payment has been deferred pending receipt of the Operator's final report which was received August 17, 1954.

Basis for final payment

Total accepted cost	$50,177.93
Government participation at 50%	25,088.96
Total prior payments	22,545.34
Balance due the Operator	$2,543.62

Reconciliation with Operator's
Final Accounting Reports Forms MF-104

Gross amount reported on November Supplemental Report		$2,580.37
Gross amount reported for December 1953		2,587.62
Gross amount reported for January 1954		2,382.61
Reinstatement of amounts deducted by Operator in the November Supplemental Report which are considered in the process of the final audit		203.68
		$7,754.28

Deductions

Final audit disallowances	$67.03	
Proceeds from property disposition	2,600.00	
Total		$2,667.03
Balance		$5,087.25
Government participation at 50%		2,543.62
Amount due the Operator		2,543.62

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

JWT:cwm

cc: Contract
Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2)
SRWilson
Chron.
JWTownsend

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

June 17, 1954

Mr. Nick Floor, President
New Quincy Mining Company
421 Felt Building
Salt Lake City, Utah

Re: Docket No. DMEA 113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract No. Idm-E265

Dear Mr. Floor:

Consistent with the authority delegated to this office under Order No. 2684 from the Office of the Secretary, Department of the Interior, the United States of America acting through the Department of the Interior, Defense Minerals Exploration Administration does hereby accept your bid and proposal dated April 24, 1954 in the amount of $2,600.00 in the liquidation of the property and unexpended portion of materials and supplies acquired under Contract No. Idm-E265.

The sum of $2,600.00, reflecting both yours and the Government's interest in the property liquidation, will be deducted from the gross amount of claims by you held pending in this office for reimbursement under the terms of the contract, thereby automatically making a deduction of the amount of $1300.00 due the Government in the transaction.

Final payment to you under the terms of the contract will be processed upon receipt of your final engineering and geologic report in conformance with Article 7b of the contract, and upon release to this office of a Certificate and Report of Audit of the Contract Administration and Audit Division.

Very truly yours,

W H King

W. H. King
Executive Officer
DMEA Field Team
Region IV

JWT:es
cc: Contract
Chron.
Wilson
Koschmann (2)
MF-104 (5)
Townsend

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

May 4, 1954

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch County, Utah

Attached are six copies of a letter received from New Quincy Mining Company, together with letters from two machinery houses, concerning salvage of equipment jointly owned by the Government and the operator. The two machinery companies are not interested in bidding on the equipment.

A memorandum prepared by M. H. Howes outlines the purchase costs of the several items and describes conditions affecting the salvage value. Although it is believed that New Quincy Mining Company's offer of $2,600 for the jointly owned equipment is low, it is recommended that the bid be accepted. Because of the dormant condition of much of the mining in this area and preferred use of other types of equipment, the demand for the listed items is not great.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

S. R. Wilson

May 3, 1954

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Memorandum report on salvage of material and equipment jointly owned by the Government and the New Quincy Mining Co.

On April 8, 1954, the New Quincy Mining Co. sent letters to J. J. Coan Co. and Cate Equipment Co. requesting bids for the mining material and equipment jointly owned by the Government and the New Quincy Mining Co. Both companies declined to make bids.

The New Quincy Mining Co. has submitted a bid of $2,600.00 for the jointly owned property.

A list of the material and equipment follows:

Item	Purchase Price
1 Mancha trammer	$4,764.26
1 6-foot drill column	183.00
1 drifter	912.90
1 fan (air driven)	298.94
Pipe	946.72
Track	234.79
Drill steel and bits	621.92
Hoses and fittings	238.54
Vent pipe	996.45
Total	$9,197.52

Due to limited activity in the mining industry, the market for used material and equipment is very poor at the present time. As a consequence, the used equipment dealers contacted are not interested in bidding on the New Quincy list.

The Mancha trammer is the only item on the list that seems to have any substantial reclaimable value. Most of the active operators in this area are now using jackhammers with pneumatic legs, so there is no demand for drifters. There should be some demand for the air-driven fan at approximately 50 percent of purchase price. Drill steel and bits, hoses and fittings, are expendable and the value of the remainder at hand would be small. Included in the purchase price for pipe is the item of 95 feet of 36-inch culvert pipe at a cost of $562.93, which is not recoverable. The purchase price for the remainder of the pipe is $433.95. The pipe, track and vent pipe are all installed

underground. The cost of salvage and transportation to the surface would cut the reclaimable value of the above items to a very low amount.

Under normal conditions the offer of $2,600.00 for the jointly-owned material and equipment by the New Quincy Mining Co. would be low, but because of the present curtainment in the mining industry, the price appears to be fair. It is therefore recommended that the Government accept the above offer.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

April 24, 1954

Mr. S. R. Wilson, Chief
Mineral Development Branch
Bureau of Mines
1600 East 1st South
Salt Lake City, Utah

Dear Mr. Wilson:

On April 8, 1954 we sent to you copies of a letter to the J. J. Coan Company and Cate Equipment Company requesting bids for the mining equipment jointly owned by our company and the United States Government, located as follows:

> One battery Manaba Tramcar located at the loading station of United Park City Mines Ontario drain tunnel.
>
> One Liner, one Blower for ventilation, and 1100 feet of 12" mine vent flexible tubing located on New Quincy Mining property.

Both companies answered our letter but declined to make a bid for the equipment. Five copies of the letter from J. J. Coan Co. are enclosed herewith, and copies of the Cate Equipment Co. letter were delivered to you personally.

In view of the refusal to bid by the above mentioned companies, and after a discussion with Mr. Crandall, Secretary of our company, I hereby bid in the interest of New Quincy Mining Company the sum of $2,600.00 for the following equipment:

> One Manaba Tramcar, ~~one Liner~~ (drifter), one Blower fan ~~and flexible~~ vent tubing, all material such as rails, pipes, ties, and all material on hand jointly owned by New Quincy Mining Company and the Government.

The $2,600.00 to be divided equally between the Government and New Quincy Mining Company.

If the foregoing bid be approved, the government may retain $1300.00 for its equity in the equipment, this same amount to be deducted from the balance due New Quincy Mining Company per Docket Agreement No. DMEA-113 (Lead & Zinc) New Quincy Mine, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah; amended Contract IDM-2265.

Please advise in the near future.

Very truly yours,

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Hick Floor, President

HF:da
Enc.

April 20, 1954

New Quincy Mining Company
Felt Building
Salt Lake City 1, Utah

Attention: Mr. Nick Floor

Dear Mr. Floor:

I am in receipt of your letter of April 8th regarding certain miscellaneous mining equipment you have for sale at your mining properties in Park City, Utah.

Please be advised that we are not interested in bidding any of the equipment at this time.

Very truly yours,

J. J. COAN COMPANY

T. L. Coan

/tlc

COPY

April 14, 1954

COPY

New Quincy Mining Company
c/o Nick Floor
431 - 8th Avenue
Salt Lake City, Utah

Gentlemen:

Reference your letter of April 8 concerning certain property located at Park City, and owned jointly by you and the Bureau of Mines.

Due to the fact that mining at present is bad, and also, because some of the items you mention are still underground, which would necessitate quite a considerable expense to bring them to the surface, we would not be interested in making you a proposal at this time.

If you decide to sell the Mancha trammer as an individual unit, we might be interested in making you a proposal on this.

Very truly yours,

CATE EQUIPMENT COMPANY

H. A. Christensen, Mgr.
Used Equipment Division

HAC/ec
Sim.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

June 3, 1954

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-3265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for January 1954

The attached copies of the subject operator's monthly report list expenditures made during January 1954. Project work was completed during this month, and therefore the present MF-104 forms are the last to be submitted by the operator.

A total of 76 feet of drifting was accomplished during the month. This work was verified by M. H. Howes April 27, 1954. Drifting has followed the Jessney quartzite horizon, and erratic lead-zinc mineralization has been exposed along the "A" fissure.

Work accomplished during the period is in conformance with the contract. It is recommended that the operator be reimbursed by the reported expenditures, subject to acceptance of the bid of $2,600 for the jointly owned equipment, issuance of final audit statement, and receipt of an acceptable final report from the operator.

The MF-104 forms were received in this office May 28, 1954.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

S. R. Wilson June 3, 1954

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract Idm-8265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for January 1954

The property was inspected on April 27, 1954, in the company of Nick Floor. It is verified that 76 feet of drifting progress during January, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract. The January forms are the final forms to be submitted by the contractor.

The last 66 feet of advance in December was actually a flat incline, which followed the top of the Jenney quartzite upward. Because of the heavy, caving condition of the rock encountered, this work was abandoned, and drifting easterly along the "A" fissure on the 1200 level was resumed. Rock in the footwall is Weber quartzite and in the hanging wall is Park City limestone. Jenney quartzite, rising above the floor at plus 20°, was encountered at the face of the drift. About 6 inches of good ore was exposed on this contact. Quartz and manganese oxide, with some scattered galena, sphalerite, and tetrahedrite, occur along the "A" fissure. A few small pods of ore were saved and stockpiled.

The accounts were checked, and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive, except the charge for supervision, and charges for rental and depreciation under Item (4), which exceed the contract allowable maximum amounts. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report and map are considered to be adequate.

The project was considered to be terminated during January 1954.. The exact date of termination and the correct amount of progress footage in January cannot be determined until material and equipment, jointly owned by the Government and company, has been liquidated, and final audit has been made.

The total cost of the project, as reported on the January MF-104 forms, is $52,844.96. This exceeds the contract amount of $50,700.00 by $2,144.96. The bid of $2,600.00 by the New Quincy Mining Co. for material and equipment jointly owned by the Government and company has not yet been approved by the Government. Should approval be made,

this amount of $2,600.00 would be deducted from the total cost of $52,844.96, and thus, a balance of $455.04 would remain unspent.

No payment on the January account should be made until jointly owned material and equipment has been liquidated, and final audit statement has been submitted. Complete payment should also await receipt of an acceptable final report from the operator.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.4
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of January, 1954 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals [illegible]
Address Salt Lake City, Utah.
Contract Amount, $50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS		FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:							
Drilling							
Bulldozing							
Crosscutting							
Drifting	A	1220.00	13,484.73	14,704.73			
Raising			4,172.00	4,172.00			
(2) Labor and Supervision:							
Labor			716.73	716.73			
Supervision		250.00	4,787.50	5,037.50			
Technical Services			1,225.00	1,225.00			
Bookkeeping		70.00	1,330.00	1,200.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:							
Timber	B	9.76	3,021.28	3,031.04			
Explosives	C	220.13	1,670.02	1,906.15			
Pipe	D	15.51	996.88	1,012.39			
Track	E	24.60	153.67	178.27			
Miscellaneous	F	6.69	1,138.03	1,144.72			
Drill Steel & Bits	G	15.45	621.92	637.37			
Vent Pipe			1,362.11	1,362.11			
(4) Operating Equipment:							
Rental	H	03.50	3,537.45	3,600.95			
Purchase			5,124.52	5,124.52			
Depreciation	I	202.27	3,032.85	3,235.12			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment	J	23.70	197.56	221.33			
Sampling and Analysis			62.50	62.50			
Payroll Taxes	K	61.74	1,072.84	1,134.58			
Liability Insurance	L	54.07	1,110.09	1,164.96			
Sundries			49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Air Lease				Nov	2580.57		
				Dec	2507.62		
Charge Bonds	H	116.33	1,526.68	1,643.01			
				1.	205.68		
TOTALS		$2382.61	$50,842.35	$53,224.96	2582.61	45,234.38	50,177.91
				2.	205.68		

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 5/27/54 *Payee [illegible]

Per [illegible] Title

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

7754.28
- 2667.05 45,090.68 *
5087.25 $ 2543.62

Signature Randel R. Cooper
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 8-23-54 Vou. No. DMA-5514
(See other side)

*See November Report

(Instructions on reverse)

1. Reinstatement of [illegible] Audit difference statement
2. Previous disallowance
3. Property liquidation $2,000.00 — disallowance by DMEA Auditor 267.05

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. DM-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. W. Howes Title Mining Engineer Date June 2, 1954

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE:

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date AUG 19 1954

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151.1
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of January 1954 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm E265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT	
							Units	Unit Costs
Drifting	Feet	$2382.61	76	$36,220.78	1010'	$35.76		
Crosscutting								
Raising				11,603.57	153'	75.90		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS				$47,824.35				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				5,020.61				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		$2382.61		$52,844.96				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 5/27/54 Operator NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY,
Per [signature] Title President

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

REMARKS:

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY,
420 Felt Building,
Salt Lake City, Utah.

January 31, 1954.

Schedule A: Drifting.			
Theodore Housel	$458.00		
Floyd Webster	458.00		
Albert Price	304.00		$1,220.00
Schedule B: Timber.			
United Park City Mines Company			9.76
Schedule C: Explosives.			
United Park City Mines Company			228.13
Schedule D: Pipe.			
United Park City Mines Company			15.51
ScheduleE: Track.			
United Park City Mines Company			24.60
Schedule F: Miscellaneous.			
United Park City Mines Company			6.69
Schedule G: Drill Steel & Bits.			
United Park City Mines Company			15.45
Schedule H: Equipment Rental.			
United Park City Mines Company			83.50
Schedule I: Depreciation.			
On Trammer	62.50		
Battery Charger	18.60		
Mine Cars	77.00		
Gardmer-Denver Compresser	44.17		202.27
Schedule J: Repairs to Equipment.			
United Park City Mines Company			23.76
Schedule K: Payroll Taxes.			
Labor (Schedule A)	$1,220.00		
Supervision	250.00		
Total	1,470.00	X 4.2%	61.74
Schedule L: Workmens' Compensation.			
Labor 1/4/54	384.00		
" 1/22/54	639.00		
Supervission	250.00		
Total	1,273.00	X .0431	54.87
Schedule M: Power.			
United Park City Mines Company			116.33

NARRATIVE
1200 & 1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT IDME - 265

GENERAL

The last 66ft. of the December footage was for the most part driven as an upward incline on the bedding. The incline drift developed "weight", so the 66 ft was abandoned and the Jan.'54 footage of 76 ft. was driven on the main 1200 level beginning at the foot of the incline at a point 68 ft. from the main raise. The face of the 1200 drift then on Jan. 31, 1954 was 144 ft. from the raise.

GEOLOGY

The advance for Jan. was driven eastward on the main south-dipping fissure having Weber quartzite on the footwall and Park City lime on the hanging wall, the position in the latter, except for the last few feet, being under the Jenney.

For the most part, the advance showed vein quartz and manganese oxide with an occasional showing of galena, sphalerite and tetrahedrite, some of which was enough concentrated to permit saving as ore. At the face the Jenney quartzite bed was seen rising from the floor in the hanging wall and on it occurred 6" or more of ore of good grade ore. Any further advance must be as an upward incline on the top of the Jenney.

Any favorable structural change, such as a curve in the main fissure, a junction of fissures, or a roll in the hanging wall beds, might result in a volume concentration of ore, always assuming the ore-bearing solutions were in sufficient volume.

Park City, Utah.
Jan. 31, 1954.

E A Lewis
Geologist



PLAN



LONG SECTION
Looking North

1200 & 1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT IDME-265
Jan 31, 1959

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

May 3, 1954

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch County, Utah. MF-104 forms for December 1953

Enclosed are the necessary copies of the subject operator's MF-104 form report for December 1953. M. H. Howes inspected the property April 27, 1954, and verified the reported progress by measurement. A total of 66 feet of drifting was accomplished during the month.

The subdrift was driven in an easterly direction along the upper contact of the Jenney quartzite. The relationship between the quartzite and the "A" fissure is somewhat confused. A small body of high-grade ore was found along the fissure. A small tonnage of ore has been stockpiled for future shipment.

Work accomplished during the reporting period is in conformance with the contract. It is recommended that the operator be reimbursed by 50 percent of the amount listed, subject to final audit and liquidation of the jointly owned equipment. MF-104 forms to be submitted for part of January 1954 will be the final forms from the operator.

The MF-104 forms were received in this office April 5, 1954. Delay in processing the forms resulted from the work load of the inspecting engineer and the interpretation of certain questionable items clarified by the recent audit.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

S. R. Wilson

April 30, 1954

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for December 1953

The property was inspected on April 27, 1954, in the company of Nick Floor. It is verified that 66 feet of progress in drifting during December, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

The subdrift was driven easterly along the upper contact of the Jenney quartzite in the hanging wall of the "A" fissure on the 1200 level. The drift encountered a small fault, about 20 feet from the "A" fissure, which swung the heading to the left and eventually back to the "A" fissure. A small lens of high-grade ore was encountered on the fissure.

The accounts were checked, and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive, except the charge for miscellaneous materials and supplies (described below).

Item (3), Miscellaneous - The rental charge of $5.00 for battery lamp by United Park City Mines Company should be disallowed because there is no such allowance in the contract.

Item (4), Purchase - The charge of $103.91 from Gardner-Denver Co. for drill machine parts should be placed under Item (7), Repairs to Equipment.

All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report and map are considered to be adequate.

Payment is approved for $1,291.31, which is 50 percent of the total cost for December of $2,582.62, after disallowance of $5.00 for battery lamp.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.4
Approval expires 6-30-53.

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of December, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Co. Minerals Lead - Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS Schedule		FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:							
Drilling							
Bulldozing							
Crosscutting							
Drifting	A	1068.00	12,416.73	13,484.73			
Raising			4,172.00	4,172.00			
(2) Labor and Supervision:							
Labor			716.75	716.75			
Supervision		250.00	4,537.50	4,787.50			
Technical Services		100.00	1,125.00	1,225.00			
Bookkeeping		70.00	1,260.00	1,330.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:							
Timber	B	34.44	2,986.84	3,021.28			
Explosives	C	56.97	1,621.05	1,678.02			
Pipe	D	12.70	984.18	996.88			
Track			153.67	153.67			
Miscellaneous	E	5.25	1,132.78	1,138.03			
Drill Steel &Bits	F	11.27	610.65	621.92			
Vent Pipe			1,362.11	1,362.11			
(4) Operating Equipment:							
Rental	G	83.50	3,513.95	3,597.45			
Purchase	H	103.91	5,020.61	5,124.52			
Depreciation	I	202.27	2,830.58	3,032.85			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment	J	35.64	161.92	197.56			
Sampling and Analysis	K	5.00	57.50	62.50			
Payroll Taxes	L	55.36	1,017.48	1,072.84			
Liability Insurance	M	66.63	1,043.46	1,110.09			
Sundries			49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Air Power & charge panels	N	426.68	1,100.00	1,526.68			
TOTALS		2587.62	47,874.73	50,462.35			

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.
Date 3/29/54 *Payee New Quincy Mining Co.
Per [signature] Title President

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$

Signature
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date Vou. No.
(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E 265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes JRW Title Mining Engineer Date Apr. 30, 1954

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date AUG 19 1954

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

Form MF-104A
(April 1962)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151.1
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of December 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Co. Minerals Lead - Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Units	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Unit Costs
Drifting	feet	2587.62	66	33,838.17	934	36.23		
Crosscutting								
Raising				11,603.57	153	75.90		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		2587.62		45,441.74				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				5,020.61				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		2587.62		50,462.35				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 3/29/54 Operator New Quincy Mining Co.

Per [signature] Title President

REMARKS:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING CO.
Salt Lake City, Utah

December 1953

SCHEDULE A - Drifting					
Theodore Housel	68 feet @ 6.00/ft.	$408.00	*Less 7.50		$400.50
Floyd Webster	68 feet @ 6.00/ft.	408.00	*Less 7.50		400.50
Albert Price	68 feet @ 4.00/ft.	272.00	*Less 5.00		267.00
Total					1068.00
*Deducted for not mucking					
SCHEDULE B - Timber					
United Park City Mines Co.					34.44
SCHEDULE C - Explosives					
Western Powder Co.				2.75	
United Park City Mines Co.				54.22	
Total					56.97
SCHEDULE D - Pipe					
United Park City Mines Co.					12.70
SCHEDULE E - Miscellaneous					
United Park City Mines Co.					5.25
SCHEDULE F - Drill Steel & Bits					
United Park City Mines Co.					11.27
SCHEDULE G - Rentals					
United Park City Mines Co.					83.50
SCHEDULE H - Equipment Purchased					
Gardner-Denver Co.					103.91
SCHEDULE I - Depreciation					
Trammer				62.50	
Battery Charger				18.60	
Mine Cars				77.00	
Gardner-Denver Compressor				44.17	
Total					202.27
SCHEDULE J - Repairs to Equipment					
United Park City Mines Co.					35.64
SCHEDULE K - Sampling & Analysis					
Crismon & Nichols					5.00
SCHEDULE L - Payroll Taxes					
Labor - Schedule A				1068.00	
Supervision				250.00	
Total				1318.00 x 4.2% =	55.36

NEW QUINCY MINING CO.
Salt Lake City, Utah

December 1953

SCHEDULE M - Workmen's Compensation				
Labor Dec. 9	576.00			
Labor Dec. 22	720.00			
Supervision	250.00			
Total	1546.00	x .0431		66.63

SCHEDULE N - Power		
United Park City Mines Co.	113.54	
Add: From supplemental Report Nov. 1953:		
September Power	70.40	
October Power	127.98	
November Power	114.85	
Total		426.68

NARRATIVE
1200 & 1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT IDME - 265

GENERAL

On Dec. 3, 1953 the face of the east drift on the 1200 level was 74 ft. east of the raise. On Dec. 31, 1953 the face is 134 ft. east fo the raise, making an advance of 60 ft. plus 6 ft. slabbing round to make a turn or a total of 66 ft of drift to be paid for this month.

GEOLOGY

At 75 ft. east of the raise the top of the Jenney quartzite was recognized rising from the floor of the drift at 25 degrees southwest dipon the hanging wall side of the fissure. As the mineralization here at the top of the Jenney quartzite next to the fissure consisting of a slight copper stain with a foot or so of chlorite was encouraging but carried no ore, it was decided to turn out into the hanging wall and roughly follow the top of the Jenney in search of a possible hanging wall fissure. At 20' such a fissure was found and the Jenney was faulted below the level. The drift was then turned on this fault and in 30 ft. the junction of the fault with the main fissure was found. Here there is quite a good showing of typical Park City ore, yellow zinc, galena, and tetrahedrite. This occurs as spots and streaks over a width of fissure of 18".

This showing is encouraging as the face of the drift is still above the Jenney quartzite which should be found rising from the floor in a few rounds.

Park City, Utah.
Dec. 31, 1953.

E A Hewitt
Geologist.

PLAN



LONG SECTION
Looking North

1200 & 1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT- IDME-26
Dec. 31, 1958 50-Scale
E. A. Hewitt.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

April 27, 1954

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA 113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch County, Utah. Supplemental MF-104 forms for the period September through November 1953

Attached are the necessary copies of a supplemental MF-104 form report submitted by the subject operator to cover the period September through November 1953. No claim is made for reimbursement. The forms are submitted merely for the record and to correct disallowances made during the above period.

Stephen R. Wilson/ds
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

April 26, 1954

S. R. Wilson

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Supplemental report of adjustments on forms MF-104 and MF-104A, September through November 1953

The New Quincy Mining Co. has submitted a supplemental report correcting the November 1953 MF-104 and MF-104A forms for disallowances made from September through November 1953.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form M[illegible]104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.4
Approval expires 6-30-53.

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Supplemental Report

Month of November, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Co. Minerals Lead - Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS		FOR OPERATOR'S USE			FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY		
Schedule		Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:							
Drilling							
Bulldozing							
Crosscutting							
Drifting	A	1488.00	10,928.73	12,416.73			
Raising	B	192.00	3,980.00	4,172.00			
(2) Labor and Supervision:							
Labor			716.75	716.75			
Supervision		250.00	4,287.50	4,537.50			
Technical Services		125.00	1,000.00	1,125.00			
Bookkeeping		70.00	1,190.00	1,260.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:							
Timber Aug. '53	B	-(.34)	2,987.18	2,986.84			
Explosives	C	153.79	1,467.26	1,621.05			
Pipe	D	4.86	979.32	984.18			
Track			153.67	153.67			
Miscellaneous	E	1.69	1,131.09	1,132.78			
Drill Steel &Bits	F	15.97	594.68	610.65			
Vent Pipe			1,362.11	1,362.11			
(4) Operating Equipment:							
Rental	G	78.50	3,435.45	3,513.95			
Purchase			5,020.61	5,020.61			
Depreciation	H	202.27	2,628.31	2,830.58			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment			161.92	161.92			
Sampling and Analysis	I	57.50		57.50			
Payroll Taxes	J	81.06	936.42	1,017.48			
Liability Insurance	K	58.36	985.10	1,043.46			
Sundries			49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Air Power & Charge panel	L	-(198.29)	1,298.29	1,100.00			
TOTALS		2580.37	45,294.36	47,874.73			

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 3/29/54 *Payee New Quincy Mining Co.

Per [signature] Title President

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$

Signature
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date Vou. No.
(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-EUS in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Lowe - SRW Title Mining Engineer Date Apr. 23, 1954

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE:

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date AUG 19 1954

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form 21F-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151.1
Approval expires 6-30-53.

U. S. Department of Interior
RECEIVED
APR 5 1954
Salt Lake City, Utah

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Supplemental Report

Month of November 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E265

Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Co. Minerals Lead - Zinc

Address Salt Lake City, Utah

Operation	Unit	Costs This Month	Units This Month	Costs to Date	Units to Date	Unit Costs to Date	Authorized by Contract: Units	Authorized by Contract: Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	feet	2193.31	68	31,250.55	868	36.00		
Raising	feet	387.06	12	11,603.57	153	75.90		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
Total Distributed Costs		2580.37		42,854.12				Total Costs Authorized by Contract
Operating Equipment Purchased				5,020.61				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
Total Costs		2580.37		47,874.73				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date March 25, 1954 Operator New Quincy Mining Co.

Per N. D. Floor Title President

Remarks: Costs figured @ 32.2546 per ft.

$2580.37 divided by 80 = 32.2546

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING CO.
Salt Lake City, Utah

Supplemental Report
November 1953

SCHEDULE B - Aug. 1953

3a - Timber		
Disallowed 12/3/53 - acct. Jack Beck	.34¢	
Total		-(.34¢)

SCHEDULE G - Aug. 1953

4a - Equipment Rental	83.50	
Disallowed 12/3/53 (Battery Lamp not authorized)	5.00	
Total		78.50

SCHEDULE L - November 1953

8a - Air Power, etc.	114.85	
Schedule J, Sept. 1953		
Disallowed, excess of contract	70.40	
Schedule N, Oct. 1953		
Disallowed, excess of contract	127.89	
Schedule L, Nov. 1953		
Adjustment to contract limit	-(114.85)	
Total		(198.29)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

December 24, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (lead-zinc), Contract Idm-4265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for November 1953

Transmitted herewith are the necessary copies of the operator's report covering work accomplished under the subject contract during November 1953. An inspection of the property was made by M. H. Howes December 7, 1953. Work reported on the MF-104 forms was verified by measurement.

Advance during the month totaled 68 feet of drifting and 12 feet of raising. A small body of sulfide ore was intersected at a point approximately 55 feet east of the main raise. On the sublevel drift an attempt is being made to follow the Jenney quartzite. A bed believed to be the Jenney quartzite was intersected at a point approximately 40 feet from the raise.

Work reported by the operator is in conformance with the contract and it is recommended that repayment be made in the manner described in the inspecting engineer's report.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator December 21, 1953.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson Dec. 23, 1953

M. N. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for November 1953.

The property was inspected on December 7, 1953, in the company of Nick Floor. It is verified that 12 feet of progress in raising and 66 feet of progress in subdrifting during November, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

The subdrift was driven easterly along the "A" fissure during November. A small pod of sulphide ore was encountered from 55 to 65 feet east of the main raise. This ore was followed upward 12 feet above the top of the drift, where it pinched out. The pod averaged 2 feet in thickness and 5 feet in length. A selected sample assayed 166 ounces Ag, 21.8 percent Pb, 10.45 percent Zn, and 2.5 percent Cu. About 10 tons of rock from the raise has been stockpiled for possible ore. The footwall continues to be Weber quartzite and the hanging wall is Park City limestone. At a point about 40 feet east of the raise, quartzite rises from the floor on the hanging wall side of the drift. This appears to be the Jenney quartzite. On top of the quartzite is about 6 inches of copper-stained, gouge material. The drift was driven easterly 14 feet beyond the ore pipe. There is no ore in the face.

The accounts were checked and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive, except the charge for power, which should be disallowed because it is in excess of the contract allowable maximum.

Payment is approved for $1,392.00, which is 50 percent of the total cost for November of $2,898.85, less disallowance of $114.85 for power.

M. N. Howes

M. N. Howes

Form MP-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.4
Approval expires 6-30-53.

U. S. Bureau of Mines
RECEIVED
21
DEC 3 1953

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of November, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E-265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead - Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	Sched.	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:							
Drilling							
Bulldozing							
Crosscutting							
Drifting	A	1488.00	10,928.73	12,416.73			
Raising	B	192.00	3,980.00	4,172.00			
(2) Labor and Supervision:							
Labor			716.75	716.75			
Supervision		250.00	4,287.50	4,537.50			
Technical Services		125.00	1,000.00	1,125.00			
Bookkeeping		70.00	1,190.00	1,260.00			
(3) Operating Materials and Supplies:							
Timber			2,987.18	2,987.18			
Explosives	C	153.79	1,467.26	1,621.05			
Pipe	D	4.86	979.32	984.18			
Track			153.67	153.67			
Miscellaneous	E	1.69	1,131.09	1,132.78			
Drill Steel & Bit	F	15.97	594.68	610.65			
Vent Pipe			1,362.11	1,362.11			
(4) Operating Equipment:							
Rental	G	83.50	3,435.45	3,518.95			
Purchase			5,020.61	5,020.61			
Depreciation	H	202.27	2,628.31	2,830.58			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment			161.92	161.92			
Sampling and Analysis	I	57.50		57.50			
Payroll Taxes	J	81.06	936.42	1,017.48			
Liability Insurance	K	58.36	985.10	1,043.46			
Sundries			49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Air Power & charge panel	L	114.85	1,298.29	1,413.14			
TOTALS		2898.85	45,294.36	48,193.21			

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 12/11/53 *Payee New Quincy Mining Co.

Per Glen F. Crandall Title Sec'y - Treas.

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$

Signature
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date Vou. No.
(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howle ACW Title Mining Engineer Date Dec. 22, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE:

Signature ..... Title ..... Date .....

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February, 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151.1
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of November 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E-265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead - Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	Unit	Costs This Month	Units This Month	Costs to Date	Units to Date	Unit Costs to Date	Authorized by Contract: Units	Authorized by Contract: Unit Costs
Drifting								
* Crosscutting	feet	2,464.02	68	31,521.26	868	36.32		
* Raising	feet	434.83	12	11,651.34	153	76.15		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
Total Distributed Costs		2898.85		43,172.60				Total Costs Authorized by Contract
Operating Equipment Purchased				5,020.61				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
Total Costs		2898.85		48,193.21				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date December 11'53 Operator New Quincy Mining Co.

Per Glen F. Brandell Title Sec'y - Treas.

Remarks: * Costs figured at $36.2356 per foot

($2898.85 ÷ 80 = 36.2356)

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF-104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF-104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF-104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF-104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF-104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING CO.
November 1953

SCHEDULE A - DRIFTING

Theodore Housel	$558.00
Floyd Webster	558.00
Albert Price	372.00
Total	1488.00

SCHEDULE B - RAISING

Theodore Housel - 12' @ 6.00	72.00
Floyd Webster - 12' @ 6.00	72.00
Albert Price - 12' @ 4.00	48.00
Total	192.00

SCHEDULE C - EXPLOSIVES

Western Powder Co.	2.75
United Park City Mines Co.	151.04
Total	153.79

SCHEDULE D - PIPE

United Park City Mines Co.	4.86

SCHEDULE E - MISCELLANEOUS

United Park City Mines Co.	1.69

SCHEDULE F - DRILL STEEL & BITS

United Park City Mines Co.	15.97

SCHEDULE G - RENTAL

United Park City Mines Co.	33.50

SCHEDULE H - DEPRECIATION

Trammer	62.50
Battery Charger	18.60
Mine Cars	77.00
Gardner-Denver Compressor	44.17
Total	202.27

SCHEDULE I - SAMPLING & ANALYSIS

Crismon & Nichols	57.50

SCHEDULE J - PAYROLL TAXES

Labor	Schedule A	$1488.00		
"	" B	192.00		
Supervision		250.00		
Total		1930.00	x 4.2	81.06

SCHEDULE K - WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION

Labor 11/7	400.00		
" 11/18	704.00		
Supervision	250.00		
Total	1354.00	x .0431	58.36

SCHEDULE L - POWER

United Park City Mines Co.	114.85

I certify the above to be true and legitimate payments as stated.

Dated December 11, 1953

Glen I. Crandall

Glen I. Crandall, Sec'y - Treas.

NARRATIVE
1200 & 1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DIA - 113
CONTRACT IDMS - 265

GENERAL

On Nov. 3, 1953 the face of the east 1200 drift was 6 ft. from the raise. On Dec. 3, 1953 the face of this drift is 74 ft east of the raise making an advance for the month of 68 ft. In addition in the east drift at 55' to 65' a small raise 12 ft. high was driven up on a streak of ore. This raise was driven without timber except two stulls and is considered the equivalent in labor of a drift and the footage was so paid.

Then the total advance for the month is 68 ft. plus 12 ft or the equivalent of 80 ft. of drift.

GEOLOGY

The 68 ft of drift driven on the east 1200 level followed the main fissure having on its footwall Weber quartzite and on its hanging wall Park City lime at about the Jenney quartzite horizon.

From 55' to 65' east of the main raise a small raise was driven 12' high on a streak of sulphide ore up to 3' wide. This sulphide carries much tetrahedrite and a specimen assayed 166 oz. Ag., 21.80% Pb.,10.45% Zn and 2.60% Cu. Some ten cars have been set aside for sampling but it is questionable.

At the top of this 12' raise the ore pinched out and the drift continued ahead on the 1200 level. Near the face a quartzite bed is seen rising from the floor in the hanging wall. This is probably the Jenney and at its top there is a gouge bedding plane on which there is some 6" of copper carbonate which is a good prospect to follow out as an incline drift upward if necessary.

Park City, Utah.
Dec. 3, 1953.

E. N. Herwick
Geologist



1200 & 1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT - IDME-265
Dec 3, 1953 50 Scale
E. A. Hewitt



LONG. SECTION
LOOKING North

CROSS-SECTION ON RAISE
LOOKING S.W.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

1/11/54 Received

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

January 4, 1954

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Docket DMEA 113, Contract Idm-F265 (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah - Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period October, 1953

Enclosed are two copies of Operator's Monthly Progress Report Form DE-101 and 101A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data pertaining to Docket DMEA 113, Contract Idm-F265, New Quincy Mining Company, for the period October, 1953.

W. S. King
W. S. King

Enclosures

12

Chron
Info
Info.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: December 30, 1953
Payee's Reference: Progress Report
October, 1953

New Quincy Mining Co.,
521 Felt Building
Salt Lake City 1, Utah
Ex-1265

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ 3,607.59

Difference $ 127.09

Amount Approved $ 3,479.70

The reason for the difference was due to:

Allowable Premium of Amended Contract for Power is $100.00 Power claimed in this voucher is $227.09 in "Totals to Date" column which is an excess of $70.10 for September and $56.99 was disallowed on September report and $127.09 disallowed in this report for October.

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 18, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period ~~September 1953~~. October 1953.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions.

Power $127.69
Power is specified as an allowable maximum in the Amended Contract at $1,100.00.

Remarks:

Date of Report	November 30, 1953.
Date Received in Field Office	December 3, 1953.
Date Received in Region Office	December 17, 1953.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

RDB:cwm

cc: Contract
Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2)
SRWilson
Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

December 15, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMA-113 (lead-zinc), Contract Ida-8265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for October 1953

Five copies of MF-104 forms listing subject contract expenditures for October 1953 are herewith transmitted. M. M. Moses inspected the property and prepared the enclosed progress report.

After reaching the barren base of the Park City limestone in the footwall at 141 feet, the raise was stopped and subdrifting was started in the mineralized zone at a point 93 feet above the drift. The subdrift was driven 19 feet west of the raise, where the mineralization greatly diminished, and 6 feet east of the raise. It is planned to continue the east drift because ore possibilities are stronger in that direction, and the chances of encountering the Jermay quartzite is greater to the east.

Vent pipe and fan have been installed, and the forced ventilation system is operating satisfactorily.

Project work accomplished during the period is in conformance with the contract. Payment to the operator should be made as recommended by the inspecting engineer.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator on December 3, 1953. A total delay of seven working days in transmitting the forms to Denver was caused by the heavy work load of the inspecting engineer and the necessity of waiting for proper documentation for certain cost items from the operator. The latter delay was four days.

Stephen R Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson

Dec. 14, 1953

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for October 1953

The property was inspected on November 13, 1953, in the company of George N. Floor. It is verified that 13.5 feet of progress in the raise and 25 feet of progress in the subdrift during October, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

The raise was stopped at 141 feet after encountering the barren base of the Park City limestone in the footwall. A subdrift was started at a point 93 feet above the main drift, where strong ore mineralization occurs. This point is believed to be at or near the Jenney horizon in the hanging wall. The subdrift was driven 19 feet west of the raise and 6 feet east of the raise along the mineralized "A" fissure. Mineralization diminished as the drift was driven westerly. The east drift will be pursued from now on, as evidence of possible ore is stronger in that direction. Also, since the beds are dipping westerly, depth is being gained by driving to the east, and thereby the chance of finding the Jenney quartzite is greater to the east.

Installation of fan and vent pipe has been completed and the ventilation system is now being successfully operated.

The accounts were checked and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Payment is approved for $1,803.80, which is 50 percent of the total cost for October of $3,607.59.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

U. S. Bureau of Mines
RECEIVED
DEC 3 1953
Salt Lake City, Utah

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of October 3 195 Docket No. DMEA 115 Contract No. 1048-205
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead - Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, 50,700.00 Government Participation 50 % Amount, $25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	Schd.	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:							
Drilling							1/14/54 J.W.L.
Bulldozing							
Crosscutting							
Drifting	A	212.00	10,616.73	10,828.73			
Raising	A	743.50	3,234.50	3,900.00			
(2) Labor and Supervision:							
Labor			716.75	716.75			
Supervision		330.00	4,237.50	4,237.50			
Technical Services			[illegible]	[illegible]			
Roadbuilding		70.00	[illegible]	1,190.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:							
Timber	D	271.20	2,719.23	2,907.23			
Explosives	C	60.23	1,400.55	1,457.75			
Pipe	D	3.62	972.62	972.62			
Track			100.57	100.57			
Misc.	D	60.23	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Drill Steel & Bits	E	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Vent Pipe	E	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
(4) Operating Equipment:							
Rental	G	60.50	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Purchase	I	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Depreciation	J	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment	H	6.74	152.33	161.92			
Sampling and Analysis							
Payroll Taxes	L	59.55	876.57	936.12			
Liability Insurance	M	57.15	927.93	985.10			
Sundries			49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Air Power & Elec. Panel	H	127.09	1,170.40	1,290.29	00	1,170.40	1,170.40
					3,479.70	41,686.77	45,166.47
						- 70.40	- 70.40
TOTALS		3,007.59	41,606.77	45,294.36			

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.
Date 11/30/53 *Payee New Quincy Mining Company **
Per Geo. L. Crandall Title Sec'y - Treas.

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

* Disallowed for power in September
** Previous Disallowance

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of 3,479.70 41,611.03 45,090.73
$ 1739.85

Signature
(Authorized Certifying Officer)
Date 12/29/53 Vou. No. DMA 54-66
(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. L. Howes LRW Title Mining Engineer Date Dec. 10, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R. D.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date DEC 18 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151.1
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of October 1952 Docket No. DMEA 220 Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name [illegible]
Address [illegible]
Minerals Lead - Zinc

OPERATION	Unit	Costs This Month	Units This Month	Costs to Date	Units to Date	Unit Costs to Date	Authorized by Contract: Units	Authorized by Contract: Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	2000	1,421.03	25	[illegible]	000	30.00		
Raising	2000	1,000.00	25	[illegible]	22	70.55		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
Total Distributed Costs		3,300.00		40,370.75				Total Costs Authorized by Contract
Operating Equipment Purchased		200.00		5,000.00				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
Total Costs		3,500.00		45,[illegible]				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 11/30/52 Operator [illegible]

Per Allen S. Crandall Title Secy - Treas.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

Remarks:

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

October 1953

	TOTAL	RAISING	DRIFTING
SCHEDULE A - LABOR:			
Theodore Housel	$442.46	$329.00	$113.46
Floyd Webster	428.27	329.00	99.27
Dean Doyle	87.50	87.50	
Albert Price	99.27		99.27
Total	1,057.50	745.50	312.00
SCHEDULE B - TIMBER:			
Jack Beck	12.60	6.30	6.30
United Park City Mines Co.	259.26	129.63	129.63
Total	271.86	135.93	135.93
SCHEDULE C - EXPLOSIVES:			
United Park City Mines Co.	60.38	30.19	30.19
SCHEDULE D - PIPE:			
United Park City Mines Co.	3.71		3.71
SCHEDULE E - MISCELLANEOUS:			
George Floor - Cash Purchases	8.31	4.16	4.15
United Park City Mines Co.	34.08	17.04	17.04
Total	42.39	21.20	21.19
SCHEDULE F - DRILL STEEL & BITS:			
United Park City Mines Co.	43.44	21.72	21.72
SCHEDULE G - VENT PIPE:			
American Brattice Cloth Co.	993.95	496.98	496.97
SCHEDULE H - RENTAL:			
United Park City Mines Co.	63.50	31.75	31.75
SCHEDULE I - EQUIPMENT PURCHASE:			
Coppus Engineering Co.	287.03	143.52	143.51
Transport Clearings of Utah	11.91	5.95	5.96
Total	298.94	149.47	149.47

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

October 1953

		TOTAL	PARSONS	DRUMMOND
SCHEDULE J - DEPRECIATION:				
Trammer		$ 62.50	$ 31.25	$ 31.25
Battery Charger		18.60	9.30	9.30
Mine Cars		77.00	38.50	38.50
Gardner-Denver Compressor		44.17	22.09	22.09
Total		202.27	101.13	101.14
SCHEDULE K - REPAIRS TO EQUIPMENT:				
George Floor		1.50	.75	.75
Gardner-Denver Co.		7.24	3.62	3.62
Total		8.74	4.37	4.37
SCHEDULE L - PAYROLL TAXES:				
Labor (A)	$1,057.50			
Timber Cutting (B)	12.60			
Supervision	250.00			
Total	1,320.10			
Tax Rate 4.2		55.44		
United Park City Mines Co.		.41		
Total Payroll Taxes		55.85	37.03	18.82
SCHEDULE M - WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION:				
Labor (A)	$1,057.50			
Timber Cutting (B)	12.60			
Supervision	250.00			
Total	1,320.10			
Rate .0431		56.90		
United Park City Mines Co.		.27		
Total		57.17	37.88	19.29
SCHEDULE N - POWER:				
United Park City Mines Co.		127.89	63.94	63.95

I certify the above to be true and legitimate payments as stated.

Dated November 30, 1953

Glen L. Crandall

Glen L. Crandall, Sec'y - Treas.

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

October 1953

ITEMS OF COST	RAISE	DRIFT	TOTAL
Labor	$745.50	$312.00	$1,057.50
Supervision	125.00	125.00	250.00
Bookkeeping	35.00	35.00	70.00
Timber	135.93	135.93	271.86
Explosives	30.19	30.19	60.38
Pipe		3.71	3.71
Miscellaneous	21.20	21.19	42.39
Drill Steel & Bits	21.72	21.72	43.44
Vent Pipe	496.98	496.97	993.95
Rental	31.75	31.75	63.50
Purchase	149.47	149.47	298.94
Depreciation	101.13	101.14	202.27
Repairs to Equipment	4.37	4.37	8.74
Payroll Tax	37.03	18.82	55.85
Workmen's Compensation	37.88	19.29	57.17
Power	63.94	63.95	127.89
Total for October 1953	$2,037.09	$1,570.50	$3,607.59

NARRATIVE
1200 & 1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
EMA - 113
CONTRACT ID# - 265

GENERAL

On Sept. 30, 1953 the raise was driven 127½ ft. above the sill caps and on Oct. 13, 1953 the back of the raise was 141 ft. above the sill caps or an advance of 13.5 ft for the period mentioned. At this point the raise was stopped.

A station was cut off the raise at 93 ft. above the sill caps and a drift started in either direction on the fissure. These drifts are at about the 1200 level so will be called 1200 drifts. On Nov. 3, 1953 the 1200 west drift was driven 19 ft. from the raise and the east drift was advanced 6 ft. from the raise.

The advance then for the period Sept. 30, 1953 to Nov. 3, 1953 is
Raise 13.5 ft. and the two drifts 25 ft.

GEOLOGY

At about the point where the raise was stopped the base of the Park City appears in the footwall side of the raise. This is an objective sought in the raise work and there being no mineralization of consequence, the raise was stopped and work started at the 1200 level off the raise.

At the 19 ft point west of the raise the 1200 west drift was stopped and work started to the east. In the west drift there was some scattered ore sulphides but it is thought the better prospect is in the east drift over the ore showings found on the 1300 level at about 40' and 140' eastward.

These showings on the 1300 level just above the base of the Park City are significant could well indicate ore deposition in quantity on the Jenney quartzite horizon in the east 1200 drift. Over similar showings ore has sometimes been found elsewhere in this district.

Park City, Utah.
Nov. 3, 1953.

E. A. Hewitt
Geologist

1200 & 1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO.
DMA-113
CONTRACT - IDME-265
Nov. 3, 1953 50 Scale



PLAN



LONG. SECTION
LOOKING North



CROSS-SECTION ON RAISE
LOOKING S.W.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver2, Colorado

1/7/54. Received by D.M.E.A.
January 4, 1954

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Docket DMEA 113, Contract Idm-8265 (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah - Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period September, 1953

Enclosed are two copies of Operator's Monthly Progress Report Form MP-104 and 104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-8265, New Quincy Mining Company, for the period September, 1953.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

22

Without copy of reports
cc:
Owen

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

[illegible] 1957

Date: December 30, 1959
Payee's
Reference: Payment Report

September, 1959

[illegible] Mining Co.,
[illegible] Building
Salt Lake City, Utah
[illegible]

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ 2,341.17

Difference $ 70.10

Amount Approved $ 2,271.07

The reason for the difference was due to:

Allowable maximum for Power in Amended Contract of March 12, 1959 is $1[illegible].00 Amount claimed for Power in this report is $1[illegible].75 which makes the "Total to Date" for power $1[illegible].10 or an excess of $70.10

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 18, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period September 1953.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions.

Power $70.40
Power is specified as an allowable maximum in the Amended Contract at $1,100.00.

Remarks:

Date of Report	November 30, 1953.
Date Received in Field Office	December 3, 1953.
Date Received in Region Office	December 17, 1953.

W. H. King

Enclosures

RDB:cwm

cc: Contract
Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2)
SRWilson
Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

December 15, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for September 1953

Transmitted herewith are five copies of MF-104 forms listing subject contract expenditures during September 1953. An inspection of the progress work was made by M. M. Howes.

The raise has been advanced to a distance of 127½ feet above the drift, following the "A" fissure, with Park City limestone in the hanging wall and Weber quartzite in the footwall. The Jenney horizon has not yet been positively identified, but is believed to be in the vicinity of the zone of strong mineralization about 93 feet up the raise. The raise will be continued until the base of the Park City limestone is reached in the footwall.

Clearing of powder smoke and bad air by natural ventilation is becoming more difficult as the raise progresses. Since it is now necessary to prepare for forced ventilation, vent pipe and fan have been ordered for early delivery, and the installation of vent pipe hangers has been made.

Work accomplished during the reporting period is in conformance with the contract. It is recommended that the operator be reimbursed in the manner listed in the inspecting engineer's report.

The MF-104 forms were received from the contractor December 3, 1953. A delay of three days in transmitting the forms to Denver resulted from the heavy work load of the inspecting engineer, and a four-day delay was caused by the necessity of waiting for proper documentation for certain cost items to be sent in by the operator.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson

Dec. 11, 1953

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for September 1953

The property was inspected on November 13, 1953, in the company of George N. Floor. It is verified that 25 feet of progress in the raise during September, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

The face of the raise is 127½ feet above the top of the drift. The raise continues to be driven along the "A" fissure with Park City limestone in the hanging wall and Weber quartzite in the footwall. Although no quartzite has been found in the hanging wall, it is still believed that the Jenney horizon is at or close to the strong mineralization about 93 feet up the raise. It is planned to continue the raise until the base of the Park City limestone in the footwall is reached.

The raise has arrived at a point where ventilation is necessary. Vent pipe and fan have been ordered for early delivery. Installation of vent pipe hangers has been accomplished, so the actual installation of the pipe and fan will require very little additional work.

The accounts were checked and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Item (3), Vent Pipe, is a labor charge for work preparatory to the installation of the vent pipe and is considered to be an indirect cost of the vent pipe.

Payment is approved for $1,170.74, which is 50 percent of the total cost for September of $2,341.47.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form M[illegible]-1[illegible]4 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.4
Approval expires 6-30-53.

RECEIVED
DEC 3 1953
Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of October, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 223 Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name Lou Valley Mining Company Minerals Lead - Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 30,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS		FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:							1/14/54 J.W.L.
Drilling							
Bulldozing			10,010.73	10,010.73			
Crosscutting							
Drifting							
Raising	A	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
(2) Labor and Supervision:							
Labor			725.75	725.75			
Supervision		[illegible]	3,707.50	4,007.50			
Technical Services		[illegible]	950.00	1,000.00			
Contractor Fee		70.00	1,050.00	1,120.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:							
Timber	B	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Explosives			[illegible]	[illegible]			
Pipe	C	[illegible]	972.02	972.02			
Track			153.07	153.07			
Tools	D	60.57	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Drill Steel & Bits			[illegible]	[illegible]			
Vent Pipe	E	360.36	[illegible]	360.36			
(4) Operating Equipment:							
Rental	F	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Purchase			[illegible]	[illegible]			
Depreciation	G	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment			159.30	159.30			
Sampling and Analysis	H	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Payroll Taxes	I	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Liability Insurance			[illegible]	[illegible]			
Contingencies			49.77	49.77			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Bond	J	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	51.35	1048.65	1100.00
TOTALS		[illegible]	39,345.30	41,616.37	2,271.07	39,345.30	41,616.37
						5.34	5.34

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 12/30/53 *Payee Lou Valley Mining Company

Per Glen L Beardsley Title Secy - Treas.

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

2,271.07 39,339.96 41,611.03

$ 1135.53

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 12/29/53 Vou. No. DMA 54-66

(See other side)

Previous Reductions

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. D. Howe Title Mining Engineer Date Dec. 10, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE:

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date DEC 18 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form DMF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151.1
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
RECEIVED
[illegible] 1954

Month of [illegible] 195[illegible] Docket No. DMEA [illegible] Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name [illegible] Mining Company Minerals [illegible]
Address [illegible]

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT: Units	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT: Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	[illegible]			[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		
Raising	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		[illegible]		[illegible]				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				[illegible]				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		[illegible]		[illegible]				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 11/30/53 Operator [illegible] Mining Company

Per [illegible] Title Co'ty - Treas.

REMARKS:

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

September 1953

SCHEDULE A - RAISING:

Theodore Housel	$236.25
Floyd Webster	236.25
Dean Boyle	157.50
Total	630.00

SCHEDULE B - TIMBER:

Jack Beck	23.50
United Park City Mines Co.	360.76
Total	384.26

SCHEDULE C - PIPE:

United Park City Mines Co.	13.54

SCHEDULE D - MISCELLANEOUS:

Mine & Smelter Supply Co.	13.52
United Park City Mines Co.	52.05
Total	65.57

SCHEDULE E - VENT PIPE:

Theodore Housel	184.08
Floyd Webster	184.08
Total	368.16

SCHEDULE F - RENTAL:

United Park City Mines Co.	63.50

SCHEDULE G - DEPRECIATION:

Trammer	62.50
Battery Charger	18.60
Mine Cars	77.00
Gardner-Denver Compressor	44.17
Total	202.27

SCHEDULE H - PAYROLL TAXES:

Raising	(A)	$630.00	
Vent Pipe	(E)	368.16	
Timber Cutting		23.50	
Supervision		250.00	
		1,271.66 x 4.2	53.41
United Park City Mines Co.			.72
Total			54.13

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

September 1953

SCHEDULE I - WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION:

Raising	(A)	$630.00	
Vent Pipe	(E)	368.16	
Timber Cutting		23.50	
Supervision		250.00	
		1,271.66 x .0497	$ 63.20
United Park City Mines Co.			5.09
Total			68.29

SCHEDULE J - POWER:

United Park City Mines Co.	$121.75

I certify the above to be true and legitimate payments as stated.

Dated November 30, 1953

Glen I. Crandall

Glen I. Crandall, Sec'y - Treas.

NARRATIVE
1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
EMA - 113
CONTRACT IDMX - 265

GENERAL

On August 31, 1953 the raise was driven 102½ ft. above the sill caps. On September 30, 1953 the back of the raise is 127½ ft. above the sill caps, so the advance for the month of September is 25 ft.

GEOLOGY

The fissure walls continue to be Park City lime in the hanging and Weber quartzite in the footwall. It now appears after having driven well past and not finding more quartzite in the hanging wall that the Jenney quartzite was passed in the hanging wall at 80' to 93'. The identity of this favorable horizon was not here clear due to the presence of some interbedded lime. Also the occurrence of the best ore showing just above 93' is further of the location of the Jenney quartzite.

It is thought best to continue the raise to disclose the top of the Weber quartzite on the footwall as this is also a fertile horizon in some places in the district.

Park City, Utah.
Sept. 30, 1953.

E A Hewitt
Geologist

1500 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT - IDME-265
September 30, '53 50 Scale





LONG. SECTION
LOOKING North



CROSS-SECTION ON RAISE
LOOKING S.W.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Department of the Interior
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 28 1953

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 21, 1953.

Betty

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report, Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data pertaining to work under the subject contract for the month of August 1953.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 28 1953

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: 12/3/53
Payee's
Reference: Progress Report

August 1953

B 269

New Quincy Mining Co.
421 Felt Bldg.
Salt Lake City 1, Utah
ICA-B269

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ 2050.33

Difference $ 9.32

Amount Approved $ 2059.01

The reason for the difference was due to:

Amount claimed for Jack Neal for one day's pay	0 12.50
Allowed by Mineral Contract	12.16
	.34
(Cutting timber charged to timber) (see S.P.104)	
No provision made for rental of battery lamp as listed under United Park City Mines Co.	9.00
	9.34

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 28 1953

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 2, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period August 1953.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions.

Labor Maximum day's pay rate allowed by Amended contract $14.16. Amount claimed $14.50.	$0.34
Equipment Rental No provision for battery lamp rental in contract.	$5.00

Remarks:

Date of Report	October 5, 1953.
Date Received in Field Office	October 14, 1953.
Date Received in Region Office	November 25, 1953.

Delay in Field Office due to Operator not submitting required documentation with report. Documentation not submitted until November 16, 1953.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures
RDB:cwm
cc: Contract
Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2)
SRWilson
Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

November 24, 1953

DEC 28 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for August 1953

The original and four copies of MF-104 forms listing expenditures made during August 1953 under the subject contract are herewith transmitted. The property and accounts were inspected by M. H. Howes August 31, 1953.

An advance of 48 feet was made in the raise on the "A" fissure during August. Although the Jenney quartzite has not yet been discovered, sulphide mineralization encountered 90 to 100 feet up the raise in the fissure may indicate the presence of the Jenney horizon.

Recommendation is made that the expenditures be approved for payment as noted in the progress report.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator October 14, 1953. Documentation for certain expenditures was lacking and was not submitted by the company until November 16, 1953. This caused a delay of 33 days in transmitting the forms to Denver.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson

Nov. 24, 1953

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-zinc), Contract Idm-X265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for August 1953

The property was inspected on August 31, 1953, in the company of George N. Floor. It is verified that 48 feet of progress in the raise during August, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

The "A" fissure is being followed upward with Weber quartzite in the footwall and Park City limestone in the hanging wall. From 90 to 100 feet up in the raise an occurrence of sulphide mineralization, within the fissure, consisting of pyrite, galena and sphalerite, may indicate the presence of the Jenney horizon. The Jenney quartzite has not yet been found.

The accounts were checked and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive, except the charge for rental of battery lamp in Item (4), Rental. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Item (4), Rental - The charge of $5.00 by United Park City Mines Co. for rental of battery lamp should be disallowed because there is no such allowance in the contract.

Payment is approved for $1,426.68, which is 50 percent of the total cost for August of $2,858.35, less disallowance of $5.00 for battery lamp rental.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April, 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

U. S. Bureau of Mines
RECEIVED
OCT 14 1953
Salt Lake City, Utah

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Defense Minerals Exp. Adm.
REC'D
DEC 28 1953

Month of AUGUST, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E-265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:						1/4/54. J.W.G.
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting		10,616.73	10,616.73			
~~Drifting~~ ~~Raising~~	~~1274.50~~	~~11,946.73~~	~~13,221.23~~			
Raising Sch. A.	1,274.50	1,330.00	2,604.50	1,260.00	1,330.00	2,590.00
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor		716.75	716.75			
Supervision	250.00	3,537.50	3,787.50			
Technical Services	75.00	875.00	950.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:						
Bookkeeping	70.00	980.00	1,050.00			
Timber -.34 Sch. B	387.98	1,943.08	2,331.06	402.14	1,943.08	2,345.22
Explosives Sch. C	34.37	1,372.51	1,406.88			
Pipe Sch. D	165.92	796.15	962.07			
Track		153.67	153.67			
Misc. Sch. E	34.94	988.19	1,023.13			
Drill Steel & Bits Sch. F)	13.53	537.71	551.24			
(4) Operating Equipment:						
Rental						
Purchase -5.00 Sch. G	43.50	3,264.95	3,308.45	38.50	3,264.95	3,303.45
Depreciation		4,721.67	4,721.67			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs Sch. H	202.27	2,021.50	2,223.77			
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment Sch. I	55.99	98.19	153.18			
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes						
Liability Insurance Sch. J	64.03	762.41	826.44			
Comp. Ins. Sch. K	75.77	783.87	859.64			
Sundries		49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air Power & Charg. Panel Sch. L	109.55	939.10	1,048.65			
				~~2,858.35~~	~~36,486.95~~	~~39,345.30~~
TOTALS	~~2,858.35~~	~~36,486.95~~	~~39,345.30~~	- 5.34		- 5.34
				2,853.01	36,486.95	39,339.96

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 10/5/53 *Payee NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY,

Per Ellen L. Gerundale Title Secty-Treas.

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$1426.50

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 12/8/53 Vou. No. 54-56

(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes JRW Title Mining Engineer Date Oct. 21, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R.D.B.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date DEC 2 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 28 1953

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of [illegible] 195[illegible] Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name Dow Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	Unit	Costs This Month	Units This Month	Costs to Date	Units to Date	Unit Costs to Date	Authorized by Contract: Units	Authorized by Contract: Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	Foot	-	-	27,636.21	275	95.66		
Raising	0	2,050.35	18	6,007.12	102	58.92		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
Total Distributed Costs		2,050.35		34,643.33				Total Costs Authorized by Contract
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,721.67				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
Total Costs		2,050.35		39,365.00				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date October 9, 1953 Operator Dow Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen S. Brundell Title Geology-Engr.

Remarks:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF-104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF-104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF-104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF-104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF-104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY,
Salt Lake City, Utah.
August, 1953.

Schedule A - Raising.		
Theodore Housel		$ 472.50
Floyd Webster		472.50
Dean Boyle		316.00
Jack Beck		14.50
		1,274.50
Schedule B - Timber		
United Park City Mines Co.		387.98
Schedule C - Explosives		
United Park City Mines Co.		34.37
Schedule D - Pipe		
Armco Drainage & Metal Products, Inc.		125.95
United Park City Mines Co.		39.97
		165.92
Schedule E - Miscellaneous		
United Park City Mines Co.		34.94
Schedule F - Drill Steel & Bits		
United ParkCity Mines Co.		13.53
Schedule G - Rental		
United Park City Mines Co.		43.50
Schedule H - Depreciation		
Tramer		62.50
Battery Charger		18.60
Mine Cars		77.00
Gardner-Denver Compressor		44.17
		202.27
Schedule I - Repairs to Equipment		
Mancha Storage Battery Locomotive		52.49
Untied Park City Mines Co.		4.50
		56.99
Schedule J - Payroll Taxes		
Raising	$1,274.50	
Supervision	250.00	
	$1,524.50 x 4.2	64.03
Schedule K - Workmen's Compensation		
Raising	$1,274.50	
Supervision	250.00	
	$1,524.50 x .0497	75.77
Schedule L - Power		
United Park City Mines Co.		109.55

I certify the ablve to be true and legitimate payments as stated.

Dated, October 5, 1953

Glen I. Crandall, Sec'y-Treas.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 28 1953

NARRATIVE
1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT IDME - 265

GENERAL

On July 31, 1953 the raise was driven 54½ ft. above the sill caps. On August 31, 1953 the back of the raise is 102½ ft. above the sill caps so the advance for the month of August is 48 ft.

GEOLOGY

The sketch herewith showing the ~~showing~~ the mine workings in plan, longitudinal section and in cross-section will illustrate the geological setting of the raise and the drifts. The fissure walls continue to be Park City lime formation on the hanging wall and Weber quartzite on the footwall. In the upper set or so some quartzite occurs in the hanging wall lime beds. This may indicate the raise is entering the Jenney bed.

In the last two sets there has also been quite a few spots of sulphide ore consisting of galena, sphalerite and tetrahedrite in quartz and calcite. An assay indicates the ratio of silver oz. to lead percent is about 5 to 1 assuring good silver values when ore is reached.

Park City, Utah.
August 31, 1953.

E A Hewitt
Geologist

1300 DRIFTS & RAISE
NEW QUINCY MINING CO.
IDMA-113
CONTRACT - IDME-265
August 31, 1953 50 Scale



PLAN



LONG. SECTION
LOOKING North



CROSS-SECTION ON RAISE
LOOKING S.W.

Mr. Griswold:

10/5/53

Bill —

Isn't there some way the unit for battery lamps of $1.10 could have been allowed under the contract, if reasonable & necessary.

The people involved in awarding the contract to allow such cost will face a real problem.

Does the contract allow for contingencies?

Jay — Will you write about this? WRG.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

September 29, 1953

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report, Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the period of July, 1953, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
OCT 2 1953

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: Sept. 17, 1953
Payee's
Reference: Progress Report
July, 1953

New Quincy Mining Company
421 Felt Building
Salt Lake City, Utah
X-DMA-1265

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ 3033.01

Difference $ 154.20

Amount Approved $ 2883.81

The reason for the difference was due to:

Rental of Bucking Machine not used in Raising	$150.00	
Rental of Battery Lamps, not in contract	4.20	$154.20

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

September 15, 1953

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah-Operator's Monthly Progress Report, for the period July, 1953.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions:

Equipment Rental	
Mucking Machine from Eimco Corp. (Not used in raising operations)	$150.00
Battery Lamps from United Park City Mines	4.20
(No allowance made for lamp rental in the contract)	
Total Disallowances	$154.20

Remarks:

Date of Report----------August 20, 1953
Date Received in Field Office---August 25, 1953
Date Received in Region Office--September 10, 1953

Eight day delay in Field Office, three day delay due to returning forms to Operator for corrections, and five day delay due to work load of Inspecting Engineer.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

RDB:es
cc: Contract, Sec. to the Oper. Comm. (2)
Chron., Wilson

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

September 8, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract Ida-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for July 1953.

Transmitted herewith are the original and four copies of MF-104 forms listing expenditures made during July 1953 under the subject contract. M. H. House inspected the project work and prepared the enclosed narrative of progress.

Work during the reporting period consisted of an advance of 37 feet in the raise being driven in the "A" fissure. The objective, the Jermey quartzite, has not been reached. A small amount of finely divided pyrite and occasional crystals of galena and sphalerite may be seen on both sides of the fissure. No ores have been found to date.

Work accomplished during the period is in conformance with the contract. Payment to the operator should be made in the manner listed in the inspecting engineer's report.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator August 25, 1953. A total delay of eight working days in transmitting the forms to Denver resulted from the heavy work load of the inspecting engineer and the necessity of returning the forms to the operator for correction. This latter delay was three days.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson Sept. 1, 1953

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract Idm-3265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for July 1953

The property was inspected on August 6, 1953, in the company of George M. Floor. It is verified that 37 feet of progress in the raise during July, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

The raise continues to follow the "A" fissure, which contains more than a foot of sticky gouge. In the back the fissure contains about 8 inches of brownish altered rock. The hanging wall for the last 30 feet is a shattered gray limestone containing streaks of calcite and quartz. The footwall is Weber quartzite, which is greatly shattered next to the fissure. Fine pyrite is disseminated throughout the rock on both sides of the fissure and occasionally specks of galena and sphalerite are to be seen.

The accounts were checked and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive, except for the charges for rental of mucking machine and battery lamp in Item (4), Rental. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Item (4) Rental - The charge of $150.00 by Eimco Corp. for rental of mucking machine should be disallowed because this piece of machinery is not being used in raising.

The charge of $4.20 by United Park City Mines Co. for rental of battery lamp should be disallowed because there is no such allowance in the contract.

Payment is approved for $1,441.90, which is 50 percent of the claimed $3,038.01, less disallowances of $150.00 for mucking machine rental and $4.20 for battery lamp rental.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

U. S. Bureau of Mines
Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval Expires 6-30-53.

RECEIVED AUG 25 1953 Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of July 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-2-265
Operator's Name NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS		FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:							
Drilling							
Bulldozing							
Crosscutting	Sch. A	1,036.00	10,910.73	11,946.73			
Drifting							
(2) Labor and Supervision:							
Labor			734.56	734.56			
Supervision		250.00	3,287.50	3,537.50			
Technical Services		75.00	800.00	875.00			
Bookkeeping		70.00	910.00	980.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:	Sch. B	683.90	1,259.10	1,943.00			
Timber	Sch. K	194.63	1,177.88	1,372.51			
Explosives	Sch. C	2.37	793.78	796.15			
Pipe			153.67	153.67			
Track							
Misc.	Sch. D	54.19	934.00	988.19			
Drill Steel & Bits		8.20	529.51	537.71			
(4) Operating Equipment:	Sch. E						
Rental	Sch. F	217.70	3,203.25	3,420.95	63.50	3204.25	3266.75
Purchase			4,721.67	4,721.67			
Depreciation	Sch. J	202.27	1,319.23	2,021.50			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment	Sch. L	45.66	50.53	96.19			
Sampling and Analysis							
Payroll Taxes	Sch. G	54.01	708.40	762.41			
Liability Insurance							
Corp. ins.	Sch. H	63.91	719.96	783.87			
Sundries			49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Air power & Charg. panel	Sch. I	80.12	858.98 ~~828.98~~	939.10 ~~909.10~~			
TOTALS		3,038.01	33,622.75 ~~33,592.75~~	36,660.76 ~~36,630.76~~	2883.81	33622.75	36506.56
						- 1463.89	- 1463.89
					2883.81	32158.86	35042.67

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 8/20/53 *Payee NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen L. Brandser Title Sec'y-Treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$ 1,441.90

Signature (Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 9-17-53 Vou. No. DMA 54-28

(See other side)

*Previous Deductions

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes JRW Title Mining Engineer Date Aug. 28, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R. D. B.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date SEP 15 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-3847 6

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
OCT 2 1953

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of July 195 3 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Co. Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Units	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	feet	—	—	27,648.71	775	35.68		
Raising	feet	3,038.01	37	4,290.38	54½	78.72		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		3,038.01		31,939.09				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,721.67				5,637.26
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		3,038.01		36,660.76				50,700.00

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 8/21/53 Operator New Quincy Mining Co.

Per [signature] Title Sec'y.-Treasurer

REMARKS:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

Schedule A. - Raising		
Dea[illegible] Boyle		$ 168.00
Floyd Webster		388.50
Theodore Housel		388.50
Eddie Hawkinson		91.00
		1,036.00
Schedule B. - Timber		
United Park City Mines Co. 137-J		683.90
Schedule C. - Pipe		
United Park City Mines Co. 137-J		2.37
Schedule D. - Misc.		
United Park City Mines Co. 137-J		33.13
Gardner Denver Co. 29-43100		15.69
Mine & Smelter Supply Co. 25096		3.18
General Electric Supply Co. SLU 05799		2.19
		54.19
Schedule E. - Drill Steel & Bits		
United Park City Mines Co. 137-J		8.20
Schedule F. - Rental		
United Park City Mines Co. 137-J		67.70
Eimco Corp. 41621A		150.00
		217.70
Schedule G. - Payroll taxes		
Raising	1,036.00	
Supervision	250.00	
	1,286.00 x 4.2%	54.01
Schedule H. - Workmans Compensation		
Raising	1,036.00	
Supervision	250.00	
	1,286.00 x .0497%	63.91
Schedule I - Power		
United Park City Mines Co. 137-J		80.12
Schedule J. - Depreciation		
Trammer		62.50
Battery charger		18.60
Mine cars		77.00
Gardner-Denver Compressor		44.17
		202.27
Schedule K. - Explosives		
United Park City Mines Co. 137-J		149.55
Western Powder Co. 3026		45.13
		194.68
Schedule L. - Repairs to Equipment		
Gardner-Denver Co. 29-27882		30.96
Gardner-Denver Co. 29-43100		13.20
S. Lorenz 7/22/53		1.50
		45.66

I certify the above are true and legitimate payments made as listed.

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

August 21, 1953 Per [illegible]

Sec'y-Treasurer

NARRATIVE
1300 RAISE & DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT IDME - 265

GENERAL

On July 1, 1953 the raise was 17½ ft. above the sill caps. On July 31, 1953 the back is 54½ ft. above the sill caps so the advance for the month of July is 37 ft.

GEOLOGY

As shown in the raise section, the first 15' shows chloritic blue lime in the hanging wall of the fissure while the upper 22' is gray lime streaked with calcite and quartz.

The fissure itself carries better than a foot of gummy gouge with lime fragments while on the footwall side there is another foot of quartzite breccia which, near the back, also includes about 8" of rusty porphyry. Throughout the fissure material and, to some extent, in the lime hanging wall there is a scattering of pyrite with an occasional speck of galena and sphalerite. Some manganeses and iron oxides are also noted in the quartzite breccia.

On the whole the ensemble of rocks, minerals and the fissuring appears to be quite a favorable showing. The Jenney quartzite bed should be reached in the next period.

Park City, Utah.
July 31, 1953.

E A Hewitt
Geologist



1300 Raise & Drifts
NEW QUINCY MINING Co
DMA-113
CONTRACT-IDME-265
July 31, 1953 50 Scale



Raise
Section A-A
Looking S.W
50 scale

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
OCT 1 1953

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

September 29, 1953

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the period of June, 1953, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Mines Administration
RECEIVED
OCT 2 1953

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: Sept 17, 1953
Payee's
Reference: Progress Report
June, 1953

New Quincy Mining Co.,
421 Felt Bldg.,
Salt Lake City, Utah
I-CIA-1265

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ 2320.91

Difference $ 7.11

Amount Approved $ 2313.80

Bureaus file

The reason for the difference was due to:

Overcharge of rates for labor above contract rates	(5.31)	
Battery lamp rental, not authorized by contract	1.80	(7.11)

?

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

September 15, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-K265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period June 1953.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions.

Labor (Overcharge of rates established in contract)	$5.31
Equipment Rental (Rental of battery lamps not authorized by contract. Amendment required)	1.80

Remarks:

Date of Report	August 21, 1953.
Date Received in Field Office	August 25, 1953.
Date Received in Region Office	Sept. 10, 1953.

Delay in Field Office. Five days due to work load of inspecting engineer; three days to necessity of returning forms to operator for corrections.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

RDB:cwm

cc: Contract
SRWilson
Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2)
Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

September 8, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract Ida-1265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for June 1953

Enclosed are the necessary copies of MF-104 forms and supporting data listing expenditures made by the subject contractor during June 1953. The project was inspected by M. H. Howes August 6, 1953. A narrative of progress is attached.

Advance in the raise on the "A" fissure during the reporting period totaled 17½ feet. The raise is being driven in the limestone hanging wall to insure intersection of the objective bed, the Jenney quartzite. This quartzite bed is believed to be approximately 50 feet above the drift level. No ores have been encountered in the raise to date.

Work accomplished during the reporting period is in conformance with the contract. It is recommended that the operator be reimbursed for the Government's share of expenditures as listed in the inspecting engineer's report.

The MF-104 forms were received from the contractor August 25, 1953. A three-day delay in transmitting the forms to Denver resulted from the necessity of returning the forms to the operator for correction. A five-day delay was caused by the heavy work load of the inspecting engineer.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson

Sept. 1, 1953

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract Idm-8265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for June 1953

The property was inspected on August 6, 1953, in the company of George N. Floor. It is verified that 17½ feet of progress in the raise during June, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract. A hold-back of pay for 7 feet of raise was made in June to insure completion of the job according to specifications.

The raise is being driven largely in the limestone in the hanging wall of the fissure so that there will be no chance of missing the hanging wall segment of the Jenney quartzite, which is estimated to be about 50 feet above the drift. The fissure is composed of 6 to 12 inches of clayey gouge. Copper, iron, and manganese stains appear in the limestone hanging wall. No ore has been encountered in the raise to date. The Weber quartzite footwall is shattered. So far the raise has not developed water and mining conditions are very favorable. The present mine crew is doing an exceptionally good job of raising.

The accounts were checked and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive, except miners' wages charged in Item (2), Labor, and battery lamp rental charged in Item (4), Rental. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Item (2). Labor. The amended contract allows a maximum payment of $14.16 and $13.66 in wages, respectively, for miners and muckers. Two miners were paid at the rate of $14.35 per day each for 8-7/8 days in the first half of June and 2 days, 3½ hours in the second half of June. Wages paid for labor in June by the company totaled $500.68. Calculated by the maximum allowable rate, the total should be $495.37. Disallowance should be made for $5.31.

The New Quincy Mining Co. had a contract with the original mine crew to drive the drift at a rate of $14.00 per foot for labor. This crew was obliged to timber the drift wherever necessary to maintain an opening of 5 by 7 feet in the clear, and also to excavate and maintain a water ditch at one side of the drift. No ditch was made. Over 50 feet of drift, back from the face, caved because of lack of

Form M-7-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

U.S. Bureau of Mines
RECEIVED
AUG 25 1953

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Salt Lake City, Utah

Month of June 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name [illegible] Minerals [illegible]
Address [illegible]
Contract Amount, $ [illegible] Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ [illegible]

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting	✓ 324.00	20,086.75	20,410.75			
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor	✓ 500.00	233.88	734.50	495.37	233.88	729.25
Supervision	✓ 350.00	3,037.50	3,387.50			
Technical Services	✓ 75.00	225.00	300.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:	✓ 70.00	840.00	910.00			
Timber	✓ 493.57	763.03	1,257.10			
Explosives	✓	1,377.03	1,377.03			
Pipe	✓ 3.26	730.44	733.70			
Track	✓	153.67	153.67			
[illegible]	✓ 30.75	693.25	724.00			
Drill Steel [illegible]	✓ 20.30	537.21	557.51			
(4) Operating Equipment:						
Rental	✓ 190.30	3,012.95	3,203.25	188.50	3012.95	3201.45
Purchase	✓	4,721.07	4,721.07			
Depreciation	✓ 303.27	1,610.06	1,913.33			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:	✓	50.53	50.53			
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis	✓ 68.35	692.05	760.40			
Payroll Taxes						
Liability Insurance	✓ 50.65	669.41	720.06			
[illegible]		43.97	43.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
[illegible]	✓ 84.00	774.98	858.98			
TOTALS	2,313.80	31,301.84	33,615.64	2313.80	31301.84	33615.64
					- 1456.78	- 1456.78

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 9/17/53 *Payee [illegible]

Per [illegible] Title [illegible]

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse) *Previous Deductions

* Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

2313.80 29845.06 32158.86

$ 1,456.90

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 9-17-53 Vou. No. [illegible]
(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Tem-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Lowe JRW Title Mining Engineer Date Aug. 28, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R. S.B.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date SEP 15 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

FORM MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
OCT 2 1953

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of June 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-2265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Units	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Unit Costs
Drifting	feet	1,068.54	—	27,648.71	775	35.68		
Crosscutting								
Raising	feet	1,252.37	17½	1,252.37	17½	71.56		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		2,320.91		28,901.08				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,721.67				5,637.26
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		2,320.91		33,622.75				50,700.00

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 8/21/53 Operator New Quincy Mining Co.

Per [signature] Title Sec'y.-Treasurer

REMARKS: Seven (7) feet held back from miner's pay. Raising only pays for 10½ feet of total footage.

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF-104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF-104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF-104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF-104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF-104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

Schedule A. - Raising

Dean Boyle	$ 73.50
Floyd Webster	110.25
Theodore Housal	110.25
	294.00

Schedule B. - Labor

Dean Boyle	175.00
Floyd Webster	162.84
Theodore Housal	162.84
	500.68

Schedule C. - Timber

United Park City Mines Co. 135-J	465.12
Jack Beck	30.45
	495.57

Schedule D. - Pipe

United Park City Mines Co. 135-J	3.16

Schedule E. - Miscellaneous

United Park City Mines Co. 135-J	32.39
Gardner-Denver Co. 29-36302	3.81
Western Powder Co. 2911	2.55
	38.75

Schedule F. - Drill Steel & Bits

United Park City Mines Co. 135-J	20.20

Schedule G. - Rental

United Park City Mines Co. 135-J	40.30
Eimco Corporation 40471A	150.00
	190.30

Schedule H. - Payroll Taxes

Raising	294.00	
Labor	500.68	
Supervision	250.00	
Jack Beck-timbering	30.45	
	1,075.13 x 4.2%	45.15

Schedule I. Workman's Compensation

Raising	294.00	
Labor	500.68	
Supervision	200.00**	
Jack Beck-timbering	30.45	
	1,025.13 x .0497 %	50.95

** $50.00 reporting error will be corrected in August report

Schedule J. - Power

United Park City Mines Co. 135-J	84.90

Schedule K. - Depreciation

Depreciation on Tramper	62.50
" " Battery charger	15.60
" " Mine cars	77.00
" " Gardner-Denver Compressor	44.17
	202.27

I certify the above to be true and legitimate payments made as listed.

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

August 21, 1953

per [signature]
Sec'y-Treasurer

NARRATIVE
1300 RAISE & DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT IDME - 265

GENERAL

On May 31, 1953 the drift to the SW was finished and a site selected for a raise. This raise is located 80' SW of No 1 crosscut in the SW drift as shown on the sketch.

During the month of June this raise was driven 17½ ft. above the sill caps as shown in the section A-A insert on the sketch.

GEOLOGY

As generally known, the first objective of this raise drive is the Jenney quartzite beddingon the hanging wall side of the fissure. It is expected that this horizon may be 50' above the drift. The angle of the raise is now set parallel with the fissure with the fissure near the center of the raise. This angle is 65 degrees and, of course, it may be expected to vary as the raise progresses.

At times the hanging wall lime shows some copper stain associated with considerable chlorite and calcite. The back today also shows some manganese and iron staining on the fissure. There is usually up to a foot of gummy gouge on the fissurewhich indicates considerable faulting inn the plane of the fissure.

No water has so far been opened in the raise and if this condition persists a good advance, perhaps 2.5' per shift, may be expected.

Park City, Utah.
July 1, 1953.

Ed Hewitt
Geologist.


Weber Quartzite
Park City Lime
Base Park City
Irreg. Beds.
8" Carb. Ore
Pb 9%
Ag 10 oz.
8" Bunch
Carb. Ore
Pb - 10%
Ag - 10 oz
Pb Streak in N.W.
Raise
Weber Qtzite
Property Line
1300 Raise & Drifts
New Quincy Mining Co
DMA-113
CONTRACT-1DME-265
July 1, 1953
.50 Scale

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

September 29, 1953

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the period of January through May, 1953, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Mines Administration
RECEIVED
OCT - 2 1953

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: Sept 12, 1953
Payee's
Reference: Production Report
Supplemental
January thru Dec, 1953

New Quincy Mining Co.,
121 Dolo Bldg.,
Salt Lake City, Utah
[illegible]-[illegible]

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ 1156.73

Difference $ 12.50

Amount Approved $ 1144.23

The reason for the difference was due to:

Tom Doyle paid on footage basis (no other labor charges allowed) 12.50

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

RECEIVED
OCT 2 1953

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

September 14, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Supplemental Report for the period January through May 1953.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, and Engineer's Report for the reclaim of amounts previously disallowed.

Payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions.

Labor (Dean Boyle) $12.50
Mine crew paid on a footage basis with no other labor charges allowed.

Remarks:

Date of Report	August 20, 1953.
Date Received in Field Office	August 25, 1953.
Date Received in Region Office	September 10, 1953.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

RDB:cwm

cc: Contract
Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2)
SRWilson
Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

September 8, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. M. King, Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Supplementary MF-104 forms for the period January through May 1953

Attached are five copies of MF-104 forms and supporting information concerning discrepancies made on MF-104 forms previously submitted by the contractor to cover work during January through May 1953.

Disallowances were made because of inadequate documentation. Proper documentation has been supplied by the contractor and originals of the Administrative Audit Difference Statements are attached. It is recommended that payment be made to the operator as shown in the enclosed memorandum prepared by M. H. Howes.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator August 25, 1953. The total delay in transmitting the forms to Denver is eight working days. Five days resulted from the heavy work load of the inspecting engineer. A delay of three days was caused by the necessity of returning the forms to the contractor for correction.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson

September 1, 1953

M. H. Howes

Docket No. DMEA-113 (Lead-Zinc), Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Supplemental report of adjustments on forms MF-104 and MF-104A, January through May 1953.

The New Quincy Mining Co. has submitted a supplemental report with corrections on forms MF-104 and MF-104A for allowances and disallowances on statements from January through May 1953.

Item (2), Labor. Proper documentation has been made for labor showing days and hours worked.

Item (2), Supervision. Proper documentation has been made for supervision showing dates worked.

Item (3), Timber. Charges of $23.77 for April and $17.84 for May (total of $41.61) by Park Utah Cons. Mines Co. were erroneously made to "Labor" on the original MF-104 forms and were disallowed. These charges have been made to "Timber" in the present statement because timber handling and transportation, and prefabrication of raise chutes are legitimate parts of the timber cost.

Item (7), Repairs to Equipment. Charges in May by Park Utah Cons. Mines Co., $31.71 to "Labor" and $6.32 to "Equipment Rental," were erroneously made. Both of these charges were for handling and hoisting the electric trammer to the surface for repairs, and have been made to "Repairs to Equipment" on the present statement. The charge of $12.50 by Dean Boyle for cleaning chute in May has been currently made to "Repairs to Equipment." This charge should be disallowed because the mine crew at that time was paid on a footage basis which was to include chute cleaning.

Item (7), Payroll Taxes and Compensation Insurance. Charges of $52.50 for payroll taxes and $62.14 for compensation insurance have been computed from the labor and supervision costs entered in the present statement.

Payment is approved for $722.14 which is 50 percent of the claimed $1,456.78 less $12.50 for cleaning chute.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

U.S. Bureau of Mines
RECEIVED
AUG 25 1953
Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of [illegible] 31, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name [illegible] Minerals [illegible]
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
		corrected totals				
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing		10,616.73	10,616.73			
Crosscutting						
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor Sch. A	✓ 75.00	150.00	233.00	62.50	158.88	221.38
Supervision Sch. B	1,175.00	1,862.50	3,037.50			
Technical Services	✓	725.00	725.00			
[illegible]	✓	850.00	850.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:						
Timber Sch. C.	✓ 41.00	722.00	763.01			
Explosives	✓	1,177.33	1,177.33			
Pipe	✓	770.04	770.04			
Track	✓	153.67	153.67			
Misc.	✓	895.25	895.25			
Drill Steel & Bits	✓	509.31	509.31			
(4) Operating Equipment:						
Rental	✓	3,812.95	3,812.95			
Purchase	✓	4,721.67	4,721.67			
Depreciation	✓	1,616.96	1,616.96			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment Sch. D	✓ 50.53		50.53			
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes Sch. E.	✓ 52.50	610.75	663.25			
Liability Insurance Sch. D.	✓ 62.14	606.07	668.21			
Workmen's Comp.	✓	40.97	40.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Misc. Const. & Chg.	✓	774.08	774.08			
Misc.		~~764.00~~	~~764.00~~			
		29,845.06	31,301.84			
TOTALS	1,456.78	~~29,815.06~~	~~31,278.84~~	722.14	29845.06	31289.34

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.
Date August 20, '53 Payee [illegible]
Per Glen Hernandez Title Sec'y-Treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$ 722.14

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 9-17-53 Vou. No. DMA 54-28
(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes SKW Title Mining Engineer Date Aug. 28, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R.D.R.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date SEP 14 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
OCT 2 1953

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of [illegible] 31, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name [illegible] Minerals [illegible]
Address [illegible]

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT: Units	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT: Unit Costs
Drifting				26,580.17		34.30		
Crosscutting	foot	1,450.70	-	~~26,580.17~~	775	~~34.30~~		
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
				~~26,580.17~~				
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		1,450.70		~~26,580.17~~				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,721.67				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
				31,301.84				
TOTAL COSTS		~~1,450.70~~		~~31,301.84~~	DMC			

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 9/29/53 Operator [illegible]

Per Glen L Brandell Title [illegible]

REMARKS:

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF-104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF-104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF-104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF-104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF-104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

Shedule A. - Labor

Ken Kummer - January	$ 7.50
Arthur Workman - January	7.50
Jack Beck - April	60.00
	75.00

Schedule B. - Supervision

Mick Floor - January	175.00
Mick Floor - February	250.00
Mick Floor - March	250.00
George M. Floor - April	250.00
George M. Floor - May	250.00
	1,175.00

Schedule C. - Payroll taxes

Labor -	75.00	
Supervision	1,175.00	
	1,250.00 x 4.2 %	52.50

Schedule D. - Workman's Compensation

Labor	75.00	
Supervision	1,175.00	
	1,250.00 x .0497%	62.14

Schedule E. - Timber

Park Utah Consolidated Mines Co. April & May	41.61

Schedule F. - Repairs to Equipment

Dean Boyle - May	12.50
Park Utah Consolidated Mines Co.	38.03
	$ 50.53

I certify the above to be true and legitimate payments made as listed.

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

August 20, 1953 Per Glen S. Crandall Sec'y-Treas.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 22, 1953.

Betty

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report, Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of May 1953, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Mines Administration
RECEIVED
JULY 1953

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: July 20, 1953
Payee's Reference: Progress Report - May, 1953

New Quincy Mining Co.
421 Felt Bldg.
Salt Lake City 1, Utah
I-UTA-C-265

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ 2,245.59

Difference $ 337.71

Amount Approved $ 1,907.88

The reason for the difference was due to:

Installing Chute (Labor)	17.04	
Hoisting (4 man)	31.71	
Dean Doyle (cleaning drain)	12.50	62.05
Amended contract includes all labor except Supervision and Engineering. Supervisor not documentation as to hours, dates worked.		250.00
Taxes applicable to above		0.93
Comprehensive Insurance applicable to above		10.41
Equipment Rental (should be charged to labor and disallowed as above first item)		6.32
		0337.71

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 15, 1953

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah-Operator's Monthly Progress Report, for the period May, 1953

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions:

Labor - $62.05 - Mine crew paid on footage basis. The $14.00 per foot is to include all labor exclusive of supervision and engineering as per Amended Contract.
Supervision - $250.00 - Not supported by certified copy of payroll showing dates worked.
Payroll Taxes - $8.93 - applicable to above disallowances.
Compensation Insurance - $10.41 - Applicable to above disallowances.
Equipment Rental - $6.32 - Labor charge distributed in error to Equipment Rental but still disallowed for reason stated above under Labor.

Remarks: Date of Report----------June 18, 1953
Date Received in Field Office----------June 19, 1953
Date Received in Region Office----------July 2, 1953
Date Processed for Payment----------July 15, 1953

Held up pending decision by Washington Office as to allowability of charges made for months of January, February, and March, 1953.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

RDB:es
cc: Contract, Chron., Wilson, Sec. to the Oper. Comm. (2)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

June 30, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for May 1953

Transmitted herewith are the required copies of MF-104 forms and related data pertaining to expenditures under the subject contract during May 1953. The property and accounts were inspected by M. H. Howes June 25, 1953.

The contract was amended to allow more funds, effective March 24, 1953. Actual advance was started by the operator March 26, 1953, after an idle period since January 1953, awaiting approval of the request for additional funds.

Drifting during May totaled 67 feet as reported on the MF-104 forms. Total footage to date is 795 feet, but the company has withheld 20 feet of payment to the subcontractor, as reported on the March 1953 forms, and has also withheld payment for 21 feet of advance during May, although the footage is included on the MF-104A forms, but costs for only 46 feet of advance are shown. This latter payment withholding is the result of a dispute between the company and the subcontractor concerning the caved ground described below.

After completion of the drifting and crosscutting to the southwest, as shown on the plan attached to the May MF-104 forms, a raise site was chosen near the face and one raise round was blasted June 2, 1953. Caving started soon after the raise round was blasted and continued to a point approximately 50 feet from the face. It was determined that the probable cost of timbering the caved section would be too great to be feasible; thus a second raise site was chosen approximately 75 feet from the face. Raising at this location was started in late June.

Work for which repayment is requested is in conformance with the contract, and it is recommended that the operator be reimbursed as shown in the inspecting engineer's report.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator June 19, 1953.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosure

S. R. Wilson June 29, 1953

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for May 1953

The property was inspected on June 25, 1953, in the company of George N. Floor. It is reported that 67 feet of progress was made in the southwest drift and that a 4-foot raise round was shot 5 to 15 feet from the face of the drift during May. Due to negligence of the miners in timbering where necessary, the drift caved for a distance of about 50 feet back from the face. It was not possible to inspect this portion of the drift. However, it is reported that southwesterly advance along the fissure showed bleached white limestone with streaks of copper-stained quartz and calcite and considerable chlorite and magnesium minerals. Because the miners failed to fulfil their part of the labor contract with the company, they have forfeited the initial 20-foot holdback and have only been paid for 46 feet of the 67-foot drift advance for May.

A new raise site was selected, 70 to 80 feet back from the face, because of the high cost of opening up the caved area to the point of the original site. Good mineralization, showing streaks of lead and zinc sulphides, appears in the hanging wall at this place. It is estimated that the Jenney quartzite bed will be found within 50 feet of the level on the hanging wall side.

The accounts were checked, and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Payment is approved for $1,122.79, which is 50 percent of the claimed $2,245.59.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of May 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E-265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS		FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Contracts:							
Drilling							
Bulldozing							
Crosscutting	Sch. A	644.00	9,972.73	10,616.73			
Drifting							
(2) Labor and Supervision:							
Labor	Sch. B	62.05	489.65	551.70	00	489.65	489.65
Supervision		250.00	2,787.50	3,037.50	00	2,787.50	2,787.50
Technical Services		100.00	625.00	725.00			
Bookkeeping		70.00	770.00	840.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:							
Timber	Sch. C	474.23	247.77	722.00			
Explosives			1,177.83	1,177.83			
Pipe	Sch. D.	12.97	777.67	790.64			
Track			153.67	153.67			
Misc.	Sch. E.	75.11	913.10	988.21			
Drill Steel & Bits	Sch. K	5.61	503.80	509.31			
(4) Operating Equipment:							
Rental	Sch. F	194.82	2,824.45	3,019.27	188.50	2,824.45	3,012.95
Purchase			4,721.67	4,721.67			
Depreciation	Sch. G	202.27	1,414.69	1,616.96			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment							
Sampling and Analysis							
Payroll Taxes	Sch. H	35.97	628.10	664.07	27.04	628.10	655.14
Liability Insurance							
Comp. Ins.	Sch. I	40.08	632.23	672.31	29.67	632.23	644.73
Sundries			49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Air power & charg. panel	Sch. J	78.48	695.60	774.08			
					1,907.88	29,385.33	31,293.21
						*-1,448.15	*-1,448.15
TOTALS		2,245.59	29,385.33	31,630.92	1,907.88	27,937.18	29,845.06

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 6/18/53 *Payee NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen A. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$ 953.94

Signature (Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 7/21/53 Voucher 54-8

(See other side)

* Previous Disallowances

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. DMEE265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes Title Mining Engineer Date June 29, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE:

Signature W. H. King Title R. A. Executive Officer Date JUL 15 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 205675

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of May 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. ~~Idn-E-256~~ 265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT	
							Units	Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	feet	2,245.59	67	26,909.25	775	34.72		
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		2,245.59		26,909.25				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,721.67				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		2,245.59		31,630.92				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 6/18/53 Operator New Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen L Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

REMARKS:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Schedule A - Crosscutting		
Ken Kummer		$ 230.00
Arthur Workman		230.00
Dean Boyle		184.00
		644.00
Schedule B - Labor		
United Park City Mines Co. 132-J		49.55
Dean Boyle		12.50
		62.05
Schedule C - Timber		
Park Utah Cons. Mines Inc. 131-J		75.25
United Park City Mines Co. 132-J		398.98
		474.23
Schedule D - Pipe		
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc. 131-J		12.97
Schedule E - Miscellaneous		
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc. 131-J		1.99
United Park City Mines Co. 132-J		26.95
Mo-Mar Battery Co.		25.00
Western Pwder Co.		3.83
Gardener-Denver Co.		17.34
		75.11
Schedule F - Rental		
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc. 131-J		8.88
United Park City Mines, Co. 132-J		35.94
Eimco Corporation		150.00
		194.82
Schedule G - Depreciation		
Depreciation on Trammer		62.50
" " Battery Charger		18.60
" " Mine cars		77.00
" " Gardner-Denver Compressor		44.17
		202.27
Schedule H - Payroll taxes		
Kummer, Workman & Boyle	644.00	
Dean Boyle	12.50	
George N. Floor	200.00	
	856.50 x 4.2%	35.97
Schedule I - Compensation Insurance		
Kummer, Workman & Boyle	644.00	
Dean Boyle	12.50	
George N. Floor	200.00	
	856.50	
Less correction for over-payment in March, 1953	50.00	
	806.50 x .0497%	40.08
Schedule J - Air Power		
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc. 131-J		13.91
United Park City Mines, Co. 132-J		64.57
		78.48
Schedule K - Drill Steel & Bits		
United Park City Mines, Co. 132-J		5.61

I Certify the above to be true and legitimate payments made as listed.

Dated June 18, 1953

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen L Crandall Sec'y-Treasurer

NARRATIVE
1300 CROSSCUTS & DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT IDME - 265

GENERAL

On April 30, 1953 the face of the SW drift was 63.0' from the SW crosscut. On May 31, 1953 the face of this drift was 130.0' from the SW crosscut, making an advance for the month of May of 67.0'. In addition to the drift footage a 4' raise round was shot at a point 5' to 15' back from the face.

GEOLOGY

As stated in last report, the drift was advanced with the thought that at the prevailing dip of the lime beds, the Jenney quartzite bed in the lime might be found at the level. At 130', this objective not having been attained, the drift was stopped and a raise started. The advance on the fissure in the drift showed bleached white lime with streaks of copper-stained quartz and calcite with considerable chlorite and magnesium minerals present. This character of vein has been found under ore horizons in this district.

Due to failure of the battery locomotive for a day as well as to the inertia of the miners there was nearly a week's delay in getting the timber in place, causing considerable sloughing at the raise and for some 50' back therefrom. It is now decided it is less costly to start a new raise about 70' to 80' back from the face, mucking up some 25' ahead for tail track.

At the new raise site there is considerable mineralization in the hanging wall lime, the mineral being lead and zinc sulphides in streaks. This was reported last month. Within 50' in this raise the Jenney quartzite should be exposed. While one cannot be certain of the ultimate results, it can be said the conditions are favorable and the similar mineralization elsewhere have ment there was ore above at the favorable bed.

Park City, Utah.
May 31, 1953.

Ed Newick
Geologist


N
Weber Quartzite
Park City Lime
Base of Park City lime
MnO2 Wash
MnO2
Chertbed
Caved
Caved
Caved
Silicified
Drift
Cross Drift
Cross Drift
Cross

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 22, 1953.

Betty

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report, Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of April 1953, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Mines Administration
RECEIVED
JULY 1953

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: July 20, 1953
Payee's
Reference: Progress
Report - April, 1953

New Quincy Mining Co.
421 Felt Bldg.
Salt Lake City 1, Utah
I-DIA-D-265

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $2,039.27

Difference $ 455.16

Amount Approved $2,396.11

The reason for the difference was due to:

Hoisting Engineer	3.95		
4 Men Hoisting Timber	19.82	23.77	
Jack Beck, leveling and resetting		60.00	83.77
Amended contract includes all labor except Supervisor and Engineering - Supervisor does not show dates and hours worked.			250.00
Payroll taxes applicable to above			13.02
Comprehensive Insurance			15.41
Replacing wheels on mine cars should be absorbed by the depreciation allowance			92.96
			0455.16

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 15, 1953

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah-Operator's Monthly Progress Report, for the period April, 1953

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions:

Labor - $83.77 - Mine crew paid on footage basis. This $14.00 per foot to include all labor exclusive of supervision and engineering as per Amended Contract.

Supervision - $250.00 - Not supported by certified copy of payroll showing dates worked.

Payroll Taxes - $13.02 - Applicable to above disallowances.

Compensation Insurance - $15.41 - Applicable to above disallowances.

Operating Materials & Supplies Miscellaneous - $92.96- Replacement of wheels on mine cars - Considered as a major repair which should be absorbed by the depreciation allowance.

Remarks: Date of Report----------June 18, 1953
Date Received in Field Office----------June 19, 1953
Date Received in Region Office----------July 1, 1953
Date Processed for Payment----------July 14, 1953

Held up pending decision by Washington Office as to allowability of charges made for months of Jan., Feb., and March, 1953.

W. H. King
W. H. King
Sec. to Oper. Comm.

Enclosures

RDB:es, cc: Contract, Chron., Wilson, (2)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

June 25, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for April 1953.

Transmitted herewith are copies of MF-104 forms pertaining to expenditures made under the subject contract during April 1953. An inspection of the property was made by M. H. Howes, May 7, 1953.

Advance during April totaled 86 feet. All work was accomplished in crosscutting toward the south fissure and driving along the fissure zone. Work performed during the month is in conformance with contract terms.

The expenditures were checked by the inspecting engineer and found to be reasonable. A questionable expenditure appears under schedule F, in an amount of $92.96 for replacement of four wheels on a mine car. The car is one of 37 cars for which the contract allows depreciation. An amount of $24.75 for rental of a D-35 Leyner from Park Utah Consolidated Mines Co. was not included in the MF-104 forms. This charge is listed on the statement attached to the original of the MF-104 forms but is not allowed by contract.

It is recommended that the operator be reimbursed for the Government's share of expenditures, subject to interpretation of the above mentioned questionable expenditure.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator June 19, 1953.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

RECEIVED

S. R. Wilson

June 24, 1953

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for April 1953.

The property was inspected on May 7, 1953, in the company of George N. Floor. It is verified that 86 feet of progress for April, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

The crosscut reached the south fissure 43 feet southwest of the starting point. Park City limestone on the hanging wall side of the fissure dips about 30 degrees to the southwest, and being very gougey, wet, and shattered, required timber. A small lenticular spot of oxidized ore, which assayed 9 percent lead and 109 ounces silver per ton, appeared in the fissure. The fissure was followed for about 15 feet, then the drift turned slightly into the footwall and returned to follow the fissure for the last 15 feet.

The accounts were checked, and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Payment is approved for $1,419.64, which is 50 percent of the claimed $2,839.27.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of April, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E-265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent / Short Form Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting Sho. A.	1,204.00	8,768.73	9,972.73			
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor Sch. B.	83.77	405.88	489.65	00	405.88	405.88
Supervision	250.00	2,537.50	2,787.50	00	2,537.50	2,537.50
Technical Services	100.00	525.00	625.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies: Bldg.	70.00	700.00	770.00			
Timber Sch. C.	154.50	93.27	247.77			
Explosives Sch. D.	136.29	1,041.54	1,177.83			
Pipe Sch. E.	11.55	766.12	777.67			
Track		153.77	153.77			
Misc. Sch. F.	112.77	800.33	913.10	19.81	800.33	820.14
Drill Steel & Bits	74.39	429.31	503.70			
(4) Operating Equipment: Sch. G						
Rental Sch. H.	201.40	2,623.05	2,824.45			
Purchase		4,721.67	4,721.67			
Depreciation Sch. I.	202.27	1,212.32	1,414.59			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes Sch. J.	61.49	566.61	628.10	48.47	566.61	615.08
Liability Insurance						
Comp. Inc. Sch. K.	72.76	559.47	632.23	57.35	559.47	616.82
Sundries		49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air power & charg. panel Sch. L.	104.08	591.52	695.60			
				2,384.11	26,546.06	28,930.17
					*-992.99	*-992.99
TOTALS	2,839.27	26,546.06	29,385.33	2,384.11	25,553.07	27,937.18

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 6/18/53 *Payee New Quincy Mining Company

Per [signature] Title Secty-treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$1192.05

Signature [signature]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 7/21/53 Voucher DMA 54-8
(See other side)

* Previous Disallowances

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E 265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. W. Howes Title Mining Engineer Date June 29, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE:

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date JUL 15 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(*a*) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(*b*) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(*c*) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of April 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E-265

Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc

Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT	
							Units	Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	feet	2,839.27	86	24,663.66	708	34.87		
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		2,839.27		24,663.66				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,721.67				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc								
TOTAL COSTS				29,385.33				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 6/18/53 Operator New Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen H Brunsell Title Secy-treasurer

REMARKS:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF-104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF-104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF-104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF-104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF-104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 205676

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Schedule A - Crosscutting		
Ken Kummer		$ 430.00
Arthur Workman		430.00
Dean Boyle		344.00
		1,204.00
Schedule B-Labor		
Jack Beck		60.00
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc. 127-J		23.77
		83.77
Schedule C - Timber		
Park Utah Cons. Mine , Inc. 127-J		154.50
Schedule D.- Explosives		
Park Utah Cons Mines, Inc. 127-J		136.29
Schedule E - Pipe		
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc. 127-J		2.17
Gardner-Denver Co.		9.38
		11.55
Schedule F. - Misc.		
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc 127-J		112.77
Schedule G. - Drill Steel & Bits		
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc. 127-J		74.39
Schedule H. - Rental		
Eimco Corp.		150.00
Gardner-Denver Company		12.90
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc. 127-J		38.50
		201.40
Schedule I. - Depreciation		
Depreication on Trammer		62.50
" " Battery Charger		18.60
" " Mine Cars		77.00
" " Gardner-Denver Compressor		44.17
		202.27
Schedule J. - Payroll taxes		
Nick Floor	200.00	
Jack Beck	60.00	
Kummer, Workman & Boyle	1,204.00	
	1,464.00 x 4.2%	61.49
Schedule K. - Workmens' Compensation Insurance		
Nick Floor	200.00	
Jack Beck	60.00	
Kummer, Workman, & Boyle	1,204.00	
	1,464.00 X .0497%	72.76
Schedule L.-Power		
Park Utah Cons. Mines, Inc. 127-J		$ 104.08

I certify that the above are true and legitimate payments made as listed.

June 18, 1953

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen I. Grandall Se'y-Treasurer

NARRATIVE
1300 CROSSCUTS & DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
RSA - 113
CONTRACT - IRME - 865

GENERAL

All the work done this year beginning the latter part of March and continuing through April is to the west ward of the main No 1 crosscut. As shown, the S.W. Crosscut is driven at about 60 degrees from the course of the main crosscut a distance of 43.0' and the S. W. Drift is driven therefrom a distance of 63.0'. The total then driven for March and April is 106.0'

GEOLOGY

As shown, the S. W. Crosscut cut the main South Fissure at 43' exposing Park City lime on the hanging dipping 30 degrees to the southwest. This lime is quite wet and gougy making it necessary to timber. On the fissure here there is a lenticular ore vein 8" thick and 2½' in both horizontal and vertical sections. This is carbonate ore assaying 9% Pb and 109 oz. Ag. per ton. After following the fissure about 15' the drift was turned slightly into the footwall quartzite a short distance. At the face the fissure is again exposed over a length of 15'. Here there is quite a favorable change in the character of the lime. It is much harder and should offer no great difficulty now in staying directly on the fissure. The lime here is bleached white and carries streaks of galena and sphalerite in quartz, calcite, chlorite and magnesium minerals.

The character of the lime seems to indicate the Jenney quartzite may be just ahead. As a check on this, if the lime beds average 25 degrees dip, the Jenney should appear on the level about 30' ahead. It therefore seems that from the standpoint of both formation and of mineralization the prospects for finding ore on the level is very good.

Park City, Utah.
April 30, 1953.

E A Lewis
Geologist


Weber Quartzite
Park City Lime
Property Line
N
1/2" Rusty Gouge
MnO2 Wash
25
35
MnO2
Chert Bed
E. Lokan Drift
No 3
S.W. Drift
S.W. Vert
No 1
Caved
Base of Park City Lm.
Caved.
25
Pb S-2.5 streaks in Bleached Lime
30
8" Carb. Ore
Pb-9%
Ag-10oz
65
MnO
10" Vein
8" Bunch Carb. Ore
Pb-10%
Ag-10oz
1300 Crosscuts + Drifts
New Quincy Mining Co
DMA-113
Contract-IDME-265
Apr. 30, 1953
50 Scale

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 22, 1953.

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report, Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of March 1953, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

R.H.

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: July 20, 1953
Payee's Reference: Progress Report - March, 1953

New Quincy Mining Co.
421 Felt Bldg.
Salt Lake City 1, Utah
I-DIA-D-265

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $694.05

Difference $270.83

Amount Approved $423.22

The reason for the difference was due to:

Supervision not supported by payroll showing hours worked	250.00
Payroll Taxes	8.40
Comp. Ins.	12.43
	$270.83

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 14, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period March 1953.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions.

Supervision	$250.00
Payroll Taxes	8.40
Compensation Insurance	12.43

Supervision charges not supported by certified copy of payroll showing the dates worked.

Remarks:

Date of Report	May 27, 1953
Date Received in Field Office	June 8, 1953
Date Received in Region Office	June 18, 1953
Date Processed for Payment	July 13, 1953

Held pending ruling from Washington Office as to allowability of charges during shutdown period.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures
RDB:cwm

cc: Contract; Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2); SRWilson; Chron.

C
O
P
Y

- 7 1953

Mr. W. H. King
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region IV
224 New Customhouse Building
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket No. DMEA-113
(Lead-Zinc)
Contract No. Idm-E265
New Quincy Mining Company
Wasatch and Summit Counties

Dear Mr. King:

Your memorandum to the Administrator of June 19 raises some question as to the liability of the Government for contributions to costs of the exploration work during the period in which the work was recessed.

The original contract is dated February 28, 1952. Your memorandum states that" "Work under the original contract was discontinued in December 1952 because the authorized funds had been exhausted." The present contract is dated March 24, 1953, and recites that: "Effective as of February 28, 1952, the Prior Contract is amended and superseded by this Amended Contract ..." It appears that between December 1952, when the work was discontinued under the prior contract, and March 24, 1953, the date of the present contract, certain costs were incurred while the operation was in suspension. Presumably, your doubt as to the propriety of allowing these costs arises from the fact that the contract forms recite that: "No ... work performed or costs incurred before the date of this contract, shall be allowed as costs of the project in which the Government will participate."

Although the present contract bears the date of March 24, 1953, the fact that it is expressly made "effective as of February 28, 1952," makes it plain that the amended contract was intended to cover all costs incurred beginning with February 28, 1952. The only question for you to determine is whether the costs claimed during the period of recess are reasonable and necessary. If the costs claimed were reasonably necessary to maintain the project in standby condition, they should be allowed for contribution by the Government.

Of course, it would be different if the parties had some express understanding with respect to costs that might be incurred during theperiod of recess. In the absence of such understanding, particularly in the absence of any writing on the subject, it must be presumed that the amended contract means what it says and that it was the intention to cover all costs beginning with the date of the original contract.

It is to be understood that the foregoing relates only to reasonable and necessary costs incurred in keeping the project in standby condition for further operations, and not to costs incurred by the Operator on any exploration work which he may have conducted on his own account during the period of suspension. In other words, the only costs that can be allowed for participation by the Government are costs arising out of the work described in the amended contract and which are allowable under the terms of the amended contract.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ C. O. Mittendorf

Administrator

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

June 16, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for March 1953

The attached copies of MF-104 forms and supporting information include expenditures made on the subject project during March 1953.

Funds under the original contract were exhausted during December 1952 and the operator requested additional funds in a letter dated January 12, 1953. The contract was amended with effective date March 24, 1953, appearing on the face of the contract form.

Work on the project was resumed March 26, 1953, and a total of 20 feet of advance made under the contract. This footage is not reported on the MF-104 forms because of a 20-foot holdback as per subcontract with the miners doing the work.

All charges reported on the MF-104 forms may not be in conformance with terms of the amended contract. Inasmuch as work was suspended in December 1952 as a result of exhaustion of funds, and the amended contract bears the date March 24, 1953, charges made between the two dates may not be valid. It is recommended that repayment of charges made prior to the effective date of the amended contract be withheld until proper interpretation of the contract by your office.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator June 3, 1953, but no narrative report was included. A request was made to the operator to furnish the proper report, which was received June 15, 1953.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson

June 10, 1953

M. H. Howes

DMMA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for March 1953

The amended contract, allowing additional funds to complete the proposed exploratory project, was signed and took effect on March 24, 1953. Work was resumed on the project on March 26, 1953.

The property was inspected on May 7, 1953, in the company of George N. Floor. Progress in the amount of 20 feet was made during March, and since this footage is to be withheld from the contractor's payment until completion of the drifting and crosscutting, no footage report is made on form MF-104A for March.

The crosscut was started about 42 feet from the face of the main No. 1 crosscut and driven southeasterly in slightly shattered Weber quartzite toward the south fissure.

The accounts were checked, and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Payment is approved for $347.03, which is 50 percent of the claimed $694.05.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of March, 195 3 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm E 265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50% Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE			FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY		
	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Contracts: ~~Short Form~~						
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting	✓	8,768.73	8,768.73			
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor	✓	405.88	405.88			
Supervision	✓ 250.00	2,287.50	2,537.50	00	2,287.50	2,287.50
Technical Services	✓ 50.00	475.00	525.00			
(3) Operating ~~Materials~~ bookkeeping and Supplies:	✓ 70.00	630.00	700.00			
Timber	✓	93.27	93.27			
Explosives	✓	1,041.54	1,041.54			
Pipe Sch. A.	✓ 53.25	712.87	766.12			
Track	✓	153.77	153.77			
Misc. Sch. B.	✓ 3.81	796.52	800.33			
Drill Steel & Bits	✓ 16.48	412.83	429.31			
(4) Operating Equipment: Sch. C						
Rental Sch. D	✓ 173.68	2,449.37	2,623.05			
Purchase	✓	4,721.67	4,721.67			
Depreciation	✓	1,212.32	1,212.32			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes Sch. E.	✓ 8.40	558.21	566.61	00	558.21	558.21
Liability Insurance						
Comp. Inc. Sch. F.	✓ 12.43	547.04	559.47	00	547.04	547.04
Sundries	✓	49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air Power and chg. panel Sch. G	✓ 56.00	535.52	591.52			
				423.22	25,852.01	26,275.23
TOTALS	694.05	25,852.01	26,546.06		*-722.16	722.16
				423.22	25,129.85	25,553.07

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 5/27/53 *Payee New Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen L Crandall Title ~~Secty-Treas.~~

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$ 211.61

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 7/21/53 Voucher No. DMA 54-8
(See other side)

* Previous Disallowances

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idn-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Hoyle Title Mining Engineer Date June 10, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R.D.B.

Signature W. H. Kong Title Executive Officer Date JUL 14 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of March 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm E 265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT	
							Units	Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	feet	694.05	-	21,824.39	622	35.09		
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		694.05		21,824.39				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,721.67				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		694.50		26,546.06				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 5/27/53 Operator New Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen Hersdall Title Sec'y-Treas.

REMARKS:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Schedule A. Pipe	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 124-J	$ 53.25
Schedule B. Misc.	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 124-J	3.81
Schedule C. Drill Steel & Bits	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 124-J	16.48
Schedule D. Rental	
Eimco Corp.	150.00
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 124-J	23.68
	173.68
Schedule E. Payroll taxes	
Nick Floor 200.00 X 4.2%	8.40
Schedule F. - Comp. Insurance	
Nick Floor 250.00 X .0497%	12.43
(Error in total wage s/b 200.00 - will be corrected in May, 1953)	
Schedule G. Air Power & charging panel	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 124-J	56.00

I certify that the above are true and legitimate payments made as listed.

May 27, 1953 NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen L Crandall Sec'y-Treas.

NARRATIVE
1300 CROSSCUTS & DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO.
DMA - 113
CONTRACT - IDM-E-265

GENERAL:

The amended contract was signed on March 24, 1953 and the men resumed work on the 26th of March, 1953. There was an advance of 20 feet made in the month of March, which was not paid to the miners, being held in reserve until the completion of the contract with them.

Dated March 31, 1953

George Wood
GENERAL MANAGER

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 22, 1953.

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report, Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of February 1953, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: July 20, 1953
Payee's
Reference: Progress
Report - February, 1959

New Quincy Mining Co.
421 Felt Bldg.
Salt Lake City 1, Utah
I-XX-C-265

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $841.61

Difference $521.61

Amount Approved $320.00

The reason for the difference was due to:

Supervision not supported by payroll and dates worked	250.00
Labor not covered by contract - Runner and Workman	232.00
Payroll Taxes	18.14
Comp. Ins.	21.47
	$521.61

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 14, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period February 1953.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions.

Labor - Work performed not in conformance with contract	$232.00
Supervision	250.00
Payroll Taxes	18.14
Compensation Insurance	21.47

Supervision charges not supported by certified copy of payroll showing the dates worked.

Remarks:

Date of Report	May 27, 1953
Date Received in Field Office	June 8, 1953
Date Received in Region Office	June 18, 1953
Date Processed for Payment	July 13, 1953.

Held pending ruling from Washington Office as to allowability of charges during shutdown period.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures
RDB:cwm
cc: Contract; Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2); SRWilson; Chron.

C
O
P
Y

JUL - 7 1953

Mr. W. H. King
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region IV
224 New Customhouse Building
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket No. DMEA-113
(Lead-Zinc)
Contract No. Idm-E265
New Quincy Mining Company
Wasatch and Summit Counties

Dear Mr. King:

Your memorandum to the Administrator of June 19 raises some question as to the liability of the Government for contributions to costs of the exploration work during the period in which the work was recessed.

The original contract is dated February 28, 1952. Your memorandum states that" "Work under the original contract was discontinued in December 1952 because the authorized funds had been exhausted." The present contract is dated March 24, 1953, and recites that: "Effective as of February 28, 1952, the Prior Contract is amended and superseded by this Amended Contract ..." It appears that between December 1952, when the work was discontinued under the prior contract, and March 24, 1953, the date of the present contract, certain costs were incurred while the operation was in suspension. Presumably, your doubt as to the propriety of allowing these costs arises from the fact that the contract forms recite that: "No ... work performed or costs incurred before the date of this contract, shall be allowed as costs of the project in which the Government will participate."

Although the present contract bears the date of March 24, 1953, the fact that it is expressly made "effective as of February 28, 1952," makes it plain that the amended contract was intended to cover all costs incurred beginning with February 28, 1952. The only question for you to determine is whether the costs claimed during the period of recess are reasonable and necessary. If the costs claimed were reasonably necessary to maintain the project in standby condition, they should be allowed for contribution by the Government.

Of course, it would be different if the parties had some express understanding with respect to costs that might be incurred during theperiod of recess. In the absence of such understanding, particularly in the absence of any writing on the subject, it must be presumed that the amended contract means what it says and that it was the intention to cover all costs beginning with the date of the original contract.

It is to be understood that the foregoing relates only to reasonable and necessary costs incurred in keeping the project in standby condition for further operations, and not to costs incurred by the Operator on any exploration work which he may have conducted on his own account during the period of suspension. In other words, the only costs that can be allowed for participation by the Government are costs arising out of the work described in the amended contract and which are allowable under the terms of the amended contract.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ C. O. Mittendorf

Administrator

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

June 16, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for February 1953.

Enclosed are five copies of MF-104 forms listing expenditures under the subject contract for February 1953.

Funds under the initial contract were exhausted during December 1952. The company requested additional funds for continuing the exploratory work in a letter dated January 12, 1953. An amended contract was drawn to allow the request. The effective date on the face of the contract form is March 24, 1953.

Actual contract operations on the property were not resumed until March 26, 1953. The present forms include labor expenditures for shaft sinking, which is not chargeable to the contract. It is recommended that the labor for this work, plus applicable payroll taxes and insurance, be disallowed.

The validity of the remaining charges listed on the MF-104 forms is questionable, inasmuch as the amended contract is dated March 24, 1953.

It is recommended that repayment of the Government's share of remaining charges be contingent upon interpretation of the amended contract by your office.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator June 3, 1953, but no narrative report was included. A request was made to the operator to furnish the proper report, which was received June 15, 1953.

I have initialed the MF-104 form, indicating inspection of the charges contained therein.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson June 10, 1953

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for February 1953

No progress was made during February. The application for an amendment to the contract for additional funds was still being processed.

The accounts were checked and some of the items, as listed below, should be charged to company account and not to DMEA. It is verified that all other items of charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Item (2) Labor - The charge of $232.00 for labor should be disallowed, because work done by this labor was not a part of the contract exploration project.

Item (7) Payroll Taxes - A charge of $18.14 was made for payroll taxes. The taxes on the above labor ($232.00 at 4.2 percent, amounting to $9.74), should be disallowed.

Item(7) Compensation Insurance - A charge of $21.47 was made for compensation insurance. The insurance on the above labor (232.00 at 4.97 percent, amounting to $11.53), should be disallowed.

Total charges for February 1953 from form MF-104 ——— $841.61

Disallowances:

Labor	$232.00	
Payroll taxes on labor	9.74	
Compensation Insurance on labor	11.53	
Total		-$253.27

Allowable charges for February 1953 $588.34.

Payment is approved for $294.17, which is 50 percent of the allowable amount of $588.34.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1950)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of February, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Fluorspar
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting	✓	8,768.73	8,768.73			
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor Sch. A.	✓ 230.00	175.88	405.88	00	173.88	173.88
Supervision	✓ 850.00	2,037.50	2,887.50	00	2,037.50	2,037.50
Technical Services		475.00	475.00			
(3) Operating Materials and Supplies:	✓ 70.00	500.00	570.00			
Timber	✓	93.27	93.27			
Explosives	✓	1,041.54	1,041.54			
Pipe	✓	712.87	712.87			
Track	✓	153.77	153.77			
Misc.	✓	796.52	796.52			
Drill Steel & Bits	✓	412.63	412.63			
(4) Operating Equipment:						
Rental Sch. B	✓ 250.00	2,199.37	2,449.37			
Purchase	✓	4,721.67	4,721.67			
Depreciation	✓	1,212.92	1,212.92			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes Sch. C.	✓ 10.24	540.07	550.31	00	540.07	540.07
Liability Insurance						
Comp. Ins. Sch. D.	✓ 21.47	525.57	547.04	00	525.57	525.57
Quarries	✓	49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air Cover & Charging Shaft	✓	535.52	535.52			
				320.00	25,010.40	25,330.40
TOTALS	641.01	25,010.40	25,8[illegible].01		*200.55	*200.55
				320.00	24,809.85	25,129.85

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 5/27/53 *Payee New Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen S. Crandall Title Secty-Treas.

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$160.00

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 7/21/53 Vou. No. DMA 54-8
(See other side)

* Previous Disallowance

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes SRW Title Mining Engineer Date June 10, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R.D.B.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date JUL 14 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of February 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. DMA-3-265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Units	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	foot	841.61	-	21,130.34	622	33.97		
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		841.61		21,130.34				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,721.67				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		841.61		25,852.01				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 5/27/53 Operator New Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen S. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

REMARKS:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Schedule A. Labor

Putting shaft in #2 Crosscut:	Ken Kummer	$ 116.00
	A. Workman	116.00
		232.00

Schedule B. Rental

Kimco Corp. 36040A	150.00
Gardner-Denver Co.	100.00
	250.00

Schedule C. Payroll Taxes

Nick Floor	200.00	
Kummer & Workman	232.00	
	432.00 X 4.2%	18.14

Schedule D - Compensation Insurance

Nick Floor	200.00	
Kummer & Workman	232.00	
	432.00 X .0497%	21.47

I certify the above are true and legitimate payments made as listed.

Dated May 27, 1953 NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen L. Crandall Sec'y-Treas.

NARRATIVE
1300 CROSSCUTS & DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO.
DMA - 113
CONTRACT - IDM-E-265

GENERAL:

There was no footage gained in the month of February, still due to the lack of funds.

Dated February 28, 1953

George T. Wood
GENERAL MANAGER

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 22, 1953.

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report, Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of January 1953, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: July 20, 1953
Payee's
Reference: Progress
Report - January, 1953

New Quincy Mining Co.
621 Felt Bldg.
Salt Lake City 1, Utah
I-[illegible]-D-265

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ 520.55

Difference $ 200.55

Amount Approved $ 320.00

The reason for the difference was due to:

Insufficient documentation to show hours worked:

Supervisor	175.00
Runner & Workman	15.00
Compensation Ins.	5.72
Payroll Taxes	4.83
	(200.55)

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 14, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period January 1953.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions.

Labor	$15.00
Supervision	175.00
Payroll Taxes	4.83
Compensation Insurance	5.72

Charges not supported by certified copy of payroll showing the dates worked.

Remarks:

Date of Report	May 27, 1953
Date Received in Field Office	June 8, 1953
Date Received in Region Office	June 18, 1953
Date Processed for Payment	July 13, 1953

Held pending ruling from Washington Office as to allowability of charges during shutdown period.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures
RDB:cwm
cc: Contract; Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2); SRWilson; Chron.

C
O
P
Y

JUL - 7 1953

Mr. W. H. King
Executive Officer, DMEA
Field Team, Region IV
224 New Customhouse Building
Denver 2, Colorado

Re: Docket No. DMEA-113
(Lead-Zinc)
Contract No. Idm-E265
New Quincy Mining Company
Wasatch and Summit Counties

Dear Mr. King:

Your memorandum to the Administrator of June 19 raises some question as to the liability of the Government for contributions to costs of the exploration work during the period in which the work was recessed.

The original contract is dated February 28, 1952. Your memorandum states that: "Work under the original contract was discontinued in December 1952 because the authorized funds had been exhausted." The present contract is dated March 24, 1953, and recites that: "Effective as of February 28, 1952, the Prior Contract is amended and superseded by this Amended Contract ..." It appears that between December 1952, when the work was discontinued under the prior contract, and costs were incurred while the operation was in suspension. Presumably, your doubt as to the propriety of allowing these costs arises from the fact that the contract forms recite that: "No ... work performed or costs incurred before the date of this contract, shall be allowed as costs of the project in which the Government will participate."

Although the present contract bears the date of March 24, 1953, the fact that it is expressly made "effective as of February 28, 1952," makes it plain that the amended contract was intended to cover all costs incurred beginning with February 28, 1952. The only question for you to determine is whether the costs claimed during the period of recess are reasonable and necessary. If the costs claimed were reasonably necessary to maintain the project in standby condition, they should be allowed for contribution by the Government.

Of course, it would be different if the parties had some express understanding with respect to costs that might be incurred during the period of recess. In the absence of such understanding, particularly in the absence of any writing on the subject, it must be presumed that the amended contract means what it says and that it was the intention to cover all costs beginning with the date of the original contract.

It is to be understood that the foregoing relates only to reasonable and necessary costs incurred in keeping theproject in standby condition for further operations, and not to costs incurred by the Operator on any exploration work which he may have conducted on his own account during the period of suspension. In other words, the only costs that can be allowed for participation by the Government are costs arising out of the work described in the amended contract and which are allowable under the terms of the amended contract.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ C. O. Mittendorf

Administrator

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

June 16, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for January 1953

Transmitted herewith are five copies of MF-104 forms listing subject contract expenditures during January 1953.

Funds under the initial contract were exhausted during December 1952, and the company requested additional funds to complete the originally proposed raising, by letter dated January 12, 1953. The contract was amended to allow the requested funds and permit the additional drifting and raising. The effective date on the face of the amended contract form is March 24, 1953.

Actual operations on the property were not resumed until March 26, 1953. The present MF-104 forms include expenditures made for labor for mine cleanup, rental of equipment, supervision, insurance and payroll taxes. A question arises concerning the validity of the charges listed on the MF-104 forms, inasmuch as the amended contract is dated March 24, 1953.

It is recommended that repayment of the Government's share of January expenditures to the operator be dependent upon interpretation of the amended contract by your office.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator June 3, 1953, but no narrative report was included. The operator was requested to furnish the proper report, which was received June 15, 1953.

I have initialed the MF-104 forms, indicating inspection of the charges contained therein.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson June 10, 1953

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for January 1953

No progress was made during January. The exploratory project was temporarily stopped because contract funds had been depleted in December. An application for an amendment to the contract to allow additional funds with which to complete the proposed exploratory project was submitted and is being processed.

The accounts were checked, and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Payment is approved for $260.27, which is 50 percent of the claimed $520.55.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-134 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of January, 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm E 265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 50,700.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 25,350.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE			FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY		
	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent ~~Short Form~~ Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting	✓	8,768.73	8,768.73			
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor Sch. A.	✓ 15.00	158.88	173.88	00	158.88	158.88
Supervision	✓ 175.00	1,862.50	2,037.50	00	1,862.50	1,862.50
Technical Services	✓	475.00	475.00			
Bookeeping	✓ 70.00	490.00	560.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:						
Timber	✓	93.27	93.27			
Explosives	✓	1,041.54	1,041.54			
Pipe	✓	712.87	712.87			
Track	✓	153.77	153.77			
Misc.	✓	796.52	796.52			
Drill Steel & Bits	✓	412.83	412.83			
(4) Operating Equipment:						
Rental Sch. B	✓ 250.00	1,949.37	2,199.37			
Purchase	✓	4,721.67	4,721.67			
Depreciation	✓	1,212.32	1,212.32			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes Sch. C	✓ 4.83	535.24	540.07	00	535.24	535.24
Liability Insurance						
Comp. Insurance Sch. D	✓ 5.72	519.85	525.57	00	519.85	519.85
Sundries	✓	49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air power and charg. panel	✓	535.52	535.52			
TOTALS	520.55	24,489.85	25,010.40	320.00	24,489.85	24,809.85

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 5/27/53 *Payee New Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen H. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$160.00

Signature
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 7/21/53 Vou. 54-8

(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E 265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howles, SRW Title Mining Engineer Date June 10, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R.D.L.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date JUL 14 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government, through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JUL 27 1953

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of January 1953 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idn E 265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	Unit	Costs This Month	Units This Month	Costs to Date	Units to Date	Unit Costs to Date	Authorized by Contract: Units	Authorized by Contract: Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting								
Raising	feet	520.55	-	20,288.73	622	32.62		
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
Total Distributed Costs		520.55		20,288.73				Total Costs Authorized by Contract
Operating Equipment Purchased								
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs				4,721.67				
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
Total Costs		520.55		25,010.40				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 5/27/53 Operator New Quincy Mining Company
Per Glen Herendel Title Sec'y-Treasurer

Remarks:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Schedule A. Labor		
Cleaning mine: Ken Kummer		$ 7.50
Arthur Workman		7.50
		15.00
Schedule B. Rental		
Eimco Corporation 34855A		150.00
Gardner-Denver Co.		100.00
		250.00
Schedule C. Payroll taxes		
Nick Floor	100.00	
Kummer & Workman	15.00	
	115.00 X 4.2%	4.83
Schedule D. Compensation Insurance		
Nick Floor	100.00	
Kummer & Workman	15.00	
	115.00 X .0497%	5.72

I certify that the above are true and legitimate payments made as listed.

Dated May 27, 1953 NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Geo. Hearnsberger Sec'y-Treasurer

NARRATIVE
1300 CROSSCUTS & DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING
DMA - 113
CONTRACT - IDM-E0265

GENERAL:

In the month of January work was suspended temporarily due to the lack of funds, although all equipment was inventoried, cleaned, greased and stored, ready for future use.

Date January 31, 1953

George W. Wood
GENERAL MANAGER

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 22, 1953.

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Supplemental Report for the reclaim of amounts disallowed from June through December 1952, and Engineer's Report relative to the reclaims, which pertain to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

R. DB.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

July 14, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Supplemental Report for the reclaim of amounts disallowed from June through December 1952.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, and Engineer's Report relative to the reclaims.

Payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations.

Reclaim of Track $53.44 is justified and documentation submitted is considered adequate.

Reclaim of $183.00 for purchase of a Drill Column is allowed by Amendment No. 5 with effective date the date of the contract.

Remarks:

Date of Report	May 27, 1953.
Date Received in Field Office	June 3, 1953.
Date Original Report Received in Region Office	June 11, 1953.
Date Revised Report Received in Region Office	July 9, 1953.
Date Processed for Payment	July 13, 1953.

Delay due to necessity for returning forms to Operator for changes.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

RDB:cwm
cc: Contract; Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2); SRWilson; Chron.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

June 9, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-1265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Supplemental MF-104 forms requesting adjustment for disallowances made from June through December 1952

Attached are the original and four copies of MF-104 forms submitted by the operator to request adjustment for disallowances made on the forms during the period June through December 1952. Comments by the inspecting engineer are included in a separate memorandum.

An amount of $53.44 for track was disallowed by Administrative Audit Difference Statement dated December 22, 1952. This disallowance was made because of insufficient documentation. The original of the present MF-104 forms includes documentation for a charge of $53.44 for rails, and a request is made for payment of this amount. No change is made in the total expenditures for track on the present MF-104 forms. The amounts were included in the totals listed on the previous MF-104 forms, and disallowed by audit in Denver.

A charge of $183 for purchase of a 6-foot drill column was disallowed October 27, 1952, because no allowance for this item was provided by the contract. Amendment No. 5 permits purchase of this item, and a request is made for reimbursement on the present MF-104 forms. No change has been made in the totals for purchases on the present MF-104 forms as a result of this item. The original forms included the charge, which was disallowed by audit in Denver.

A charge of $42.59 for fabricating a bumper for a company-owned trammer was disallowed by Administrative Audit Difference Statement dated October 27, 1952. This item is not allowable and has been deducted from the totals shown on the present MF-104 forms. The amount was included on the originally submitted forms.

Separate charges of $3.65 and $133.54 for payroll taxes were disallowed September 19, 1952, and October 1, 1952, respectively,

because of insufficient documentation. These charges, in the total amount of $137.19, were allowed December 12, 1952. The company erroneously included the above allowances in the November 1952 MF-104 forms, and they were disallowed February 24, 1953. This amount has been deducted from the totals shown on the present MF-104 forms.

It is recommended that the New Quincy Mining Co. be reimbursed by $118.22, which is 50 percent of the allowable total of $236.44 for rails and 6-foot drill column as described above.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures

S. R. Wilson June 8, 1953

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, Contract Idm-2265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Supplemental report of adjustments on form MF-104

The New Quincy Mining Co. has submitted a supplemental report with corrections on form MF-104 for allowances and disallowances on statements from June through December 1952.

Item (3) Track - A charge of $53.44 for rails was disallowed on December 22, 1952, because of insufficient documentation. Proper documentation has been attached to the above report and request is made for payment of this item.

Item (4) Purchase - A charge of $183.00 for the purchase of a 6-foot drill column and accessories was disallowed on October 27, 1952, because there was no allowance for this item in the contract. Amendment No. 5 of the contract allows for this purchase, and request is made for payment.

A charge of $42.59 for fabricating a bumper for a company-owned trammer was disallowed on September 19, 1952, because this is not a Government responsibility under the terms of the contract. This item has been deducted from the present MF-104 form.

Item (7) Payroll Taxes - Charges for payroll taxes in the amounts of $3.65 and $133.54 were disallowed on September 19, 1953, and October 1, 1953, respectively, because of insufficient documentation. These charges, in the total amount of $137.19, were allowed on December 12, 1952. The company again included the above charges of $137.19 for payroll taxes in its November 1952 report, and these charges were disallowed on February 24, 1953. This item has been deducted from the present MF-104 form.

Payment is due the New Quincy Mining Co. for $118.22, which is 50 percent of the total allowable charges of $236.44 ($53.44 for rails and $183.00 for 6-foot drill column and accessories).

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

U. S. Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

RECEIVED
JUL 7 1953
Salt Lake City, Utah

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of [illegible], 195[illegible] Docket No. DMEA [illegible] Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name [illegible] Minerals [illegible]
Address [illegible]
Contract Amount, $[illegible] Government Participation: [illegible]% Amount, $[illegible]

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE			FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY		
	Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) ~~Independent~~ / ~~Short Form~~ Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing		[illegible]	[illegible]			
Crosscutting						
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor		[illegible]	[illegible]			
Supervision		[illegible]	[illegible]			
Technical Services		[illegible]	[illegible]			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:						
Timber		[illegible]	[illegible]			
Explosives		[illegible]	[illegible]			
Pipe		[illegible]	[illegible]			
Track	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]			
(4) Operating Equipment:						
Rental	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
Purchase		[illegible]	[illegible]			
Depreciation		[illegible]	[illegible]			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis		[illegible]	[illegible]			
Payroll Taxes						
Liability Insurance		[illegible]	[illegible]			
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
[illegible]		[illegible]	[illegible]			
TOTALS	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	236.44	24,253.41	24,489.85

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date [illegible] *Payee [illegible]

Per Glen Hendrickson Title [illegible]

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$118.22

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 7/21/53 Voucher No. DMA 54-8

(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E245 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. Hollowes GRW. Title Mining Engineer Date July 7, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R.N.B.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date JUL 14 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of [illegible] 195..... Docket No. DMEA [illegible] Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name [illegible] Minerals [illegible]
Address [illegible]

OPERATION	Unit	Costs This Month	Units This Month	Costs to Date	Units to Date	Unit Costs to Date	Authorized by Contract	
							Units	Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]		
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
								Total Costs Authorized by Contract
Total Distributed Costs		[illegible]		[illegible]				
Operating Equipment Purchased				[illegible]				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
Total Costs		[illegible]		[illegible]				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date [illegible] Operator [illegible]

Per Glen S. Crandall Title [illegible]

Remarks: [illegible]

→ **NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.**

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

Schedule A. - Track

Track furnished by New Quincy Mining Company
per attached invoice $ 53.44

Schedule B - Purchases

6 Foot column and accessories applied for
this date under Amendment #5 183.00

I certify that the above is correct and just, and that payment therefor has not been received.

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Dated July 6, 1953 For Glen S. Crandall Sec'y-Treas.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
APR 28 1953

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

April 23, 1953
4/28/53 Received by D.M.E.
Greenold
Betty

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration

From: Executive Officer, Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report Form MF-104, and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer s Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the period of December, 1952, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

April 14, 1953.

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-R265 (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period December 1952.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations.

Remarks:

Date of Report	January 20, 1953.
Date Received in Field Office	January 26, 1953.
Date Received in Region Office	February 12, 1953.
Date Processed for Payment	April 14, 1953.

Held up pending revision of contract by the Washington office.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

cc: Contract
Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2)
SRWilson
Chron.

February 10, 1953

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Minerals Development Branch, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for December 1952.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of MF-104 forms covering expenditures under the subject contract for December 1952. Copies of a progress report prepared as a result of inspection by M. H. Howes are included.

Expenditures during December exceeded the total allowable under the contract. The company has requested an amendment to permit more funds and additional work. This amendment is in process at present.

Payment of the amount recommended in the progress report should be withheld until clarification of the pending amendment request to allow more funds. The Government has equity in equipment and supplies on the property.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator January 26, 1953. The property was inspected December 31, 1952.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Encls.

S. R. Wilson February 9, 1953

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for December 1952

The New Quincy mine was inspected on December 31, 1952, in the company of Nick Floor. It is verified that 118 feet of progress for December, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct. The allowable contract amount of $23,858.00 has been exceeded by $769.04. At a unit cost of $32.99, progress for a distance of 23 feet has exceeded the allowable contract footage. Therefore, 118 less 23, or 95 feet of work was performed in accordance with the contract during December.

From the end of the footwall drift, as of December 1, a crosscut was driven to fault fissure "A," where 18 inches of lead-silver ore occurs. The ore is stronger in the fissure in the bottom of the crosscut than in the top, which indicates the possibility of its occurring in the hanging wall bedding at a lower horizon. The fissure was followed easterly for about 10 feet on rather weak mineralization. Park City limestone occurs in the hanging wall with a north-south strike and a dip of 5° west. Because of the heavy condition of the fissure material, it was decided to continue the footwall drift easterly. At 35 feet a crosscut was driven to the fissure, where the Weber quartzite-Park City limestone contact was found in the hanging wall. Mineralization is fairly weak at this point.

The accounts were checked and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. However, the contract amount of $23,858.00 was exceeded by $769.04. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Payment is approved for $1,280.28, which is 50 percent of the allowable remainder of the contract amount ($2,560,56).

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
APR 27 1953

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of December, 1952 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. 1dm-2-265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 23,858.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 11,929.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting Sch. A	1,960.00	6,800.73	8,760.73			
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor	32.75	126.13	158.88			
Supervision	250.00	1,012.50	1,062.50			
Technical Services	100.00	375.00	475.00			
Bookkeeping	70.00	420.00	490.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:						
Timber Sch. K.	40.68	52.59	93.27			
Explosives Sch. L	1.69	1,039.85	1,041.54			
Pipe Sch. C	14.02	698.05	712.07			
Track Sch. L	4.59	149.18	153.77			
Misc. Sch. D	30.83	765.69	796.52			
Drill Steel & Bits	45.53	367.30	412.83			
(4) Operating Equipment: Sch. E						
Rental Sch. E.2	288.50	1,660.87	1,949.37			
Purchase		4,764.26	4,764.26			
Depreciation Sch. E	202.27	1,010.05	1,212.32			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes Sch. H J	92.10	662.33	754.60			
Liability Insurance						
Comp. Insur. Sch. I	105.75	414.10	519.85			
Sundries		49.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air power and chg. panel Sch. C	90.89	444.63	535.52			
				3329.60	21340.03	24669.63
Totals	3,329.60	21,340.03	24,669.63		*-416.22	*-416.22
				3329.60	20923.81	24253.41

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 1/20/53 *Payee New Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen D. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$ 1664.80

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 4/21/53 Vou. No. DMA 53-123
(See other side)

* Previous disallowances

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Ida-E245 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes SCW Title Mining Engineer Date Feb. 6, 1953

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R.D.B.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date APR 14 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Exploration Administration
U.S. Bureau of Mines
APR 2 1953
JAN 28 1953
Salt Lake City, Utah

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of December 1952 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Ida-7-265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Units	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	feet	3,329.60	110	19,905.37	602	33.06		
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		3,329.60		19,905.37				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,764.26				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		3,329.60		24,669.63				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 1/20/53 Operator NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen L. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

REMARKS:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF-104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF-104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF-104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF-104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF-104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 205676

Schedule A. - Crosscutting	
Ken Kummer	$ 730.00
Arthur Workman	730.00
Dean Boyle	500.00
	1,960.00
Schedule B - Explosives	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 117-J	1.69
Schedule C - Pipe	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 117-J	14.02
Schedule D - Miscellaneous Supplies	
Gardner-Denver Co. — 29-4700	1.73
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 117-J	29.10
	30.83
Schedule E - Drill Steel and Bits	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 117-J	45.53
Schedule F - Rental	
Eimco Corporation 34031A	150.00
Gardner-Denver	100.00
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 117-J	38.50
	288.50
Schedule G.-Power	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 117-J	90.89
Schedule H - Depreciation	
Tramer	62.50
Battery Charger	18.60
Mine cars	77.00
Gardner-Denver Compressor	44.17
	202.27
Schedule I - Workman's Compensation	
Crosscutting 1,960.00	
Labor 32.75	
Nick Floor 200.00	
2,192.75	
Less- 65.00 - amount over-paid on in Nov.	
2,127.75 X .0497	105.75
Schedule J - Payroll taxes	
Accrued taxes on $2,192.75 X 4.2%	92.10
Schedule K - timber	
New Park Mining Company	39.50
Park Utah Consolidated Mining, Inc. 117-J	1.10
	40.60
Schedule L - Track	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 117-J	4.59

I certify the above to be true and legitimate payments, made as stated.

Dated January 20, 1953

Glen I. Grandall

Glen I. Grandall, Sec'y-Treasurer

NARRATIVE - 1301-02-03 CROSSCUTS & DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT - IDME - 265

GENERAL

On nov. 29, 1952 No 2 Lateral Drift was driven 67.0 ft. It is now in 105.5 ft. or an advance for Dec. of 38.5 ft.

1302 Crosscut and drift therefrom is driven 33.0 ft. and 1303 Crosscut is driven a total of 46.5 ft. making a grand total for the month of December of 118.0 ft.

GEOLOGY

As the sketch shows, in 1303 crosscut the base of the Park City lime is expeosed in the hanging wall of the South Fissure, so as far as formation is concerned our objective has been reached.

It now appears 1301 crosscut is too high in the hanging wall lime and perhaps 1303 crosscut may be too low. It now remains to follow out the ore showing in 1302 Crosscut to determine if the ore beds out into the hanging wall lime. We will also work westward from 1303 crosscut on the manganese oxide to see if that leads to ore as is sometimes the case.

Of course, if the ore is eventually found to be bedding upward to the east ~~xikkerx~~ then it will be necessary to drive eastward iether on the fissure or in the lateral drift to get positions for raises.

In general, it may be said the ore showing now exposed is quite encouraging.

Park City, Utah.
Dec. 31, 1952.

E A Lewis
Geologist



1301-02-03 CROSSCUTS + DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT-IDME-265
Dec. 31, 1952 50 Scale

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

ACC
Griswold
Betty

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

February 26, 1953.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
MAR 2 1953

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report MF-104 and 104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of November, 1952, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

Date Febr. 24, 1953
Royalty Reference: Progress Report
November, 1952

New Quincy Mining Co.,
421 Felt Building
Salt Lake City 1, Utah

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
MAR 2 1953

[illegible] Royalty Reference [illegible] your account accordingly:

[illegible] $ 3546.64

[illegible] 137.19

[illegible] $ 3409.45

[illegible]

Taxes accrued June, 1952, disallowed Sept.19, 1952 $3.65
" " July, 1952, " " Oct. 1, 1952 133.54 $137.19

Above amount was reinstated by this office and included in the amount of $820.28 which was payment of your September, 1952 report.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

February 16, 1953.

Memorandum

To : T. A. Christensen

From : Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idn-E265 (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period November, 1952.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations.

Remarks:

Date of Report -December 12, 1952.
Date Received in Field Office - December 16, 1952.
Date Received in Region Office - December 29, 1952.
Date Processed for Payment - February 16, 1953.

Hold up pending receipt of December report in order to protect Government equity in jointly-owned property.

W. H. King
W. H. King

RDB:cwm
cc: Contract
Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2)
SRWilson
Chron.

December 23, 1952

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Utah-Wyoming Branch, Mining Division

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for November 1952.

Enclosed are the required copies of MF-104 forms and supporting data covering work under the subject contract for November 1952. The property was inspected by H. H. Howes December 5, 1952, and the attached progress report prepared.

The inspecting engineer has verified that charges for services and supplies during the month were necessary in the conduct of the work and that the work conforms to the contract. Recommendation is made that the expenditures be approved for payment as noted in the progress report.

It is probable that the allotted funds for the project will be expended during December. The operator will submit a request to increase funds under the contract to complete the raising as originally planned. It may be necessary to withhold from payment sufficient funds to cover Government equity in equipment and other inventory until such time as decision is made concerning the additional funds for raising.

The MF-104 forms for November 1952 were received in this office December 16, 1952.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Encls.

S. R. Wilson December 19, 1953

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for November 1952

The New Quincy mine was inspected on December 5, 1952, in the company of Nick Floor. It is verified that 94 feet of progress for November, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

Fault fissure "A" was encountered at 220 feet from the point of beginning. Park City limestone, striking north-south and dipping about 10 degrees west, occurs on the hanging wall side of the fissure. Since the objective of this part of the project is to cut the contact of the Weber quartzite and Park City limestone in the hanging wall, it was necessary to drift along the fissure in an easterly direction. After progressing 10 feet, the drift caved. It was decided best to abandon the caved drift and to drive a new drift, starting a short distance in the footwall and progressing at an acute angle easterly toward the fissure. This drift was driven 67 feet in November, and remained entirely within the Weber quartzite footwall.

Low values of lead, gold and silver appeared in a gangue of manganese, quartz and calcite about one foot thick in the fissure. The fissure contains a small seepage of water. The Weber quartzite in the new footwall drift is shattered and altered. Iron and manganese stains, together with scattered quartz and calcite, indicates the possibility of finding ore in the favorable Park City limestone above the Weber quartzite in the hanging wall.

The accounts were checked and it is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Payment is approved for $1,773.32, which is 50 percent of the claimed $3,546.64.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

U. S. Bureau of Mines
RECEIVED
DEC 16 1952
Salt Lake City, Utah

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of November 2 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm E 265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Units	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT Unit Costs
Drifting	feet	3,546.64	94	16,575.77	484	34.24		
Crosscutting								
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		3,546.64		16,575.77				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,764.26				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		3,546.64		21,340.03				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date December 12, 1952 Operator New Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen L. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

REMARKS:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

Form MF-10[illegible] (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
MAR 2 1953

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of NOVEMBER 195 2 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idd E 265
Operator's Name New Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 23,850.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 11,929.00

ITEMS OF COSTS		FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:							
Drilling							
Bulldozing	Sch. A	1,334.75	5,473.98	6,808.73			
Crosscutting							
Drifting							
(2) Labor and Supervision:	Sch. L.	29.73	96.40	126.13			
Labor		250.00	1,362.50	1,612.50			
Supervision		75.00	300.00	375.00			
Technical Services		70.00	350.00	420.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:	Sch. E.	52.59		52.59			
Timber	Sch. B	266.37	773.48	1,039.85			
Explosives	Sch. C	523.08	175.77	698.85			
Pipe							
Track							
Misc.	Sch. D.	58.04	856.63	914.67			
Drill Steel & Bits	Sch D	18.81	348.49	367.30			
(4) Operating Equipment:	Sch. F	288.50	1,372.37	1,660.87			
Rental			4,764.26	4,764.26			
Purchase							
Depreciation	Sch. H	202.27	807.78	1,010.05			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment							
Sampling and Analysis	Sch. J	201.65	370.68	580.33	64.46	378.68	443.14
Payroll Taxes							
Liability Insurance							
Comp. Insurance	Sch I	81.96	332.14	414.10			
Sundries	Sch. K	3.00	46.97	49.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Air power and charging panel	Sch. G	90.69	353.74	444.63			
TOTALS		3,546.64	17,793.39	21,340.03	3409.45	17793.39	21202.84
					*	- 225.59	- 225.59
					3409.45	17567.80	20977.25

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 12/12/52 *Payee NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen Herndon Title Sec'y-Treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

*Previous Deductions

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$ 1704.73

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 2/25/53 Voucher No. DMA 53-99

(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes, JRW Title Mining Engineer Date Dec. 19, 1952

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R.D.B. (P.)

Signature W. H. Kemp Title Executive Officer Date FEB 16 1953

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Schedule A - Crosscutting	
Dean Boyle	$ 394.75
Ken Kummer	470.00
Arthur Workman	470.00
	1,334.75
Schedule B - Explosives	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 113 J	266.37
Schedule C - Pipe	
Armco Drainage & Metal Products, Inc. 65460	436.98
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 113J	86.10
	523.08
Schedule D - Miscellaneous	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 113J	58.04
Schedule E - Drill Steel & Bits	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines Inc. 113J	18.81
Schedule F - Rental	
Eimco Corporation 33005A	150.00
Gardner-Denver Co.	100.00
Park Utah Consoldiated Mines, Inc.	38.50
	288.50
Schedule G - Power	
Park Utah Conidated Mines, Inc.	90.89
Schedule H - Depreciation	
Depreciation on Trammer	62.50
" " " Battery charger	18.60
" " " Mines cars	77.00
" " " Garder-Denver Compressor	44.17
	202.27
Schedule I - Workman's Compensation	
Total payroll X .0497	81.96
Schedule J - Payroll taxes accrued	
Total payroll X 4.2%	64.46
Taxes accrued June, 1952, disallowed 9/19/52	3.65
Taxes accrued July, 1952, disallowed 10/1/52	133.54
	201.65
Schedule K - Sundries	
Re-imbursed Dean Boyle for paym't to electrician	3.00
Schedule L - Labor	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 113J	29.73
Schedule M - Timber	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. 113J	$ 52.59

I certify that the above are true and legitimate payment made as listed.

December 12, 1952

NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Glen I. Crandall

Glen I. Crandall, Sec'y-Treas.

NARRATIVE - 1301 CROSSCUT & DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT - IDME - 265

GENERAL

On October 31, 1952 the face of the crosscut was 212 ft. ahead of point RLP. On Nov. 29, 1952 the face of the crosscut is 227 ft. ahead of the same point or an advance for the month of Nov. of 15 ft. In addition 2' advance was allowed for cutting a 1 car switch making 17 ft paid for in the crosscut.

At the above point the crosscut was stopped as it had reached the South Fissure and a drift called No 1 was driven eastward 10ft. on the fissure. The face of No 1 drift caved at this point and it was deemed best to abandon it.

At a point in the crosscut 32 ft back of the face No 2 drift was started eastward and driven a total for the month of 67 ft.

Then the advance for the month of Nov. is

Crosscut	17.0'	
No 1 Drift	10.0'	
No 2 Drift	67.0'	94.0 ft.

GEOLOGY

As expected, at 220 ft in the crosscut from point RLP The South Fissure was exposed. In the hanging wall side Park City lime occurs striking nearly north and south and dipping about 10 degrees west. The objective of the crosscut was to cut the fissure at about the base of the Park City lime on the hanging wall side. Due to a flattening of the lime beds the base of the lime will be somewhat farther east hence No 1 drift was driven eastward.

When No 1 drift caved it was deemed best to drive No 2 drift as a lateral. On Nov. 29 this drift was turned to a course more southerly to again see the fissure.

In the fissure where cut in the crosscut there is better than a foot of nearly pure manganese dioxide with some quartz, calcite and chlorite which assays nearly a per cent Pb and fractional values in Au. and Ag. This is considered quite a favorable showing and when the base of the lime is found on the hanging wall of the fissure it is entirely possible some ore may be found.

Park City, Utah.
November 29, 1952

E A Hewitt
Geologist



1301 CROSSCUT + DRIFTS
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT-IDME-265
Nov. 29, 1952 100 Scale

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN 2 1953
~~1/6/53 Received by D.W.C.~~

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 30, 1952

~~[illegible]~~
Keating
Betty

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee, DMEA

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, (Lead-Zinc), New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report Form MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the period of October 1952 pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Company.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

Dec. 22, 1952

Progress Report

October, 1952

New Quincy Mining Co.,
422 Felt Bldg.,
Salt Lake City, Utah

[illegible] 3411.79

[illegible] 53.44

[illegible] 3358.35

[illegible]

Insufficient Documentation for rolls. (Nick Floor)
334 feet at 16¢ per foot. . . . . (53.44

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & 1 Form No. 6

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 18, 1952

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Co. Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah - Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period of October, 1952

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations, except for the following deductions.

Nick Floor - 334 ft. @ 16¢/ft. - $53.44
Reason - Lack of sufficient documentation

Date of Report - November 18, 1952
Date received in Utah-Wyoming office - November 20, 1952
Date received in Region IV office - December 4, 1952
Date processed for payment - December 17, 1952

Held up one week awaiting return of previous Monthly Report from finance office.

RDB:oc
cc: Contract
Secretary to the Oper. Comm. (2)
SRWilson
Chron.
AHKoschmann (2)

John F. Shaw
John F. Shaw for
W. H. King

Enclosures

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
1600 EAST FIRST SOUTH STREET
SALT LAKE CITY 1, UTAH

December 2, 1952

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Acting Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Utah-Wyoming Branch, Mining Division

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for October 1952.

Enclosed are the original and four copies of MF-104 forms and related data covering work and expenditures under the subject contract for October 1952. The property was inspected by M. H. Howes October 31, 1952, and the work verified. Copies of a progress report prepared by the inspecting engineer are attached.

Work accomplished during the month is in conformance with the contract. Recommendation is made that the contractor be reimbursed for the Government's share of expenditures as listed in the progress report.

The MF-104 forms for October were received from the operator November 20, 1952.

Stephen R. Wilson
Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures 2

S. R. Wilson

November 25, 1952

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for October 1952

The New Quincy mine was inspected on October 31, 1952, in the company of Nick Floor. It is verified that 125 feet of progress in the 1301 crosscut for October, as reported on form MF-104A, is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

The crosscut has advanced 386 feet from the point of beginning, and while the face is 6 feet past the estimated position of fault fissure "A", evidence is strong of the close proximity of this objective. The Weber quartzite, for the last 40 feet, is greatly altered and crushed. Quartz and calcite, with considerable iron and manganese stains, are exposed in numerous slips.

The accounts were checked with Glen I. Crandall at the New Quincy Mining Co. office in Salt Lake City. It is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. All charges reported on form MF-104 are supported by the necessary documentation, and the operator's narrative report is considered to be adequate.

Payment is approved for $1,705.89, which is 50 percent of the claimed $3,411.79.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

U. S. Bureau of Mines
RECEIVED
NOV 2 0 1952
Defense Minerals Administration
Salt Lake City, Utah

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

RECEIVED
JAN 2 1953
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Month of OCTOBER 1952 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E-265
Operator's Name NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY Minerals lead-zinc
Address SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

OPERATION	Unit	Costs This Month	Units This Month	Costs to Date	Units to Date	Unit Costs to Date	Authorized by Contract: Units	Authorized by Contract: Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	feet	3,411.79	125	13,029.13	390	33.41		
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
Total Distributed Costs		3,411.79		13,029.13				Total Costs Authorized by Contract
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,764.26				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
Total Costs		3,411.79		17,793.39				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 11/18/52 Operator NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen I. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

Remarks:

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 205676

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-1953
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
JAN 2 1953

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of OCTOBER, 1952 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-E-265
Operator's Name NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY Minerals lead-zinc
Address SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH
Contract Amount, $ 23,858.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 11,929.00

ITEMS OF COSTS		FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:							
Drilling							
Bulldozing							
Crosscutting	Sch. A	1,750.00	3,723.98	5,473.98			
Drifting							
(2) Labor and Supervision:							
Labor			96.40	96.40			
Supervision		250.00	1,112.50	1,362.50			
Technical Services		75.00	225.00	300.00			
bookkeeping		70.00	280.00	350.00			
(3) Operating Materials and Supplies:							
Timber							
Explosives	Sch. B	197.66	575.82	773.48			
Pipe			175.77	175.77			
Track							
Misc.	Sch. C	63.51	793.32	856.83	10.07	793.32	803.39
Drill Steel & Bits		65.97	282.52	348.49			
(4) Operating Equipment:	Sch. D						
Rental	Sch. B	288.50	1,083.87	1,372.37			
Purchase			4,764.26	4,764.26			
Depreciation	Sch. G	202.27	605.51	807.78			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs							
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.							
(7) Miscellaneous:							
Repairs to Equipment							
Sampling and Analysis							
Payroll Taxes	Sch. I	241.49	137.19	378.68			
Liability Insurance							
Comp. Ins.	Sch. H	99.40	232.74	332.14			
Sundries	Sch. J	17.10	29.87	46.97			
(8) Contingencies (specify):							
Air power and charg. panel	Sch F	90.89	262.85	353.74			
TOTALS		3,411.79	14,381.60	17,793.39	3358.35	14381.60	17739.95
					*	- 225.59	- 225.59

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 11/18/52 *Payee NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen S. Crandall Title Secty-Treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

*Previous Disallowances

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

3358.35 14156.01 17514.36

$ 1679.17

Signature
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 12/23/52 Vou. No. DMA-53-75

(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E 245 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. L. Howes JRW Title Mining Engineer Date Nov. 24, 1952

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R. D. B.

Signature J. F. Shaw for W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date 12-19-52

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Schedule A - Crosscutting			
Arthur Workman			$ 625.00
Ken Kummer			625.00
Dean Boyle			500.00
			1,750.00
Schedule B - Explosives			
Park Utah Consolidated Mines 110J			197.66
Schedule C - Misc. Supplies			
Park Utah Consolidated Mines 110J			6.57
Forrest D. Casady			3.50
Nick Floor			53.44
			63.51
Schedule D - Drill Steel and bits			
Park Utah Consolidated Mines 110J			65.97
Schedule E - Rental			
Park Utah Consolidated Mines 110J			38.50
Eimco Corporation #33005A			150.00
Gardner-Denver Corp/			100.00
			288.50
Schedule F - Power			
Park Utah Consolidated Mines 110J			90.89
Schedule G - Depreciation			
Depreciation on Trammer			62.50
" " " Battery Charger			18.60
" " " Mine Cars			77.00
" " " Gardner-Denver Compressor			44.17
			202.27
Schedule H - Workman's Compensation			
.0497% of total payroll of $2,000.00			99.40
Schedule I - Payroll taxes			
1.5% of payroll- social security	30.00		
2.7% of payroll- Utah Unempl.	54.00		84.00
Collector of Internal Revenue		414.10	
Less: Withholding and S. S. Deducted		357.86	56.24
Utah Unemployment Comp. Fund			101.25
			241.49
Schedule J - Sundries			
Forrest D. Casady			2.10
Tom P. Costas			15.00
			$ 17.10

I certify the above to be true and legitimate payment made as listed.

November 18, 1952 NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

per Glen I. Crandall Sec'y-Treas.

NARRATIVE - 1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT - IDME - 265

GENERAL

On Sept. 29, 1952 the face of this crosscut was 89 ft. ahead of RLP. On October 31, 1952 the face is 212 ft. ahead of this same point. The advance therefor for the month of October is 123 ft. In addition to this footage 2 ft. more was paid for for cutting a one-car switch, making a total of 125 ft. paid for in October.

GEOLOGY

The face of the crosscut is about 30 ft. beyond the estimated footage so we may expect the main South Fissure any time now.

The last 40 ft. has shown quite a change in the character of the quartzite. The rock is much altered showing slips and much crushing making the drilling much easier. The slips show quartz and calcite with a staining of oxides of iron and manganese with perhaps a little magnesium silicate. This is a condition quite common in the wall rock of mineralized fissues in this district.

Park City, Utah.
October 31, 1952.

E A Hewett
Geologist


Property Line
To Dry Well Shaft
Starting Point
New Quincy Mining Co
Park City Limits
Open Sheeted
RLP
1301
South Fissure
Ore
Park City Line
Weber Quartzite
1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT-IDM -265
Oct. 31, 1952
100 Scale

113

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

~~Att~~
Betty

October 3, 1952

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc)
New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of July, 1952, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Company.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
OCT 8 1952

October 1, 1952

Progress Report - July 1952

New Quincy Mining Co.
R421, Felt Building
Salt Lake City 1, Utah

Upon [illegible] Reference a difference was found which must be reported your account accordingly:

[illegible]	$7,571.76
Difference	$ 133.54
Amount Approved	$7,438.22

The reason for the difference was due to:

Insufficient Documentation for payroll taxes.

Any credit for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL OF THIS FORM.

Very cordially yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV - B&F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

Mining Division
Region IV

September 29, 1952

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Acting Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah - Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period of July, 1952

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work accomplished is in accordance with the contract and payment is recommended as follows, contingent upon aritmetical accuracy and correctness of all rates which are a basis for payment.

Total Reported	$7571.76
Less: Payroll taxes, until supported by documentation	133.54
	$7438.22
Government participation - 50 percent	$3719.11

The operator failed to document charges for supervision, technical service and bookkeeping but after confering with the DMEA auditor who just returned from an audit of the operator's books, it was learned that the auditor saw records to substantiate these charges. He also saw the invoice covering the drifter rental.

The auditor in his report has taken into consideration the item of the column and accessories; an item of equipment not listed in the contract.

John F. Shaw for
W. M. King

Enclosures

WLD:oc
cc: Contract
Secretary to the Operating Committee
SRWilson
Chron.
AHkoschmann (2)

September 11, 1952

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Acting Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Utah-Wyoming Branch, Mining Division

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch County, Utah. MF-104 forms for July 1952

Enclosed are the necessary copies of MF-104 forms and supporting information listing expenditures incurred by the subject company during July 1952. M. H. Howes inspected the property August 15, 1952 and prepared the attached progress report.

Irregularities noted in the MF-104 forms are described in the progress report. Recommendation is made that the operator be reimbursed for the Government's share of the expenditures as listed in the progress report.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures 2

S. R. Wilson September 10, 1952

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress report for July 1952

The New Quincy mine was inspected on August 15, 1952, in the company of Nick Floor. Progress in the 1301 crosscut for July was reported to be 139 feet. It is verified that the progress as reported on form MF-104A is correct, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

During July the crosscut continued in slightly shattered Weber quartzite. A few small quartz fissures, containing a little fault gouge and iron and manganese stains, were crossed. No timbering has been required thus far, and an average advance of 5 feet per shift has been maintained.

It is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive. The narrative report accompanying the MF-104 forms is considered adequate.

Item 3 The charge of $402.54 under miscellaneous includes a cost of $7.48 for labor for an electrician, as shown on Park Utah Consolidated Mines Co. invoice. There is no allowance in the contract for labor for equipment maintenance. However, it was necessary to use expert labor to repair the controller on the Mancha locomotive in order to keep it operating. The repairs consisted of replacing certain worn-out fingers, finger bars, and segments with new ones in the controller mechanism by the Park Utah electrician. The charges for the repair parts are properly listed under miscellaneous. Since there is no appropriate place to list the labor cost, it was also included in the miscellaneous charge.

Item 4 Because of the terms of the Rental Agreement with Gardner-Denver Co., the rental of $100 for the drifter was paid without an invoice. Therefore, no certified copy of invoice for this item is included in the New Quincy report.

The $3,503.97 balance due on the purchase of the Mancha Battery Locomotive was paid in July.

Item 7 Both the payroll taxes and compensation insurance were erroneously reported in June, and corrections for these items are included in the current report under Schedule I.

Item 8 The shutdown of Park Utah Consolidated Mines Co. due to the strike has continued through July. As a result, New Quincy has been compelled to furnish its own compressed air under the arrangements made and carried out in June. The charges listed in Schedule L are believed to be legitimate.

Payment is approved for 50 percent of the claimed $7,571.76.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of JULY 2 195 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm E 265
Operator's Name NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY Minerals Lead-zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ Government Participation: % Amount, $

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing Sch. A	1945.98	574.00	2519.98			
Crosscutting						
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor		96.40	96.40			
Supervision	250.00	400.00	650.00			
Tooele Springs	75.00	75.00	150.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:	70.00	70.00	140.00			
Timber						
Explosives Sch. B	174.65	230.50	405.15			
Pipe Sch. C.	80.98	47.92	128.90			
Track						
Misc. Sch. D.	402.54	276.33	678.87			
Drill Steel & Bits	182.08		182.08			
Sch. E						
(4) Operating Equipment:						
Rental Sch. F	288.50	270.53	559.03			
Purchase Sch. G	3503.97	1260.29	4764.26			
Depreciation Sch. H	202.27	120.20	322.47			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes Sch. I	133.54	3.65	137.19	00	3.65	3.65
Liability Insurance						
Comp. Ins. Sch. J.	147.90	14.44	162.34			
Sundries Sch. K.	8.67	20.00	28.67			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air power and charg. panel Sch. L.	105.68	65.83	171.51			
TOTALS	~~7571.76~~	~~3525.09~~	~~11096.85~~	~~7,438.22~~	~~3,525.09~~ - 316.24	~~10,963.31~~ - 316.24
				7,438.22	3,208.85	10,647.07

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.
Date Sept. 5, 1952 *Payee NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY
Per Glen L Grandee Title Sec'y-Treas.

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$ 3719.11

Signature
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 10/1/52 Vou. No. DMA 53-42
(See other side)

* Previous Disallowances

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E-265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes Title Mining Engineer Date Sept. 10, 1952

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE $3785.88 3769.11

Signature ..... Title ..... Date .....

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

GPO 83-38476

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of [illegible] 1952 Docket No. DMEA [illegible] Contract No. [illegible]
Operator's Name [illegible] Minerals [illegible]
Address [illegible]

OPERATION	Unit	Costs This Month	Units This Month	Costs to Date	Units to Date	Unit Costs to Date	Authorized by Contract	
							Units	Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
Total Distributed Costs		[illegible]		[illegible]				Total Costs Authorized by Contract
Operating Equipment Purchased		[illegible]		[illegible]				[illegible]
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
Total Costs		[illegible]		[illegible]				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date [illegible] Operator [illegible]

Per [illegible] Title [illegible]

Remarks:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF-104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF-104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF-104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF-104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF-104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 205676

SCHEDULE A - Crosscutting 139 feet @ 14.00 per foot	
Dail Gordon	$ 648.66
Arthur Workman	648.66
Ken Kummer	648.66
	1945.98
SCHEDULE B - Explosivves	
Park Utah Cons. Mines Co.	174.65
SCHEDULE C - Pipe	
Gardner- Denver Co. 29-58162	1.58
Sear, Roebuck Co. 33138-47	.90
Park Utah Cons. Mines 97-J	78.50
	80.98
SCHEDULE D - Miscellaneous	
Gardner-Denver Co. 29-63780	3.47
" " " 29-59383	3.98
" " " 29-58159	299.88 3
Eimco Corp 28238A	5.10
Sears, Roebuck Co. 33291-24	2.43
Park Utah Cons. Mines Co. 97-J	52.48
Nick Floor	35.20
	402.54
SCHEDULE E - Drill Steel & Bits	
Gardner-Denver Co. 29-58162	21.42
" " " 29-58159	64.16
Park Utah Cons. Mines	96.50
	182.08
SCHEDULE F. - Rental	
Eimco Corp 28888A	150.00
Gardner-Denver Co.	100.00
Park Utah Cons. Mines	38.50
	288.50
SCHEDULE G.- Purchases	
Goodman Mfg. Co., Bal. Due on Locomotive Battery	3,503.97
Schedule H. - Depreciation	
Trammer	62.50
Battery Charger	18.60
Gardner-Denver Co. Compressor	44.17
Mine Cars	77.00
	202.27
SCHEDULE I - Payroll Taxes	
1945.98 corsscutting	
250.00 Supervision	
2195.98 @ 1½% SS, 217% Unempl. = 4.2%	92.23
Correction for June as follows:	
574.00 corsscutting	
96.40 labor	
400.00 supervision	
1070.40 @ 4.2% 44.96	
reported 3.65	
41.31	41.31
	6623.54

SCHEDULE J - Compensation Insurance		
2195.98 (see schedule I) @ .0497		109.14
Correction for June:		
1070.40 @ .0497	53.20	
reported	14.44	
	38.76	38.76
		147.90

SCHEDULE K - Sundries	
Nick Floor sundry cash purchases	8.67

SCHEDULE L - Air power & charging panel	
Park Utah cons. Mines 97-J:	
26 days electric power and demand N.Z. Comp.	80.18
Electric power and demand Park Utah Unit	7.50
Compressor man	6.50
Hoisting charge	11.50
	$ 105.68

I certify that the above are true and legitimate payments made as listed.

dated September 5, 1952 NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

per Glen L Crandall, Sec'y-Treas.

NARRATIVE - 1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
EMA - 113
CONTRACT - IEME - 265

GENERAL

On June 30, 1952 the face of this crosscut was 42 ft. ahead of point HLP. On July 31, 1952 the face is 181 ft. ahead of this point. The advance then for the month of July is 139 ft. None of the advance so far has required timber.

GEOLOGY

The rock penetrated continues to be Weber quartzite somewhat crushed and sheeted in places. An occasional small fissure occurs on which there is a little fault gouge and a few streaks of quartz are evident on some of these fissures. With the exceptionof a slight iron and manganese staining no mineralization occurs in the advance made in the month of July.

At the current rate of advance the South fissure should be reached early in Sept.

Park City, Utah.
July 31, 1952.

E N Hewitt
Geologist



1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT- IDME-265
July 31, 1952
100 Scale

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 22 1952

December 18, 1952

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc)
New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah -

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report MF-104 and 104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of September, 1952, pertaining to DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Company.

John F. Shaw for
W. H. King

Enclosures

OC

cc: Contract w/copy of MF-104
SRWilson w/copy of MF-104
Chron.
AHKoschmann (2)

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES
REGION IV

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: 12-10-52
Payee's
Reference: Progress Report
September, 1952

New Quincy Mining Co.,
521 Felt Building
Salt Lake City 1, Utah

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed $ 1599.37

Difference ~~Add~~ $ 137.19

Amount Approved $ 1462.56

The reason for the difference was due to:

Acceptable cost as of July 31, 1952 audit which was previously deducted.
(F.J.C.a.)

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
4-15-52 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

224 New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

December 8, 1952

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Executive Officer, DMEA Field Team, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-R265 (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah - Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period of September, 1952

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended for 50 percent of the amount as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and arithmetical accuracy of computations.

Monthly Report for September, 1952 was received in Region IV Office December 1, 1952.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

KDB:oc

cc: Contract
Chron.
AHKoschmann (2)
Secretary to the Operating Committee (2)
SRWilson

November 28, 1952

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Acting Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Utah-Wyoming Branch, Mining Division

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. MF-104 forms for September 1952

Attached are the required copies of MF-104 forms and supporting information covering expenditures under the subject contract for September 1952. The property was inspected by M. H. Howes October 15, 1952. Copies of the progress report are attached.

The contractor worked only during the latter part of September as a result of a miners' strike at the adjoining Park Utah Consolidated Mines Co. Work during September was accomplished in conformance with the contract. Recommendation is made that the operator be reimbursed for the Government's share of expenditures as listed in the progress report.

The MF-104 forms were received from the operator November 20, 1952.

Stephen R. Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Enclosures 2

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

U. S. Bureau of Mines
Budget Bureau No. 42-R0353.
Approval Expires 6-30-53.

RECEIVED
NOV 20 1952
Salt Lake City, Utah

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPORTS ADMINISTRATION

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
DEC 22 1952

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of September 1952 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-L-265
Operator's Name NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY Minerals Lead-zinc
Address SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH
Contract Amount, $23,050.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $11,920.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent / Short Form Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting Sch. A	560.00	3,163.98	3,723.98			
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor	✓	96.40	96.40			
Supervision	250.00	862.50	1,112.50			
Technical Services	75.00	150.00	225.00			
Bookkeeping	70.00	210.00	280.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:						
Timber						
Explosives Sch. D	89.32	486.50	575.82			
Pipe Sch. C	11.54	164.23	175.77			
Track						
Misc. Sch. D	11.93	781.39	793.32			
Drill Steel & Bits	30.45	252.07	282.52			
(4) Operating Equipment: Sch. D						
Rental Sch. D	255.92	827.95	1,083.87			
Purchase	✓	4,764.26	4,764.26			
Depreciation Sch. H.	80.77	524.74	605.51			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes		137.19	137.19			
Liability Insurance						
Comp. Ins. Sch. I.	38.39	194.35	232.74			
Sundries	✓	29.87	29.87			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air power & charging panel Sch. G	30.05	232.80	262.85			
TOTALS	1,503.37	12,878.23	14,381.60 *	1503.37 / 137.19	12878.23	14381.60 / 137.10
				-	362.78	- 362.78

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not ** been received.
Date 11/18/52 *Payee NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY
Per Glen L. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:
1604.56 12515.45 14156.01
$ 820.28

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)
Date 12/15/52 Vou. No. DMA 53-69
(See other side)

* FICA taxes reinstated
** Previous Deductions

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm-E215 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature M. H. Howes SRW Title Mining Engineer Date Nov. 24, 1952

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE: R.D.B.

Signature W. H. King Title Executive Officer Date 12/8/52

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952); however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 205675

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
DEC 22 1952

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of September 1952 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm-D-265
Operator's Name HEN QUINCY MINING COMPANY Minerals Lead-zinc
Address SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH

OPERATION	Unit	Costs This Month	Units This Month	Costs to Date	Units to Date	Unit Costs to Date	Authorized by Contract: Units	Authorized by Contract: Unit Costs
Drifting								
Crosscutting	feet	1,503.37	39	9,617.34	265	36.67		
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
Total Distributed Costs		1,503.37		9,617.34				Total Costs Authorized by Contract
Operating Equipment Purchased				4,764.26				
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
Total Costs		1,503.37		14,381.60				

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date 11/18/52 Operator HEN QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen I. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treasurer

Remarks:

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 205676

Schedule A - Crosscutting	
Dean Boyle	$ 14.00
Arthur Workman	273.00
Ken Kummer	273.00
	560.00
Schedule B - Explosives	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. - 105J	89.32
Schedule C - Pipe	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. - 105J	11.54
Schedule D - Misc. Supplies	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. - 105J	11.93
Schedule E - Drill Steel and Bits	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. - 105J	30.45
Schedule F - Rental	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines - 105J	5.92
Eimco Corp. #32037A	150.00
Gardner-Denver Corp.	100.00
	255.92
Schedule G - Power	
Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc. - 105J	30.05
Schedule H - Depreciation	
Depreciation on Trammer - 12 days	24.97
" " " Battery charger - 12 days	7.44
" " " Gardner-Denver Compressor - 12 days	17.64
" " " Mine cars - 12 days	30.72
	80.77
Schedule I - Workman's Compensation	
September, 1952 - $772.50 @ .0497%	~~39.39~~ 38.39

I certify that the above are true and legitimate payments made as listed.

November 18, 1952 NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

per Glen L. Crandall Sec'y-Treas.

1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT-IDME-265
Sept. 29, 1952
100 Scale

NARRATIVE - 1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO.
DMA - 113
CONTRACT - IDME - 265

GENERAL

A strike at the Park Utah Con. Mines Co. caused the work at the New Quincy mining Co. to cease from Aug. 18, 1952 to Sept 17, 1952.

On July 31, 1952 the face of this crosscut was 181 ft ahead of point HLP. On Aug. 15, the face was 50 ft. ahead of RLP, a new rear line poing 175 ft. ahead of HLP, the advance for August was 44 ft. plus 2 ft more for a one-car switch, making 46 ft. paid for August.

On Sept. 29, 1952 the face was 89 ft. ahead of RLP so the advance for Sept is 39 ft. since no work was done on Sept. 30.

GEOLOGY

For the months of August and September the rock penetrated continues to be Weber quartzite. There has been a total advance in this operation of 283 ft, so if the estimate of 375 ft. to the South Fissure is about right there is still around 92 ft. to go to that objective.

The quartzite continues to be slightly broken with perhaps more shattering near the face. In this broken aone the broken faces show a wash of manganese oxide, a condition quite common in the wall rock of ore bodies. The presence of this oxide is therefore not discouraging although the face is too far from the objective to mean much.

Park City, Utah
Sept. 29, 1952

(signed) E. A. Hewitt
Geologist

113

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

[illegible] New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

Received 11/10/52 J.W.A.

October 30, 1952

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From: Executive Officer, Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265 (Lead-Zinc)
New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch County, Utah

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report MF-104 and MF-104A, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of August, 1952, pertaining to DMA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co.

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS
REGION IV

Administrative Audit Difference Statement

Date: October 27, 1952
Payee's
References: Progress
Report - August 1952

New Quincy Mining Co.
Room 421, Felt Bldg.
Salt Lake City 1, Utah

Upon examination of the above Payee's Reference a difference was found which made it necessary to suspend your account accordingly:

Amount Claimed	$ 1,781.38
Difference	$ 87.00
Amount Approved	$ 1,868.38

The reason for the difference was due to:

Add -	Mucking Machine rental - June	150.00
	Drifter rental - June	100.00
	Billing from A. A. Hasna for haulage	20.00
		270.00
Exception		
	6 feet column and accessories from July report - Classified as equipment and no allowance for such in contract.	-183.00
		$ 87.00

Any reclaim for deductions made above must be supported by the ORIGINAL of this form.

Very sincerely yours,

N. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV-B & F Form No. 4
R-IV-42 D

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

Mining Division
Region IV

October 20, 1952

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Acting Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch County, Utah - Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period of August, 1952

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104 and Form MF-104A, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work has been accomplished as authorized in the contract and payment is recommended as reported on Form MF-104, contingent on your verification of the correctness of applicable rates and mathematical accuracy of computations.

An on-site audit of the operator's accounts was made by a DMEA auditor on September 15, 1952. The following amounts disallowed on the June report because of insufficient documentation are now reinstated as full documentation was seen by the auditor.

Mucking machine rental - June	$150.00
Drifter rental - June	100.00
Billing from A. A. Hasna for haulage	20.00
	$270.00

Exception

6 foot column and accessories from July report - Classified as equipment and no allowance for such in contract (this amount was shown after Schedule D Misc.)	$183.00
Amount that should be added to this months totals -	$ 87.00

It has been the policy of this office to allow the full monthly depreciation on equipment owned by the operator even when the machinery is used but a portion of the month. The exception to this is when work starts on a new contract in the middle of the month. We do not concur therefore with the engineer for disallowances of equipment depreciation.

Past payroll taxes previously disallowed will still have to be supported by documentation and the operator should be informed of this.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

WLD:oc

cc: Contract
Secretary to the Operating Committee (2)
SRWilson
Chron
Koschmann (2)

October 14, 1952

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Acting Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Utah-Wyoming Branch, Mining Division

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch County, Utah

Enclosed are the required copies of MF-104 forms and other information pertinent to expenditures made during August 1952 under the subject contract. The property was inspected August 15, 1952, on which date operations were recessed because of labor difficulties. Work was resumed in September. M. H. Howes prepared the attached progress report.

Work performed during August is in conformance with the contract. Irregularities in listing the expenditures on the MF-104 forms have been noted in the progress report. Although the property was in operation only during the first 15 days of August, and the contract allows a supervisor at $250 per month, the MF-104 forms include a total of $212.50 for the supervisor. The supervisor was not actually employed on the property in the role of supervisor during the recess period, but was actively engaged during the month attempting to settle the labor trouble to permit resumption of work.

Recommendation is made that the operator be reimbursed for the government's share of expenditures subject to interpretation of the above mentioned irregularities.

Stephen R Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Encls.

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Defense Minerals Administration
RECEIVED
NOV 3 1952

OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of August, 1952 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idn E. 265
Operator's Name Hill Quincy Mining Company Minerals Lead-Zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 23,850.00 Government Participation: 50% Amount, $ 11,929.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent Short Form Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting Sch. A.	644.00	2519.90	3163.90			
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor		96.40	96.40			
Supervision	212.50	650.00	862.50			
Technical Services		150.00	150.00			
Bookkeeping	70.00	140.00	210.00			
(3) Operating Materials and Supplies:						
Timber						
Explosives Sch. D	81.35	405.15	486.50			
Pipe Sch. C	35.33	129.90	164.23			
Track						
Misc. Sch. D	102.52	678.87	781.39	60.48		618.39
Drill Steel & Bits	69.99	182.08	252.07			
(4) Operating Equipment: Sch. E						
Rental Sch. F	268.92	559.03	827.95	518.92		1,077.95
Purchase		4764.26	4764.26			
Depreciation Sch. G	202.27	322.47	524.74			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes		137.19	137.19			
Liability Insurance						
Comp. Inc. Sch. H	32.01	162.34	194.35			
Sundries Sch. I	1.20	28.67	29.87			
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air power Changing Panel Sch. J	61.29	171.51	232.80			
TOTALS	1768.38	11096.85	12865.23	1,868.38	11,096.85	12,965.23

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.

Date 10-8-52 *Payee Hill Quincy Mining Company

Per Glen L Crandall Title Secty-Treasurer

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$ 9,324.19

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 10/27/52 Vou. No. DMA 53-53

(See other side)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF-104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF-104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF-104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF-104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF-104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

GPO 83-38475

SCHEDULE A - cross[illegible]ting 46 feet@$14.00 per foot

Ken Kummer	$ 214.67
Dell Gordon	214.66
Arthur Workman	214.67
	644.00

SCHEDULE B - Explosives

Park Utah Consolidated Mines #102-J	81.35

SCHEDULE C - PIPE

Gardner-Denver Co. #29-58142	2.24
" " #29-62857	2.92
" " #29-61151	25.40
" " #29-66501	4.77
	35.33

SCHEDULE D - Miscellaneous Supplies

Forrest D. Casady, blacksmith	3.50
Sears, Roebuck & Co. #MCA 3473	.91
Gardner-Denver #29-58142	205.74
" " #29-58142 CREDIT MEMO	(185.13)
" " #29-65232	77.03
Park Utah Consolidated Mines #102-J	.47
	102.52

SCHEDULE E - Drill steel and bits

Gardner-Denver #29-62120	33.66
" " #29-67618	36.33
	69.99

SCHEDULE F - Rental

Eimco Corp. 29872A	150.00
Gardner-Denver Co.	100.00
Park Utah Cons. Mines #102-J	18.92
	268.92

SCHEDULE G - Depreciation

Trammer	62.50
Battery Charger	18.60
Mine Cars	77.00
Gardner-Denver compressor	44.17
	202.27

SCHEDULE H - Compensation Insurance

August, 1952, $644.00 @.0497	32.01

SCHEDULE I.- Sundries

Forrest D. Casady - pick sharpening	1.20

SCHEDULE J - Power

Park Utah Consolidated Mines, Inc: 102-J:	
14 days electric power and demand using N.Q. Compr.	44.94
14 days rental and power 3KW Hertner Motor Generator Set-Battery charger	5.85
14 shifts Compressor man	3.50
14 shifts Hoist charge	7.00
	61.29

I certify that the above are true and legitimate payments made as listed
Dates October 8, 1952 NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

per Glen I. Crandall GLEN I. CRANDALL, sec'y-treas.

NARRATIVE - 1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT - IDME - 265

GENERAL

A strike at the Park Utah Con. Mines Co caused the work at New Quincy Mining Co to cease from Aug. 18, 1952 to Sept. 17, 1952.

On July 31, 1952 the face of this crosscut was 181 ft. ahead of point HLP. On Aug. 15, 1952 the face was 50 ft. ahead of RLP,a new rear line point 175 ft. ahead of HLP, so the advance for August was 44 ft. plus 2 ft more for a one-car switch making 46 ft. paid for for August.

On Sept. 29, 1952 the face was 89 ft. ahead of RLP so the advance for Sept. is 39 ft.,no work being done on Sept. 30.

GEOLOGY

Weber quartzite is still the rock penetrated for Aug. and Sept. There has been a total advance in the operation of 283 ft.,so if the estimate of 375 ft is close,there is about 92 ft. to go to the South Fissure.

The quartzite continues to be slightly broken with perhaps more shattering near the face. In this broken zone there is some manganese oxide wash on the faces of the broken rock. As manganese oxides are often found in the wall rock of ore bodies, the presence of this oxide is somewhat encouraging.

Park City, Utah
Sept. 29, 1952.

E A Lewis
Geologist



1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT-IDME-265
Sept. 29, 1952
100 Scale

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

New Customhouse
Denver 2, Colorado

September 23, 1952

Betty

Memorandum

To: Secretary to the Operating Committee

From Executive Officer, Field Team, Region IV

Subject: Form MF-104, DMA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah

Enclosed are two copies of the Operator's Monthly Progress Report Form MF-104, Operator's Narrative Report, Inspecting Engineer's Narrative Report, and other supporting data for the month of June, 1952, pertaining to DMA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Company.

W. H. King

W. H. King

Enclosures

September 19, 1952

Progress
Report - June 1952

New Quincy Mining Co.
Room 421, Felt Building
Salt Lake City 1, Utah

[illegible]

[illegible] $3,525.09

[illegible] 316.24

[illegible] Approved $3,208.85

[illegible]

Cost of fabricating bumper for company-owned trammer is not a government responsibility under terms of the contract. $42.59

Insufficient documentation: In this case must consist of monthly billings from rentier. Hauling machine rental 150.00
Drifter rental 100.00

In this case a billing from A. A. Hasma is necessary:
Hauling Mucker 20.00

Pay roll tax should be claimed at the end of each quarter and supported by the quarterly statement of payroll deductions. 3.65

316.24

Any [illegible] ORIGINAL OF THIS FORM.

[illegible]

H. E. Stokes
Audit Section

R-IV - 322 Form No. 4
[illegible]-42 2

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF MINES

Mining Division
Region IV

September 3, 1952

Memorandum

To: T. A. Christensen

From: Acting Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

Subject: DMEA Docket 113, Contract Idm-E265, (Lead-Zinc) New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah - Operator's Monthly Progress Report for the period of June, 1952

Enclosed are the original and four copies of Form MF-104, Narrative Report, and Engineer's Report for the above period.

Work accomplished is in accordance with the contract and payment is recommended as follows:

Total Reported		$3525.09
Disallowances:		
Cost of fabricating bumper for company owned trammer	$42.59	
Insufficient documentation		
Mucking machine rental	150.00	
Drifter rental	100.00	
A. A. Mamma - Hauling mucker	20.00	
		312.59
		$3212.50
Government participation - 50 percent -		~~$1601.25~~ $1606.25

W. H. King
W. H. King

Enclosures

WLD:cc
cc: Contract
Secretary to the Operating Comm. (2)
SRWilson
Chron.
Koschmann (2)

Mining Division
Date Rec'd.

AUG 11 1952

BUREAU OF MINES
Denver, Colorado

August 7, 1952

Memorandum

To: W. H. King, Acting Chief, Mining Division, Region IV

From: Chief, Utah-Wyoming Branch, Mining Division

Subject: DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E265, New Quincy Mining Co., Wasatch County, Utah. MF-104 Forms for June 1952.

Attached are the necessary copies of MF-104 forms and supporting information for the period of June 1952. A progress report prepared by M. H. Howes is included.

Irregularities in the MF-104 forms have been noted in the progress report. Under item 2, the operator has included $200 for supervision for May 1952. The project actually was started May 5, 1952, and 4 days worked in rehabilitation of the approach to the proposed crosscut. After this 4-day period, the supervisor (Nick Floor) spent the remaining time during May making necessary arrangements with Park Utah Consolidated Co. for supplying electric power and compressed air and negotiating with possible subcontractors to drive the crosscut. Actual crosscutting was not started until June 11, 1952. The supervisor was working for the benefit of the project during most of May and all of June, but he was not actually supervising work outlined in the contract during the full period.

Under item 3 is a charge of $42.59 for a bumper which was attached to the Mancha trammer owned by the New Quincy, use of the trammer being chargeable to the contract on depreciation basis. No provision has been made in the contract for improvement of the company-owned trammer.

Under item 4 is included an amount of $1,260.29, part payment for purchase of a battery trammer, allowed by contract. The contract does not allow freight for the trammer but it is believed that freight should be a permissible part of the total

cost. An assembling charge and a charge for a bumper are also included in the amount of $1,260.29. The total cost of the trammer as permitted by the contract is greater than the summation of the above charges and the remaining amount paid on the trammer during July.

Under item 7, sundries include a charge of $20 for transportation of a rental mucking machine from Salt Lake to the project. The contract does not include transportation charges. However, the $20 charge was necessary and legitimate and should be allowed, if necessary, by amendment.

It is recommended that repayment to the operator be made as listed in the progress report, subject to possible deletion of items as described.

Stephen R Wilson

Stephen R. Wilson

Encls.

S. R. Wilson August 6, 1952

M. H. Howes

DMEA-113, Contract Idm-E-265, New Quincy Mining Company, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah. Progress Report for June 1952.

The New Quincy mine was inspected on July 9, 1952, in the company of Nick Floor. Progress in the crosscut for June was reported to be 61 feet. Since 20 feet of the initial advance is to be withheld from the contractor's payment until completion of the labor contract, the company has submitted a total of only 41 feet to be charged against the June progress. It is hereby verified that the above progress is correct as reported on form MF-104A, and that the work was performed in accordance with the contract.

It was necessary to do a small amount of rehabilitation work to prepare the approach to the crosscut before starting on the actual project.

The crosscut was started about the middle of June. It is being driven in Weber quartzite. A few small streaks of quartz with iron and manganese oxides, a little copper staining, and scattered spots of galena have been crossed. So far the rock stands well and requires no timbering.

The accounts were checked with Glen I. Crandall, secretary-treasurer. It is verified that all charges for services and supplies were necessary and that they do not appear to be excessive,with the possible exception of certain questionable charges, which are described below in the explanation of details of items listed in form MF-104. All charges on form MF-104 are supported by the required documentation and the operator's narrative report is considered adequate.

Item 2. The charge of $200 for supervision for May is considered to be fair because Nick Floor, president and manager, spent practically full time during that month making arrangements for the use of facilities and purchase of power, compressed air, services and supplies from the Park Utah Cons. Mines Co., for the purchase and rental of equipment, and for a crew of men for a labor contract for the project.

The item, $70.00, listed below "Technical Services - $75.00" is an allowable charge for bookkeeper.

Item 3. Under"Miscellaneous, Schedule 5" it is questionable whether or not the charge of $42.59 to make a bumper for the Mancha trammer owned by the New Quincy Mining Co. should be allowed. The trammer is an item allowed for depreciation, but

no allowance has been made in the contract for improvements to the trammer.

Even though the charge of $276.33 for miscellaneous operating materials and supplies is greater than the total estimated amount of $200 allowed in the contract, it is considered that all of the details of this charge, with the possible exception of the above cost of $42.59 for bumper, were necessary for the proper economic operation of the project.

Item 4, Purchase, Schedule 7. The part payment charge of $976.97 and the bumper charge of $42.11 are allowable. No allowance is made in the contract for the assembly charge of $27 or for the freight charge of $214.21. Mr. Crandall reported that final payment on the locomotive and battery of $3,503.97 was made on July 11, 1952. The direct cost of the battery locomotive is $976.97 plus $42.11 plus $3,503.97 or a total of $4,523.05. Adding the assembly charge of $27 and the freight charge of $214.21 to the direct cost gives a grand total of $4,764.26, which is less than the amount of $4800 allowed in the contract for purchase of a battery locomotive. It is therefore recommended that the assembly and freight charges be allowed.

Item 7. Under "Sundries, Schedule 9" it is questionable whether or not the charge of $20 for hauling mucker should be allowed since there is no allowance for such a charge in the contract. The original contract allowed a mucking machine rental cost of $296 per month. Since the original contract was signed, the operator made arrangements to rent a mucking machine for $150 per month, and allowance for that amount was made in amendment 2 of the contract. Because of this saving in rental cost, the hauling charge seems to be justifiable.

Item 8. The estimated maximum cost of $210 per month whereby Park Utah Cons. Mines Co. would furnish compressed air and power to operate battery charging panels to New Quincy Mining Co. is allowed in the contract. The Park Utah Cons. Mines Co. was shut down in July because of a strike and has been unable to furnish compressed air to the New Quincy project since. New Quincy owns an air compressor, which was already installed at the collar of the Daly shaft. Arrangements were made to buy power from Park Utah to operate this compressor, to connect it with Park Utah air lines into the New Quincy workings, and to employ Park Utah hoistmen, who are allowed to remain on the job during the strike to operate the compressor so that the exploratory project could continue. The charges by Park Utah Cons. Mines Co. under "schedule 10" are explained as follows: Power $7.77, for charging panels; power and demand $6.81, for New Quincy compressor; and participation power $51.25, for compressed air from Park Utah before the strike, for

labor to operate New Quincy compressor and for hoisting charge in Daly shaft (during period of strike). These are believed to be legitimate charges.

Payment is approved for 50 percent of the claimed $3,525.09 less possible deletions of questionable items explained above.

M. H. Howes

M. H. Howes

Form MF-104 (Rev.)
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1036.3.
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION
OPERATOR'S MONTHLY REPORT AND VOUCHER

Month of June, 1952 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm E 205
Operator's Name MIM QUINCY MINING CO. Minerals Lead, zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah
Contract Amount, $ 23,850.00 Government Participation: 50 % Amount, $ 11,929.00

ITEMS OF COSTS	FOR OPERATOR'S USE: Monthly Total	Totals Previously Reported	Totals To Date	FOR GOVERNMENT USE ONLY: Approved Monthly Total	Approved Totals Previously Reported	Approved Totals To Date
(1) Independent ~~Short Form~~ Contracts:						
Drilling						
Bulldozing						
Crosscutting Sch. 1	574.00		574.00			
Drifting						
(2) Labor and Supervision:						
Labor Sch. 2	96.40		96.40			
Supervision June	400.00		400.00			
Technical Services	75.00		75.00			
(3) Operating Mat'ls. and Supplies:	70.00		70.00			
Timber						
Explosives Sch. 3	230.50		230.50			
Pipe Sch. 4	47.92		47.92			
Track						
Misc. Sch. 5	276.33		276.33	233.74		233.74
(4) Operating Equipment:						
Rental Sch. 6	270.53		270.53	20.53		20.53
Purchase Sch. 7	1260.29		1260.29			
Depreciation Sch. 8	120.20		120.20			
(5) Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs						
(6) New Bldgs., Improvements, etc.						
(7) Miscellaneous:						
Repairs to Equipment						
Sampling and Analysis						
Payroll Taxes	3.65		3.65	00		00
Liability Insurance						
Workmen's Comp. Ins.	14.44		14.44			
Sundries, Sch. 9	20.00		20.00	00		00
(8) Contingencies (specify):						
Air power & charging panel, Sch. 10	65.03		65.03			
TOTALS	3525.09		3525.09	3,208.85		3,208.85

I certify that the above bill is correct and just and that payment therefor has not been received.
Date August 1, 1952 Payee MIM QUINCY MINING CO.
Per Glen L. Crandall Title Sec'y-Treas.

*When a voucher is signed or receipted in the name of a company or corporation, the name of the person writing the company or corporate name, as well as the capacity in which he signs, must appear. For example: "John Doe Company, per John Smith, Secretary," or "Treasurer," as the case may be.

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

Pursuant to authority vested in me, I certify that this account is correct and proper for payment in the amount of:

$1604.42

Signature [illegible]
(Authorized Certifying Officer)

Date 9/22/52 Vou. No. DMA 53-36
(See other side)

(For Government use only)

CERTIFICATION BY GOVERNMENT REPRESENTATIVE:

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief the contractor submitting this voucher is operating a Defense Minerals Exploration Administration project under Contract No. Idm E-265 in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Signature [illegible] Title Mining Engineer Date Aug. 6, 1952

APPROVAL BY DMEA EXECUTIVE OFFICER OR ALTERNATE

Signature ..... Title ..... Date .....

MONTHLY REPORT OF OPERATOR

The Operator (Contractor) of an exploration project is required to make a monthly report to the Government through the Regional Executive Officer. This report consists of three parts as follows:

(a) *Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.*—This form details expenditures and constitutes a voucher for reimbursing the Operator for the Government's share of costs;

(b) *Form MF-104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.*—This is a statistical report of expenditures which shows costs for the various types of operation; and

(c) *Narrative.*—A concise narrative description of progress made, results accomplished, and any unusual difficulties encountered must be furnished as an attachment to this Report and Voucher. Wherever possible, the narrative is to be illustrated with maps or sketches showing formations penetrated and location and assays of samples taken as well as advances in workings. In the case of diamond drilling or churn drilling, the location and inclination of holes is to be shown on a map; logs and assays also are to be submitted.

The Monthly Report of Operator should be prepared in an original and four copies all of which must be sent to the Executive Officer of the Region not later than the 15th of the month following.

Preparation of Form MF-104—Operator's Monthly Report and Voucher.—All the applicable spaces in Form MF-104 should be filled in by the Operator, and the Operator or his agent should sign the certification in the lower left corner of the form.

The items of costs are arranged in the order they appear in Article 6 (a) of Form MF-200 (Revised February 1952), however, this form is readily adaptable for use in reporting activities under other contract forms.

Under Item (1) delete words "Short Form" if the contract is a sub-contract under Form MF-200; and delete word "Independent" if the contract reported is on Form MF-200 (A). Also, report work paid for on a unit basis under contract Form MF-200 as though it were performed under an independent contract.

Under Item (2) include labor, supervision and technical services incurred for the exploratory operations. Do not include labor, supervision and technical services used for work performed under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (3) include the costs of material and supplies used in the project other than that used under items (5) and (6).

Under Item (4) appear the three types of operating equipment expenditures, that is, rental, purchase and depreciation. The expenditures made for renting equipment belonging to a third party will be reported under "Rental". The amount paid or duly obligated for payment for the purchase of equipment will be reported under "Purchase". The amount of expenditures due the Operator to reimburse him for depreciation of equipment owned will be reported under "Depreciation".

Item (5) comprises costs of labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., which are used in the initial rehabilitation and repair of existing buildings, installations, fixtures, and equipment. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (6) includes the labor, supervision, technical services, materials, etc., used in the installation or construction of new buildings, fixed improvements, etc., necessary for the project. These costs, therefore, should not be reported under items (2) and (3).

Item (7) covers miscellaneous types of expenditure such as payroll taxes, liability insurance, workmen's compensation insurance, repairs to equipment and sampling and analysis. Only that part of payroll taxes, liability insurance and workmen's compensation which are paid by the operator should be reported under item (7). The share paid by the employee as a payroll deduction is to be included under item (2) as labor costs.

Item (8) includes any unforeseen costs not included in the other seven categories.

The original of Form MF-104 when submitted for reimbursable expenses incurred under contract Form MF-200 must be supported by original documentation or by certified copies of purchase orders, payrolls or transcripts of payrolls, unless such documentation has been waived by the Regional Executive Officer. This certification may be stated thus, "Certified True Copy (or Transcript)", followed by appropriate signature. If the Executive Officer of the Region determines that a contract under Form MF-200 should have an "on-site" audit, that is, a Government auditor should make an audit of the Operator's books and records of account, the Operator need not support his monthly voucher with original or certified documents except in cases of equipment purchases whose individual costs exceed $50.00. In these cases the original or a certified copy of the purchase order or invoice should be attached to the Monthly Voucher. N. B.—Only the *original* of Form MF-104 is required to be documented. The four copies of Form MF-104 are not to be thus supported.

Form MF-104 submitted for reimbursement under fixed price contracts on Form MF-200 (A), however, are not required to be supported by documentation of any kind. The Operator will submit his claim under item (1) of Form MF-104 by deleting as stated above the word "Independent" and by showing the number of feet or other units immediately after the appropriate descriptive word, such as, drilling, bulldozing, crosscutting, drifting, etc., and giving the "Monthly Total" amount due. "Totals Previously Reported", and "Totals to Date" columns should also be filled in.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 205675

Form MF-104A
(April 1952)

Budget Bureau No. 42-R1151
Approval expires 6-30-53.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEFENSE MINERALS EXPLORATION ADMINISTRATION

OPERATOR'S UNIT COST AND PROGRESS REPORT

Month of June 195 2 Docket No. DMEA 113 Contract No. Idm B 065
Operator's Name [illegible] Minerals Lead, zinc
Address Salt Lake City, Utah

OPERATION	UNIT	COSTS THIS MONTH	UNITS THIS MONTH	COSTS TO DATE	UNITS TO DATE	UNIT COSTS TO DATE	AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT	
							Units	Unit Costs
Drifting	foot	2264.00	41	2264.00	41	55.79	450	
Crosscutting								
Raising								
Shafts								
Winzes								
Drilling: Core								
Churn								
Auger								
Stripping								
Trenching								
Test Pits								
Roads and Trails								
TOTAL DISTRIBUTED COSTS		2264.00	41	2264.00				TOTAL COSTS AUTHORIZED BY CONTRACT
Operating Equipment Purchased		1260.29		1260.29				5490.00
Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs								
New Buildings, Improvements, etc.								
TOTAL COSTS		3525.00		3525.00				5490.00

The undersigned company, and the official executing this certification on its behalf, hereby certify that the information contained in this report is correct and complete to the best of their knowledge and belief.

Date August 1, 1952 Operator [illegible] QUICKSILVER MINING COMPANY

Per Glen A Crandall Title Sec'y-Treas.

REMARKS:

→ NOTE.—Title 18, U. S. Code (Crimes), section 1001, makes it a criminal offense to make a willfully false statement or representation to any department or agency of the United States as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

(Instructions on reverse)

(For Government use only)

INSTRUCTIONS

Preparation of Form MF–104A—Operator's Unit Cost and Progress Report.—Applicable places on Form 104A should be filled in by the Operator. The purpose of this form is twofold as follows: (1) to furnish the supervising engineers and other administrators with statistical information necessary to better determine the progress of the project; and (2) to furnish more permanent information on mining costs for future use. The more important phases of operation on which permanent information is desired have been outlined in the form. There are blank lines, however, for those unusual phases which may need to be reported for a particular project. It will be necessary for the Operator to distribute his costs among the applicable phases reported with the exception of three items the costs of which are not to be distributed by the Operator. These three items are: "Operating Equipment Purchased", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc." The reason for not distributing these items on a monthly basis is doubtless clear to the Operator, since such distribution might well overstate the cost for any one month or period short of the entire contract period.

Form MF–104A has been designed to tie in with Form MF–104 for both monthly and cumulative costs. The "Total Costs" on Form MF–104A for each month should equal the monthly "Total Costs" as reported on Form MF–104. This is also true of the "Total Costs To Date".

In preparing Form MF–104A, it will be necessary frequently to distribute certain costs over a number of items. For instance, supervisory and engineering costs may have to be distributed over several phases, such as, drifting, crosscutting, raising, etc., provided that these items were reported active for the month. Such distributions should be made on the basis of time spent on the various phases, on man days of labor charged to such phases, or on some other equitable basis. "Operating Equipment", "Initial Rehabilitation and Repairs", and "New Buildings, Improvements, etc.", will be distributed to the several phases of the project by the Washington Office of DMEA at the close of the project if determined necessary. The last two columns headed "Authorized by Contract" will be filled in by the Operator if such information is found in the contract. This information is usually a part of Exhibit "A" of the contract. A space for remarks has been provided for the use of the Operator to call attention to any unusual circumstances causing excessive or disproportionate unit costs.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 205676

NEW QUINCY MINING CO.

Schedule 1 — Crosscutting 41 feet @ $14.00 per foot		
Dell Gordon		$ 126.28
Ken Kummer		223.86
Arthur Workman		223.86
Total		574.00
Schedule 2 — Labor		
F. L. Shambow - 4 shifts @ $12.05		48.20
Joseph J. Irey - 4 shifts @ $12.05		48.20
Total		96.40
Schedule 3 — Explosives		
Western Powder 6/12/52 - 519		19.70
" " 6/18/52 - 572		28.06
" " 6/28/52 - 573		79.98
Park Utah Cons. Mines 6/30/52		102.76
Total		230.50
Schedule 4 — Pipe		
Park Utah Cons. Mines 5/31/52		41.85
" " " " 6/30/52		6.07
Total		47.92
Schedule 5 — Miscellaneous		
Sears, Roebuck & Co. 6/17/52 - 843529	Credit	(4.49)
" " " 6/16 - 62100-35		5.06
" " " 6/16 - 62100-34		7.30
" " " 6/16 - 33157-33		12.96
Western Powder Co. 6/12 - 519		4.63
" " " 6/28 - 573		7.24
Park Utah Cons. Mines 5/31		* 237.83
" " " 6/30		5.80
Total		276.33
Schedule 6 — Rental		
Eimco 6/31/52 Mucking Machine		150.00
Gardner-Denver 6/38 Drifter		100.00
Park Utah Cons. Mines - Charging Panel		20.53
Total		270.53
Schedule 7 — Purchase		
Goodman Mfg. Co. Locomotive and Battery		976.97
George N. Floor-Assemble Battery Locomotive		27.00
Park Utah Cons. Mines - Freight on Battery Locomotive		214.21
" " " " - Bumper for Battery Locomotive		42.11
Total		1260.29

* Includes expenditure of $42.59 to make bumper for Mancha Motor owned by New Quincy Mining Co.

Schedule 8 — Depreciation		
Tramner 16/30 of 62.50	$	33.28
Battery charger 16/30 of 18.60		9.92
Mine cars		77.00
Total		120.20
Schedule 9 — Sundries		
A. A. Hasna - Hauling mucker		20.00
Schedule 10 — Air power and charging panel		
Park Utah Cons. Mines 6/30 - power		7.77
" " " " 6/30 - power & demand		6.81
" " " " 6/30 - participation power		51.25
Total	$	65.83

I certify that the above are true and legitimate payments made as listed.

Dated August 1, 1952 NEW QUINCY MINING COMPANY

Per Glen Herandall , Sec'y, Treas.

NARRATIVE - 1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA - 113
CONTRACT - IDME - 265

GENERAL

As per sketch herewith, this contract started 19 ft. behind the head line point and on June 30, 1952 the face was 42 ft. ahead of this point. The advance then for the month of June was 61 ft. The line point is in a wooden plug in the back of the crosscut and will hereafter be designated HLP.

GEOLOGY

The advance has been in Weber quartzite somewhat crushed and showing a few small streaks of quartz with some iron and manganese oxides and some slight copper-staining and a few spots of galena. In this district the copper-staining usually is the result of oxidation of tetrahedrite, a copper-antimony sulphide, which is often the principal silver-bearing mineral here. An assay shows this mineral showing to carry well in silver.

As indicated on the sketch, the objective of this drive is the South fissure which may be reached in a total of 375 ft. The fissure is the strongest structure in the New Quincy property and is little prospected either in this property or in neighboring ground. On the hanging wall of this fissure Park City lime should be found. This limestone is about 600 ft. thick and it is in this formation where the bonanza ore beds of the district have been found. One of the productive horizons of this limestone has been near the base of the formation. This crosscut is directed to cut the South fissure at about the base of the Park City lime on the hanging wall. As this is a rather long projection, some drifting either way may be necessary to reach this favorable horizon.

The sketch shows the crosscut in Park Utah to be above this favorable horizon as well as being above the Jenney quartzite bed, another favorable horizon in the Park City formation about 100 ft. above its base. Notwithstanding the unfavorable horizon, as the sketch shows, 4" spot of good ore was found in the Park Utah crosscut. Also in the New Quincy property on the 700 level of the Little Bell shaft at a point 1000 ft eastward and 350 ft. above this crosscut now being driven, a few carloads of ore occurred on this fissure.

Park City, Utah
June 30, 1952

E A Hewitt, Geologist



1301 CROSSCUT
NEW QUINCY MINING CO
DMA-113
CONTRACT-IDME-265